Baryon Pasting Project

Precision Cosmology & Astrophysics in the Era of Multi-Wavelength Cosmological Surveys

Daisuke Nagai

On behalf of the BP collaboration

Yale University

mmUniverse @ LPSC Grenoble

June 28, 2023

Era of Multi-Wavelength Astronomical Surveys

Simons Obs



Rubin





Cosmic Visions 2016 Report from DOE:

"The number of massive galaxy clusters could emerge as the most powerful cosmological probe *if* the masses of the clusters can be accurately measured." **Understanding Cluster Astrophysics is the Key!**

Cluster Cosmology in the Stage IV Era



CMB-S4+optical





eROSITA



Pillepich+18

Galaxy Clusters are potentially powerful cosmological probes
Systematics, Sysmatics, Syatematics!

Opportunities, Challenges & New Frontiers
Computational + Analytical + Data-Driven Modeling





Opportunities
Maximize the scientific returns of multi-wavelength surveys by using non-linear structure formation physics (e.g., clusters, lensing, galaxy clustering)

Challenges:
- DM Halo-Galaxy-Gas Connection
- Multi-scale, Multi-physics Problem
- Big Data Challenge
- Roles of Hydro Sim, SAMs, ML for cosmo/astro inference

New Frontiers
1. **Computational Frontier:** use *hydrodynamical cosmological simulations* of galaxy cluster formation to gain physical insights into cluster astrophysics
2. **Modeling Frontier:** Develop *a physically-motivated, computationally efficient model* for modeling multi-properties of galaxies, clusters, and cosmic web
3. **Machine Learning Frontier:** use *machine learning* techniques to detect and exploit small signals in noisy and complex data

Computational Frontier

use hydrodynamical cosmological simulations of galaxy cluster formation to gain physical insights into cluster astrophysics

The Physics of Galaxy Cluster Outskirts vs. Cores
Lessons from Hydro Simulations



✦Cluster Outskirts

Gas Accretion & Non-equilibrium phenomena

1. **Non-thermal pressure due to gas motions**
2. **DM vs. Gas Shapes**
3. **Splashback vs. Shock Radii**
4. **Non-equilibrium electrons**
5. Gas clumping/inhomogeneities
6. Filamentary gas streams

Tractable

Key Parameters Mass & MAH

Walker et al. 2019 for a recent review

✦Cluster Cores

Heating, Cooling & Plasma physics

1. **AGN feedback** (Mechanical/CR heating)
2. Dynamical Heating, Gas sloshing
3. Thermal Conduction, Magnetic Field, He sedimentation

Outstanding Challenge - especially critical for X-ray surveys (e.g., eROSITA)

Non-thermal Pressure
Analytical Model vs. Hydro Simulations

Shi & Komatsu 2014 (analytical model)

$$\frac{d\sigma^2_{\rm nth}}{dt} = -\frac{\sigma^2_{\rm nth}}{t_{\rm d}} + \eta \frac{d\sigma^2_{\rm tot}}{dt}$$

- Time Change in Turbulence Energy per unit mass
- Dissipation of Turbulence
- Generation of Turbulence sourced by mass accretion

Implications for the HSE mass bias
Shi, Komatsu, Nagai, Lau 2016

Turbulence evolution in the density stratified medium
Shi, Nagai, Lau 2018

Impact of Non-thermal pressure on tSZ effects
Green, Aung, Nagai, van den Bosch 2020

Comparison to the Omega 500 simulation (Nelson+14)



Analytic model can match the results of hydrodynamical simulations remarkably well

Halo & Gas Shape and Formation History





- DM halo & gas shapes depend on its formation history: early-forming/higher concentration halos are more spherical
- Systematic scatter in observable scaling relation driven by halo formation history

DM and Gas Halo Shapes

Calibrate relations between DM halo shape & gas shape using ML methods with Illustris-TNG300 hydro simulations (Lau, Nagai, et al. in prep)

s~ 1: more spherical

s~ 0: less spherical





q~ 1: more spherical

q~ 0: less spherical

Gas shape is triaxial, but more spherical than DM

Splashback vs. Accretion Shock Radii

DM splashback computed using SHELLFISH (Mansfield+17)



Aung, Nagai, Lau 2021



Accretion shock radius is ~2 times larger than the Splashback radius, making the hot gas extend beyond the splashback radius.



