Une pensée pour Cécile

Guillaume Pignol Séminaire LPSC, 29 Avril 2021

Searching for the neutron Electric Dipole Moment

• Subatomic particles such as the neutron have a spin ½.





- Subatomic particles such as the neutron have a spin ½.
- One can change the orientation with a magnetic field **B**.
- This phenomenon -spin precessionis at the basis of NMR and MRI.



- Subatomic particles such as the neutron have a spin ½.
- One can change the orientation with a magnetic field **B**.
- This phenomenon -spin precessionis at the basis of NMR and MRI.



Question: Can we change the spin orientation with an electric field **E** instead?



Short answer: no.

The electric dipole moment dquantifies the relation between the electric field E and the angular spin-precession frequency ω .

 $\hbar\omega = 2\frac{dE}{dE}$

For $d = 10^{-26} e \text{ cm}$ and $E = 130\ 000 \text{ V} / 12 \text{ cm}$ A full spin turn takes a time

 $\frac{\pi\hbar}{dE} = 200 \text{ days}$

2/33

Outline of the seminar 1. Intro: What is the question. 2. Why do we care? The matter-antimatter puzzle Links between CP symmetry and nEDM 3. How do we measure the neutron EDM with such a precision? Ultracold neutrons, atomic magnetometry, super-uniform magnetic fields

Outline of the seminar Intro: What is the question. 2. Why do we care? The matter-antimatter puzzle Links between CP symmetry and nEDM 3. How do we measure the neutron EDM with such a precision? Ultracold neutrons, atomic magnetometry, super-uniform magnetic fields

AMS onboard the ISS

4 billion of helium events
collected, no antihelium.
No primary antimatter
in cosmic rays.

Release of the CMB

t = 370,000 years after the Big Bang T = 0.3 eV

$$\eta = \frac{n_B - n_{\bar{B}}}{n_{\gamma}} = (6.09 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{-10}$$
[Planck (2016)]

Big Bang Nucleosynthesis t = 3 minutes after the Big Bang T = 100 keV



$$\eta = \frac{n_B - n_{\bar{B}}}{n_{\gamma}} = (6.0 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-10}$$
[Deuterium abundance from Lya, Cooke *et al* (2014)]



Content of the Universe Today

of the The baryons result from an Today The baryons result from an imbalance of $\eta = \frac{n_B - n_{\overline{B}}}{n_{\gamma}} \approx 10^{-9}$ 5 % Baryons generated by an totally unknown process before t = 1 ns

69 % Dark Energy

26% Dark matter

Sakharov's baryogenesis recipe (1967)

- Universe out of equilibrium
- Baryon number not conserved
- Violation of C and CP symmetries

Electroweak Phase Transition

t = 10 ps after the Big Bang T = 200 GeV



Outline of the seminar Intro: What is the question. 1. 2. Why do we care? The matter-antimatter puzzle Links between CP symmetry and nEDM 3. How do we measure the neutron EDM with such a precision? Ultracold neutrons, atomic magnetometry, super-uniform magnetic fields

Violation of time reversal



>> PLAY >>

<< REWIND <<</pre>

If $d \neq 0$ the process and its time reversed version are different.



Electric dipoles & CP symmetry



Sources of EDMs in the Standard Model

The QCD contribution $\frac{\alpha}{8\pi}\bar{\theta} G^{\mu\nu}\tilde{G}_{\mu\nu}$ Generates a potentially enormous neutron EDM

CKM "long distance" contribution to nEDM



CKM prediction: $1 \times 10^{-32} e \text{ cm} < |d_n| < 6 \times 10^{-32} e \text{ cm}$ Kobayashi-Maskawa background negligible



CKM contribution to the quark EDMs: Leading order starts at 3 loops! Negligible contribution

EDMs beyond the SM: modified Higgs couplings

CP violating Modified Higgs-fermion Yukawa coupling $\mathcal{L} = -\frac{y_f}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\kappa_f \bar{f} f h + i \tilde{\kappa}_f \bar{f} \gamma_5 f h\right)$



