Observing with NIKA2Pol from the IRAM 30m telescope. Early results on the commissioning phase.

Alessia Ritacco on behalf of the NIKA2 collaboration



- NIKA2Pol hardware and detection strategy;
- polarization commissioning timeline;
- a dedicated data analysis software: from NIKA(1) to NIKA2;
- point sources characterization: quasars;
- polarization calibration at large angular scales: diffuse sources observations;
- open questions.





- NIKA2Pol hardware and detection strategy;
- polarization commissioning timeline;
- a dedicated data analysis software: from NIKA(1) to NIKA2;
- point sources characterization: quasars;
- polarization calibration at large angular scales: diffuse sources observations;
- open questions.





NIKA2Pol hardware and detection strategy



The continuous rotation of the **HWP + polarizer + KIDs** allows us to get a quasi-simultaneous measurement of the Stokes parameters I, Q, and U.

Detection strategy: polarization shifted at 4 x mechanical rotation frequency of the HWP, far from low frequency noise.





Observing in polarization mode

The AoD and/or the operator are in charge of:

- 1. placing the half-wave-plate in front of the cryostat window (to be done in the cabin);
- 2. switching-on the motor that runs the HWP (remotely, from the control room);
- 3. checking that the HWP phase is stable;
- 4. check that the HWP is running, i.e. modulation of the signal (see later) and noise from the control room

What the astronomer should know:

- 1. we work with a sampling frequency of ~47 Hz to run the HWP at ~3Hz \rightarrow a lot of data!!
- 2. mapping speed is limited to ~40 arcsec/sec (TBC at the end of the commissioning)





Observing in polarization mode

Important to know:

in polarization mode NIKA2 will (also) provide dual band images. At 150 GHz (2mm) the total intensity map and at 260 GHz (1mm) the Stokes I, Q, and U maps will be provided.





NIKA2Pol commissioning timeline

- June 2017: First light, mechanical tests
- November 2017: technical issue on the HWP phase
- March 2018: Week completely lost due to snowstorm
- June 2018: Poor weather testing the "new" acquisition software updated to solve the HWP phase issue
- **September 2018:** HWP phase stability checks after hardware changes
- December 2018: good weather, all data collected reliable
- January-May 2019: progress on data analysis and calibration

Polarization commissioning team: Nicolas Ponthieu, Aina Andrianasolo, Anaelle Maury, Philippe André, Hamza Ajeddig, Yoshito Shimajiri and Alessia Ritacco





NIKA2Pol commissioning timeline

- **June 2017**: First light, mechanical tests
- November 2017: technical issue on the HWP phase → Not reliable data
- March 2018: Week completely lost due to snowstorm
- June 2018: Poor weather testing the "new" acquisition software updated to solve the HWP phase issue
- September 2018: HWP phase stability checks after hardware changes → Ready to observe
- **December 2018:** good weather, all data collected reliable
- January-May 2019: progress on data analysis and calibration

Polarization commissioning team: Nicolas Ponthieu, Aina Andrianasolo, Anaelle Maury, Philippe André, Hamza Ajeddig, Yoshito Shimajiri and Alessia Ritacco





How to commission the NIKA2 polarimeter ?



estimation of the **instrumental polarization**, a.k.a intensity to polarization leakage, on known unpolarized and bright sources;

verification of the hardware stability from one observing session to another;



verification of the stability of the **leakage for different elevations, sky conditions, focus change**, to be able to model it and subtract from the map; (*see Hamza's talk*)



parallel-session of point sources observations with XPOL (EMIR polarimeter) and comparison;



observation of known extended sources to verify the **good reconstruction of the polarization at large angular scales**;



observation of a weak and unpolarized source to estimate the NEFD.





Outline

- NIKA2Pol hardware and detection strategy;
- polarization commissioning timeline;
- a dedicated data analysis software: from NIKA(1) to NIKA2; •
- point sources characterization: quasars;
- polarization calibration at large angular scales: diffuse sources observations;
- open questions.





The NIKA/NIKA2 collaboration pipeline

How do we produce maps from raw data?









NIKA/NIKA2 Data reduction software

Alessia Ritacco on behalf of the NIKA2 collaboration

13



NIKA/NIKA2 Data reduction software

nm



Outline

- NIKA2Pol hardware and detection strategy;
- polarization commissioning timeline;
- a dedicated data analysis software: from NIKA(1) to NIKA2;
- point sources characterization: quasars;
- polarization calibration at large angular scales: diffuse sources observations;
- open questions.





