#### Temperature measurements with the relativistic Sunyaev-Zel'dovich effect

*Planck y*-map, from "*Planck* 2015 results XXII. A map of the thermal Sunyaev-Zeldovich effect".

Yvette Perrott



"Observing the Universe at mm wavelengths", June 2023







 Classical thermal SZ spectrum is actually the non-relativistic limit (Kompaneets equation)



- Classical thermal SZ spectrum is actually the non-relativistic limit (Kompaneets equation)
- But ICM of massive clusters is at temperatures  $\sim 5 10 \text{ keV} \Rightarrow$  typical electron speeds  $\sim 0.2c$



- Classical thermal SZ spectrum is actually the non-relativistic limit (Kompaneets equation)
- But ICM of massive clusters is at temperatures  $\sim 5 10 \text{ keV} \Rightarrow$  typical electron speeds  $\sim 0.2c$
- Relativistic beaming means more photons are up-scattered than down-scattered



- Classical thermal SZ spectrum is actually the non-relativistic limit (Kompaneets equation)
- But ICM of massive clusters is at temperatures  $\sim 5 10 \text{ keV} \Rightarrow$  typical electron speeds  $\sim 0.2c$
- Relativistic beaming means more photons are up-scattered than down-scattered
- Spectrum becomes a function of electron temperature



- Classical thermal SZ spectrum is actually the non-relativistic limit (Kompaneets equation)
- But ICM of massive clusters is at temperatures  $\sim 5 10 \text{ keV} \Rightarrow$  typical electron speeds  $\sim 0.2c$
- Relativistic beaming means more photons are up-scattered than down-scattered
- Spectrum becomes a function of electron temperature



- Classical thermal SZ spectrum is actually the non-relativistic limit (Kompaneets equation)
- But ICM of massive clusters is at temperatures  $\sim 5 10 \text{ keV} \Rightarrow$  typical electron speeds  $\sim 0.2c$
- Relativistic beaming means more photons are up-scattered than down-scattered
- Spectrum becomes a function of electron temperature
- Computation is now tractable (Chluba et al 2012, 2013: SZpack)





• At frequencies below  $\approx 500~{\rm GHz}$ , effect is to *decrease* the signal



- At frequencies below  $\approx 500 \text{ GHz}$ , effect is to *decrease* the signal
- At higher frequencies, signal increases



- At frequencies below  $\approx 500~{\rm GHz}$ , effect is to *decrease* the signal
- At higher frequencies, signal increases
- Null shifts position



- At frequencies below  $\approx 500~{\rm GHz}$ , effect is to *decrease* the signal
- At higher frequencies, signal increases
- Null shifts position
  - With multi-band observations, opportunity to measure temperature in a new way

Rough approximation to SPT bands



Rough approximation to SPT bands



Rough approximation to SPT bands



- Rough approximation to SPT bands
- Add an 857 GHz band



- Rough approximation to SPT bands
- Add an 857 GHz band



# Stacking results

- Erler et al 2018: 772 *Planck* clusters + IRAS + Akari
- $2\sigma$  detection of rSZ temperature
- Spatially correlated dust component





0.012

0.006

0.000

0.18

0.12

0.06

0.00

0.06



# Individual clusters

- Butler et al 2022: RX J1347.5-1145
- Massive, relaxed cluster but shock near the core from past minor merger



- Careful consideration of CIB, cirrus foregrounds
- Core temperature measurement of 22.4<sup>33</sup><sub>10</sub> keV, consistent with X-ray pressure-weighted prediction
- Future high-sensitivity, angular resolution instruments (AtLAST, CSST) will do better





• *Planck* bands span the frequency range



- *Planck* bands span the frequency range
- Is there enough signal to noise to constrain temperature in individual clusters with *Planck*?



 rSZ predicted to cause ≈10% bias in *Planck* Y<sub>500</sub> measurements for massive clusters

- rSZ predicted to cause ≈10% bias in *Planck* Y<sub>500</sub> measurements for massive clusters
- Simulations to check: realistic physical model for cluster thermodynamic properties, relativistic SZ effect predicted using SZpack

- rSZ predicted to cause ≈10% bias in *Planck* Y<sub>500</sub> measurements for massive clusters
- Simulations to check: realistic physical model for cluster thermodynamic properties, relativistic SZ effect predicted using SZpack
- Injected into real *Planck* data

- rSZ predicted to cause ≈10% bias in *Planck* Y<sub>500</sub> measurements for massive clusters
- Simulations to check: realistic physical model for cluster thermodynamic properties, relativistic SZ effect predicted using SZpack
- Injected into real *Planck* data
- Analyzed using PowellSnakes software

- rSZ predicted to cause ≈10% bias in *Planck* Y<sub>500</sub> measurements for massive clusters
- Simulations to check: realistic physical model for cluster thermodynamic properties, relativistic SZ effect predicted using SZpack
- Injected into real *Planck* data
- Analyzed using PowellSnakes software



From Perrott et al, in prep.

• Can an average SZ temperature be constrained?

- Can an average SZ temperature be constrained?
- In high-SNR cases yes, but with very low significance

- Can an average SZ temperature be constrained?
- In high-SNR cases yes, but with very low significance





- Can an average SZ temperature be constrained?
- In high-SNR cases yes, but with very low significance
- In lower-SNR cases no, and the Y constraints become biased upward





- Can an average SZ temperature be constrained?
- In high-SNR cases yes, but with very low significance
- In lower-SNR cases no, and the Y constraints become biased upward







Perrott et al, in prep.