Take home messages

- **1. Great puzzle**: Baryogenesis still unexplained. CP-violation in the standard electroweak theory fails to explain the observed baryon asymmetry of the Universe.
- **2. Great sensitivity**: EDMs are very sensitive probes of CP violation beyond the SM because
 - (i) New physics at the TeV scale (and beyond) predicts generically sizable EDMs
 - (ii) CKM contribution to EDMs undetectably small
- **3. Complementarity**: Importance of measuring the EDMs in different systems (neutron, atoms, muons...) to cover the many different possible fundamental sources of CP violation

Outline of the seminar Intro: What is the question. 1. 2. Why do we care? The matter-antimatter puzzle Links between CP symmetry and nEDM 3. How do we measure the neutron EDM with such a precision? Ultracold neutrons, atomic magnetometry, super-uniform magnetic fields

Basics of EDM measurement



$$2\pi f = \frac{2\mu}{\hbar}B \pm \frac{2d}{\hbar}|E|$$

$$f(\uparrow\uparrow) - f(\uparrow\downarrow) = -\frac{2}{\pi\hbar} dE$$
 Easy!

The trick: measure frequencies*

* Only if you can't, try something else

Basics of EDM measurement



Larmor frequency $f = 30 \text{ Hz} @ B = 1 \mu\text{T}$

If $d = 10^{-26} e \text{ cm}$ and E = 11 kV/cmThe spin will make **one full turn** in a time $\frac{\pi\hbar}{dE} = 200 \text{ days}$

Basics of EDM measurement



$$2\pi f = \frac{2\mu}{\hbar}B \pm \frac{2d}{\hbar}|E|$$

If $d = 10^{-26} e \text{ cm}$ and E = 11 kV/cmone full turn in a time $\frac{\pi\hbar}{dE} = 200 \text{ days}$

To detect such a minuscule coupling:

- Long interaction time
- High intensity/statistics
- Control the magnetic field

Neutron optics, cold and ultracold neutrons





RAL-Sussex Apparatus installed at the ILL reactor Grenoble (~1980-2009)

Recent history of the single-chamber apparatus



Paul Scherrer Institute

18/33

UCN source at the Paul Scherrer Institute





pulsed UCN source One kick per 5 min online since 2011







nEDM data collected in 2015-2016



54,068 cycles recorded, grouped in 99 sequences, alternating E field polarity every 48 cycles 11,400 neutrons counted per cycle.



Atomic

comagnetometry with ¹⁹⁹Hg



$$f_{\rm Hg} = \frac{\gamma_{\rm Hg}}{2\pi} B$$

PhD thesis Yoann Kermaidic (2013-2016)





24/32

$d_{x} (10^{-26} e cm)$ THE WEST THE EAST

DOUBLE BLIND

SINGLE BLIND



15.4 ± 1.1



3.8 ± 1.1

		0	n						o							u				4									
			2												2	3			3	4			â	2	0				
													3		3											З	9		9
										4			0		8						3						4		Ū.
	9						4			2			8													5	5		
1	8												8								2				9				
2	4		5				9					8	4									3							
1	5		8										9																
1	8		2	0		6																	12		4				
4	7	9													8									4	0				
	6		6																	7				6	g.				
2	2			4								0			5				6										
				2		6						4					4	3	7		8	6	4		4			4	
9		2	9	2	8	6		2	1	9	8	4		-5	5		9	8				7	2			6		0	
		0	5	8	9	8			6					9		5	9	5				0				2		0	
9		7														6										1			
1		3			15									6		8		4				5	0		5			5	
5											5					6			3			4	6		9				
0	3		11	0		0		đ	3							5			10	1	1		2	9					5
			2												2	3			3	4				2	0				
0										0		4	2		3											з			9
										4			0	4	8				6		2						4		0
	9						4			2			H													5	5		
	i.			4																	2				9				
3.	4	B	5				9					8	4									3							
1	5		B			3							9												0				
1	8		2	0		6									2				6				12	2	4	0			
4	1	9		4			1								8									4	0	2			4
81	6		16								Л	3			2					7	4	2		6	9				
2	2			4					3			0			5				6		0					6		1	
				2		6			9			4				3	4	3	7		â	6	4		4			4	
9		2	9	2	B.	8		2	1	9	8	4		-5	6		9	9				2	2			6		0	
		0	8	H.	9	-		9	6		5			9		5	9	5			6	0	5		2	2		0	
ġ.		1				n										6			-6				2		8				9
1		1			11							5		6		8		4				5	0		5			5	
5					5						ŝ					6		ŝ	1		6	4	6		ŋ				
						in the										Ě						in a	5	G					