Electron-Proton Equilibration in Cluster Outskirts





In the outskirts of galaxy clusters, the collision rate of electrons and protons becomes longer than the age of the universe.

AGN feedback in Groups



AGN feedback may have non-negligible impact on Y-M relation on low-mass groups, by lowering gas fraction via stochastic energy injection by jets and winds

Modeling Frontier

develop a physically-motivated, computationally efficient model for modeling multi-properties of galaxies, clusters, and cosmic web

Baryon Pasting Project



Goals

Maximize the scientific returns of multi-wavelength surveys of galaxy clusters and LSS via Cross-Survey Cross-Correlation Cosmology (CSC3)

Challenges

- Halo-Gas Connection: modeling of SZ and X-ray profiles of ICM and CGM
- Baryonification: constraining baryonic effects with WL x SZ cross-correlations

Solution

Develop a **simple, physically-motivated computationally efficient method** for modeling multi-properties of clusters, groups, and galaxies

BP Gas Model: 2005-2017

A physically-motivated parameterized model of gas in DM halos:

Polytropic equation of state in cluster cores and outskirts (Ostriker+05; Shaw+10, Flender+17)

$$P_{tot} = P_{th} + P_{nt} \propto \rho_g^{\Gamma}$$

$$\Gamma(r,z) = \begin{cases} 1.2 & (r/r_{500} > 0.2) \\ \tilde{\Gamma}(1+z)^{\gamma} & (\text{otherwise}) \end{cases}$$

Star formation : stellar mass fraction (e.g., Giodini+09, Leauthaud+11, Budzynski+13)

$$\frac{M_*}{M_{500}} = f_* \left(\frac{M_{500}}{3 \times 10^{14}\, M_\odot} \right)^{-S_*}$$

Dynamical heating from DM and energy feedback from AGN and SNe

$$E_{g,f} = E_{g,i} + \epsilon_{\rm DM} |E_{\rm DM}| + \epsilon_f M_* c^2 + \Delta E_p$$

Model of merger-induced non-thermal pressure fraction (Nelson+14; see also Lau+09,13, Green+20)

$$\frac{P_{\rm rand}}{P_{\rm total}}(r) = 1 - A \left\{ 1 + \exp\left[-\left(\frac{r/r_{200m}}{B} \right)^{\gamma} \right] \right\}$$

BP Modeling of tSZ Power Spectrum

Thermal SZ power spectrum contains significant contributions from outskirts of low mass ($M<3\times10^{14}$ Msun), high-z (z>1) groups at l<5000





Planck measurements of the SZ power spectrum can constrain cluster astrophysics (especially non-thermal pressure)

BP Modeling of X-ray Clusters & Groups



McDonald+13,17:
X-ray measurements of **gas density profiles**



Vikhlinin+06, Sun+09, Lovisari+15:
measurements of the **relation between mass of gas and total mass** (DM+gas+stars)

Baryon Pasting gas model describes X-ray observations (density profiles and gas mass) well
(Flender, Nagai, McDonald+17)

Constraints on the Gas Parameters

AGN feedback

dynamical friction heating

breaking point

broken polytropic exponent

stellar fraction

slope in stellar model

z-evolution of cooling

scatter in M_{gas}-M



Constraining the Optical Depth of Galaxy Groups and Clusters



Better than 12% constraints on the τ profile!

