Non-thermal pressure support in galaxy clusters: current constraints and perspective



Ettori, Eckert 2022 A&A 657L 1 Ettori, Lovisari, Eckert 2023 A&A 669 133 **Ettori**, Lovisari, Sereno 2020 A&A 644 111



Hydrostatic bias: $(1-b) = M_X/M_{500}$



Planck ESZ sample (120 obj; *Lovisari, Ettori+20*)

Gianfagna+21

The Mass of Galaxy Clusters: fundamental quantity, but systematically biased with current X-ray/SZ data

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{G M_{HE}(< r)}{r^2} &= -\frac{dP_g}{dr} \frac{1}{\rho_g} \\ \frac{G M_{tot}(< r)}{r^2} &= -\frac{dP_g}{dr} \frac{1}{\rho_g} - \frac{dv}{dt} \\ \dots &= -\frac{d(P_g + P_{NT})}{dr} \frac{1}{\rho_g} = -\frac{dP_{tot}}{dr} \frac{1}{\rho_g} \\ M_{HE} / (1 - b) &= M_{tot} \sim T^{3/2} \sim M_{gas} \sim L^{3/4} \sim Y^{3/5} \end{aligned}$$



Dark Matter Density



Gas Temperature



Angelinelli, Vazza + 20



Gas Velocity



Dark Matter Density



Gas Turbulent Velocity



Gas Temperature



Shocks



Angelinelli, Vazza + 20



Angelinelli, Vazza + 20

Turbulence in the ICM



Hitomi collaboration, Nature 2016







Turbulence in the ICM





XRISM: Priority A: 3 pointings, 320 ks in total [North P3 (15:10:56.03, +05:50:39.3): 250 ks; North P2 (15:10:56.03, +05:47:39.3): 50 ks; Center P1 (15:10:56.03, +05:44:39.3): 20 ks] **Priority C:** 2 pointings, 300 ks in total [West P3 (15:10:32.15, +05:44:39.3): 250 ks; West P2 (15:10:44.09, +05:44:39.3): 50 ks]

Non-thermal P & hydrostatic bias b (Ettori & Eckert 22)

$$\alpha = \frac{P_{NT}}{P_{\text{tot}}} \qquad P_T = P_{o,T} \left(\frac{n}{n_0}\right)$$

$$P_{NT} = P_{o,NT} \left(\frac{n}{n_0}\right)^{\beta}$$





Non-thermal P & hydrostatic bias b (Ettori & Eckert 22)

$$b = \left(\frac{\alpha - A}{1 + A}\right) = \left(\frac{\sigma_{th}^2}{\sigma_{turb}^2} + 1\right)^{-1}$$



$$A = P_{tot} \left(\frac{d\alpha/dr}{dP_T/dr} \right)$$

- Current upper limits from turbulent velocity in local galaxy clusters: 50% with b<0.2 (80% with b<0.33)
- X-COP (~relaxed massive nearby systems): b<0.03 in 50% of the objects, and b<0.17 in 80% of them
- All these values are below the amount of bias required to reconcile the observed cluster number count in the cosmological framework set from Planck (b=0.38 ± 0.03)

ICM can be described by "universal" profiles (ie thermodynamic radial profiles that should be equal -within the intrinsic scatteronce rescaled by halo mass and redshift)

But, it is still missing a consistent picture that links these universal radial profiles and the integrated values of the ICM thermodynamical quantities, also quantifying the deviations from the standard self-similar gravity-driven scenario

i(cm)z a semi-analytic model of the ICM matching *observed* both spatially-resolved & integrated quantities (Ettori, Lovisari, Eckert 2023; arxiv:2211.03082)

i(cm)z

or a recipe to prepare an ICM that matches *observed* spatially-resolved & integrated quantities

- an "universal" $P = P_{500} P_0 / (c_{500} x)^c / [1 + (c_{500} x)^a]^{(b-c)/a}$
- a (c-M-z) relation, $c_{200} = A M_{200}^{B} (1+z)^{C}$
- stir them together in hydrostatic equilibrium
- then add a bit of 3 further ingredients:

(i) $f_T = T(R_{500})/T$, (ii) $f_g = C^{0.5} f_{gas}$, (iii) hydrostatic bias b_{HE}

 $\begin{cases} f_{T,\text{ESZ}} = 0.697(\pm 0.103) \times (T/5 \text{ keV})^{0.15(\pm 0.06)} \\ f_{g,\text{ESZ}} = 0.121(\pm 0.045) \times (T/5 \text{ keV})^{0.45(\pm 0.09)} \\ C_{\text{ESZ}} = (<1.4) \times (T/5 \text{ keV})^{1.0(\pm 0.5)} \end{cases}$

& constraints on $b_{\mbox{\scriptsize HE}}$

→ Ettori, Lovisari, Sereno (2020 A&A 644 111)

i(cm)z

or a recipe to prepare an ICM that matches *observed* spatially-resolved & integrated quantities

- an "universal" $P = P_{500} P_0 / (c_{500} x)^c / [1 + (c_{500} x)^a]^{(b-c)/a}$
- a (c-M-z) relation, $c_{200} = A M_{200}^{B} (1+z)^{C}$
- stir them together in hydrostatic equilibrium
- then add a bit of 3 further ingredients:

(i) $f_T = T(R_{500})/T$, (ii) $f_g = C^{0.5} f_{gas}$, (iii) hydrostatic bias b_{HE}

- adding the redshift evolution
- new calibrations in (M, z) based on >2020 scaling laws
 - & recent thermodynamic profiles
- new constraints on b_{HE} (e.g. X-COP)

→ Ettori, Lovisari, Eckert (2023; arXiv:2211.03082)







A2029: constraints on b



i(cm)z: X-COP



i(cm)z: CHEX-MATE



Take-home messages on P_{NT}

Analytic model (Ettori & Eckert 22) of P_{NT} \rightarrow b_{HE,} σ_{turb} i(cm)z (Ettori+20, 23): a semi-analytic model based on
P_{univ} + cMz; reproduce spatially-resolved & integrated
quantities \rightarrow forecasting b_{HE} (vel_{bapec})

✓ in relaxed objects (X-COP): b₅₀~0.1 (<0.2)
✓ (CHEX-MATE; M_{Planck}): b₅₀~0.1 (<0.3)
✓ Required: b_{Planck} = 0.38 ± 0.03

Hydrodynamic simulations (from e.g. Magneticum, the300, ENZO) convolved with SIXTE-like tools are needed to infer correlation between intrinsic and observed properties (turbulence, bulk motions, structure functions, true b_{HE})