Budget of systematic errors

TABLE I. Summary of systematic effects in 10^{-28} e.cm. The first three effects are treated within the crossing-point fit and are included in d_{\times} . The additional effects below that are considered separately.

Effect	Shift	Error
Error on $\langle z \rangle$		7
Higher-order gradients \hat{G}	69	10
Transverse field correction $\langle B_T^2 \rangle$	0	5
Hg EDM [8]	-0.1	0.1
Local dipole fields		4
$v \times E$ UCN net motion		2
Quadratic $v \times E$		0.1
Uncompensated G drift		7.5
Mercury light shift		0.4
Inc. scattering ¹⁹⁹ Hg		7
TOTAL	69	18

Largest effect, associated with B-field uniformity

The co-magnetometer problem: vxE/c²





The co-magnetometer problem: vxE/c²



Frequency shift from a transverse magnetic noise \underline{b} (Redfield theory)

$$\delta f = \frac{\gamma^2}{4\pi} \int_0^\infty d\tau \, \mathrm{Im} \, e^{-i\omega\tau} \left\langle \underline{b}(0) \underline{b}^*(\tau) \right\rangle$$

False EDM
$$d_{n \leftarrow \text{Hg}}^{\text{false}} = -\frac{\hbar |\gamma_n \gamma_{\text{Hg}}|}{2c^2} \langle \mathbf{x} \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{y} \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{y}} \rangle$$

Pignol and Roccia, PRA 85, 042105 (2012)

Crossing point analysis





Magnetic field mapping



The « phantom mode » of the magnetic field is extracted from a global analysis of magnetic field maps.

PhD thesis Laura Ferraris-Bouchez (2017-2020)

Editors' Suggestion Featured in Physics Featured in Physics

lectrode Izroune

Measurement of the Permanent Electric Dipole Moment of the Neutron

We present the result of an experiment to measure the electric dipole moment (EDM) of the neutron at the Paul Scherrer Institute using Ramsey's method of separated oscillating magnetic fields with ultracold neutrons. Our measurement stands in the long history of EDM experiments probing physics violating time-reversal invariance. The salient features of this experiment were the use of a ¹⁹⁹Hg comagnetometer and an array of optically pumped cesium vapor magnetometers to cancel and correct for magnetic-field changes. The statistical analysis was performed on blinded datasets by two separate groups, while the estimation of systematic effects profited from an unprecedented knowledge of the magnetic field. The measured value of the neutron EDM is

$$d_n = (0.0 \pm 1.1_{\text{stat}} \pm 0.2_{\text{syst}}) \times 10^{-26} \text{ ecm}$$



Formidable investissement collectif du LPSC sur les projets nEDM/n2EDM depuis 15 ans !

Special credits to

Elec : Olivier Bourrion, Eric Lagorio, JP Scordilis, Christophe Vescovi et l'équipe du routage

Meca : Christian Fourel, Denis Grondin, Johann Menu, et l'équipe de l'atelier

Informatique : Guillaume Dargaud, Jérome Fulachier, Jérome Odier

SDI : Rémi Faure, Marc Marton, JF Muraz, Myriam Migliore, Clément Thomassé

Admin : Colette Deslorieux, Isabelle Dos-Santos, Cécile Martin, Cécile Vannier