Baryon Pasting Algorithm
Halo vs. Particle-based methods



Time / map

HP: 1.5 min
PP: 69 min

for 5×10^5 halos using 224 cores

Osato & Nagai 2022 (astro-ph/2201.02632)

All-Sky BP SZ Maps

108 full-sky lightcone simulations of CMB lensing (Takahashi+17) and tSZ (Osato & Nagai+22) maps







Next Step: Baryonification + tSZ x WL cross-correlation

Baryon Pasted (BP) Multi-wavelength Maps



- We generate realistic maps in X-ray and microwave, using the cosmoDC2 halo lightcone generated from large-scale *N*-body simulations
- Explore impact of astrophysics by varying parameters in the gas model

BP x cosmoDC2 maps (Zoomed-in)



With Baryon Pasting we can:

- explore astrophysical systematics by varying parameters in the gas model
- forward-model halo & gas shape, projection effects, instrumental responses with BP-generated maps

Cosmology & Astrophysics with Cross-Survey Cross-Correlation Cosmology (CSC3)



Auto- and cross-power spectra measurements are sensitive to the lensing bias, non-thermal pressure, feedback and gas clumping.

Shirasaki, Lau & Nagai (2020)

Cosmology & Astrophysics with Cross-Survey Cross-Correlation Cosmology (CSC3)



Microwave+Optical+X-ray

Measuring the **angular power spectra** in X-ray (eROSITA, microwave (CMB-S4), and optical (Rubin) lead to improved constraints on cosmology and astrophysics

Shirasaki, Lau & Nagai (2020)

X-ray power spectrum of eFEDS field



- Large-scales ($\ell < 2000$, $\vartheta > 0.2^o$) - Consistent with ROSAT and the *Chandra* calibrated BP model.
- Small-scales ($\ell > 2000$, $\vartheta < 0.2^o$) - Large differences between BP model and *Chandra*/COSMOS
- Expected eROSITA All Sky Survey (eRASS1) cosmological constraint using only large angular scales ($\ell <$ 2000) - marginalized over astrophysics parameters:

$$\Delta\Omega_M/\Omega_M \sim 5\%$$
$$\Delta\sigma_8/\sigma_8 \sim 4\%$$

Lau, Bogdan, Chadayammuri, Nagai, Kraft, Cappelluti 2022 (under review): astro-ph/2204.13105

Machine Learning Frontier

use machine learning technique to detect and exploit small signals in noisy and complex data

Precision Cluster Cosmology with Interpretable Machine Learning

Mock X-ray images of 329 clusters with $M_{500c} \geq 10^{13.6}$Msun, augmented with many viewing angles of each cluster from the Illustris TNG-300 simulation







The ML-based X-ray cluster mass has a small scatter of 8-12%, which is a significant improvement from 15-18% scatter based on the core-excised X-ray luminosity - the current market standard.

Emulating SZ Images using Auto-Encoder





Tibor Rothschild

Rothschild+22

Question: Original vs. Generated Images?

Which images are generated by auto-encoders?





❤️ 😮

Rothschild+22

Answers: Original vs. Generate Images?

Generated images by the auto-encoder



Original images from hydro sims



Rothschild+22

Reproducing the Scatter in tSZ scaling relation





Rothschild+22

Reproducing Morphological Properties



Rothschild+22

Reproducing Morphological Properties



Rothschild+22

Baryon Pasting Project:

Precision Cosmology & Astrophysics in the Era of Multi-Wavelength Surveys

X-ray



eRosita

Microwave

Optical

Computer Simulation



Analytical Modeling



Machine Learning



Opportunities

Maximize the scientific returns of multi-wavelength surveys (e.g., galaxies, clusters, cosmic web)

Challenges

- DM Halo-Gas-Galaxy Connection
- Multi-scale, Multi-physics Problem
- Big Data Challenge
- Roles of Hydro Sim, SAMs, ML for cosmo/astro inference

New Frontiers

1. **Computational Frontier:** *hydro. cosmo. simulations*
2. **Machine Learning Frontier:** *machine learning*
3. **Modeling Frontier:** *a physically-motivated, computationally efficient model*