Observation of the primary calibrator 3C286



angle ψ = ½*atan(U/Q) degree p = sqrt(Q²+U²)/I

Alessia Ritacco on behalf of the NIKA2 collaboration

16



Observation of the primary calibrator 3C286



1mm NIKA2 results:

p = 13.15 +- 0.13 + syst (calib uncertainty) % ; Ψ = 44.52+-0.3 deg + syst (absolute angle calib + HWP precision)

give a reliable measure at 1mm !!

p_{1mm} = 14.4 ± 1.8 %

 Ψ_{1mm} = 33.1 ± 5.7 deg



Observation of the primary calibrator 3C286: variation with the elevation



Outliers could be corrected by using a leakage correction per elevation (see Hamza's talk)







NIKA2 1mm results:

p = 7.52 +- 0.01 + syst (calib uncertainty) % ;

 Ψ = 38.68+-0.03 deg + syst (absolute angle calib + HWP precision)

XPOL 1mm results:

p = 8.5 + 1.3% $\Psi = 30.6 + 4.1 deg$



Outline

- NIKA2Pol hardware and detection strategy;
- polarization commissioning timeline;
- a dedicated data analysis software: from NIKA(1) to NIKA2;
- point sources characterization: quasars;
- polarization calibration at large angular scales: diffuse sources observations;
- open questions.





Crab nebula polarization observations



Polar vector are over-plotted where $P > 3\sigma$



Alessia Ritacco on behalf of the NIKA2 collaboration





I = 158.04 + 0.18 + (syst) Jy P = 12.35 + 0.01 + (syst) Jy $\Psi = -86.9 + 0.07 + (syst)$ deg (galactic coord.) *Ritacco et al. 2018, A&A, 616, A35 (see next talk):*

$$I_{217}$$
 (Planck) = 172.73 +- 1.6 Jy
 P_{217} (Planck) = 12.23 +- 0.17 Jy
 Ψ_{217} (Planck) = -87.93 +- 0.25 deg
Weighted averaged value (23-217 GHz) = -87.7 +- 0.3°

Values consistent with the expectations but the total intensity flux (transfer function to be checked) !!





Crab nebula polarization observations at 260 GHz

Table presented in *Ritacco et al. 2018* updated with NIKA2 values

Polka 18.2 ± 4.8 147.1 ± 7.5 PulsarXPOL 17.5 ± 1.2 150.2 ± 2.0 SCUPOL 14.8 ± 2.8 143.5 ± 4.4	
Pulsar XPOL 17.5 ± 1.2 150.2 ± 2.0 SCUPOL 14.8 ± 2.8 143.5 ± 4.4	
SCUPOL 14.8 ± 2.8 143.5 ± 4.4	
NIKA 17.9 ± 2.2 $138.8 \pm 1.5 \pm 2.3$	
NIKA2 14.05 ± 1.9 149.08 ± 0.12 +Sys	st
POLKA 19.4 ± 4.4 148.1 ± 6.5	
Intensity Peak XPOL 21.0 ± 1.2 149.0 ± 1.6	
SCUPOL 16.4 ± 4.8 151.8 ± 8.4	
NIKA 20.3 ± 0.7 $140.0 \pm 1.0 \pm 2.3$	
NIKA2 16.6 ± 2.2 150.9 ± 0.12 +Sy	yst

At the pulsar and intensity peak positions we find a very good agreement with previous observations in the millimeter range.





XPOL-NIKA2 pixel to pixel comparison



Good correlation between polarization degree (left) and angle (right) maps of XPOL and NIKA2









Alessia Ritacco on behalf of the NIKA2 collaboration











Very detailed polarization maps.

Depolarization effect observed at KL nebula position (peak of total intensity) as expected.

Polarization comes from the dust along the filament.

Alessia Ritacco on behalf of the NIKA2 collaboration

28



Comparison with high resolution experiments



	POLKA	SCUPOL	NIKA	NIKA2	- Col
	$[870~\mu~m]$	$[850~\mu~m]$	[1.15 mm]	[1.15 mm]	
p[%]	0.7 ± 0.2	0.7 ± 0.1	0.6 ± 0.2	0.81 ± 0.02	+syst
$\psi[ext{deg}]$	32.8 ± 7.6	40.8 ± 5.4	37.73 ± 3.56	34.7 ± 0.6	+syst

At KL nebula position the NIKA2 polarization results are consistent within 1σ with previous measurements.





Open questions

Absolute calibration polarization angle precision calibrator on the secondary mirror ?!

- How to constrain the variation of the instrumental polarization for all possible elevations (see next talk)
- Control of the systematics and estimation of the NEFD (we are getting there, deeper analysis needed)
- Unexpected noise gradient observed on polarization maps, so far corrected at pipeline level (it did not appear on NIKA maps)
- Verification of the angle calibration on several targets (needed to confirm the Crab nebula and OMC-1 observations)



Summary

Data collected during the commissioning campaign carried out in Dec. 2018 reliable; progress on data analysis of point sources to compare with XPOL joint session observing session; polarization results found on the Crab nebula and OMC1 very promising; open questions will be addressed by a deeper data analysis and/or more commissioning requested time.

Thank you all for your attention !

Picture taken on the first week of May 2019, we still had snow !!



Backup slides





Noise subtraction characterization