 With an appropriate temperature constraint, Y values are recovered correctly



Perrott et al, in prep.
# Individual Planck clusters

- With an appropriate temperature constraint, Y values are recovered correctly
- X-ray, scaling relation from numerical simulations (Lee et al 2020) seem to work equally well



Perrott et al, in prep.

# Individual Planck clusters

- With an appropriate temperature constraint, Y values are recovered correctly
- X-ray, scaling relation from numerical simulations (Lee et al 2020) seem to work equally well





#### **Conclusions from Planck**

## **Conclusions from Planck**

 rSZ can be used to constrain (weakly) temperature in the most massive clusters



# **Conclusions from Planck**

- rSZ can be used to constrain (weakly) temperature in the most massive clusters
- In the bulk of the *Planck* cluster population, it causes a bias which an external temperature estimate is required to remove.





 Recalibration of M<sub>500</sub>-Y<sub>500</sub> scaling relation using X-ray hydrostatic masses, temperatures from XMM-*Newton* (Lovisari et al 2020)



- Recalibration of M<sub>500</sub>-Y<sub>500</sub> scaling relation using X-ray hydrostatic masses, temperatures from XMM-*Newton* (Lovisari et al 2020)
- Updated *Planck* data (NPIPE release), fitting pressure profile shape, implementing rSZ spectrum



- Recalibration of M<sub>500</sub>-Y<sub>500</sub> scaling relation using X-ray hydrostatic masses, temperatures from XMM-*Newton* (Lovisari et al 2020)
- Updated *Planck* data (NPIPE release), fitting pressure profile shape, implementing rSZ spectrum
- Mass-dependent 5-15% bias in scaling relation found



## Implementation





Perrott et al, in prep. Preliminary!

 No difference to *Planck* masses! Model applies biased scaling relation to biased Y



Updated *Planck* constraints for Abell 3266. Perrott et al, in prep. Preliminary!

- No difference to *Planck* masses! Model applies biased scaling relation to biased Y
- Unbiased Y values recovered: important for cross-instrument validation/combination (eg Butler+ 2022 used *Planck* to constrain large scales)



Updated *Planck* constraints for Abell 3266. Perrott et al, in prep. Preliminary!

- No difference to *Planck* masses! Model applies biased scaling relation to biased Y
- Unbiased Y values recovered: important for cross-instrument validation/combination (eg Butler+ 2022 used *Planck* to constrain large scales)
- Unbiased M<sub>500</sub>-Y<sub>500</sub> scaling relation should be applied to SZ measurements with other instruments (eg Hilton+ 2021 use the rSZ spectrum to analyze ACT clusters but apply the *Planck* tSZ M<sub>500</sub>-Y<sub>500</sub> scaling relation)



Updated *Planck* constraints for Abell 3266. Perrott et al, in prep. Preliminary!



• Proposed 50m single dish telescope  $\rightarrow$  high angular resolution



- Proposed 50m single dish telescope  $\rightarrow$  high angular resolution
- To be situated in the Atacama desert → access to high frequencies



- Proposed 50m single dish telescope  $\rightarrow$  high angular resolution
- To be situated in the Atacama desert  $\rightarrow$  access to high frequencies
- Will observe from  $\approx 80$  1000 GHz







 Similar frequency coverage to *Planck*



- Similar frequency coverage to *Planck*
- More, narrower
  bands? May be
  better for
  constraining the
  rSZ spectrum



- Similar frequency coverage to *Planck*
- More, narrower
  bands? May be
  better for
  constraining the
  rSZ spectrum

# Angular resolution

Band	Central frequency (GHz)	Angular resolution (arcsec)	
3	100	14.84	
4	144	10.31	
5	187	7.94	
6	243	6.11	
7	324	4.58	00 / Me
8	442	3.35	$M_2$
9	661	2.25	
10	868	1.71	

 Angular resolution should allow resolved temperature profile measurements



# Sensitivity – Preliminary!

- What kind of sensitivity do you need to constrain rSZ temperature?
- Assume same observing time for all bands; test temperature constraints as a function of SNR in reference band



# Global temperature - Preliminary!

- Testing SNR on simulations... Average temperature within  $heta_{200}$ 



# Global temperature - Preliminary!

- Testing SNR on simulations... Average temperature within  $heta_{200}$ 



## Resolved profiles – Preliminary!

- Testing SNR on simulations... dividing into  $\theta$  bins



#### B8 SNR=50

## Resolved profiles – Preliminary!

- Testing SNR on simulations... dividing into heta bins

 $z = 0.2; M_{200} = 10^{15} M_{\odot}$  $z = 0.02; M_{200} = 10^{15} M_{\odot}$ 1 hrs 0.200 0.6 Noise level for SNR=20.0 / mJy/beam 0.175 0.150 0.125 4 hrs 0.100 0.075 1 hrs 16 hrs 0.050 4 hrs 16 hrs 0.025 100 hrs 100 hrs 0.0 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 0 2 6 8 10 4  $\theta$  / arcmin  $\theta$  / arcmin

B8 SNR=20

 Better constraints if more observing time is focussed on higher frequencies?

- Better constraints if more observing time is focussed on higher frequencies?
- Forward (parametric/non-parametric) model fitting?

- Better constraints if more observing time is focussed on higher frequencies?
- Forward (parametric/non-parametric) model fitting?
- Better (numerical) cluster simulations?

- Better constraints if more observing time is focussed on higher frequencies?
- Forward (parametric/non-parametric) model fitting?
- Better (numerical) cluster simulations?
- Accurate incorporation of foregrounds/backgrounds?

- Better constraints if more observing time is focussed on higher frequencies?
- Forward (parametric/non-parametric) model fitting?
- Better (numerical) cluster simulations?
- Accurate incorporation of foregrounds/backgrounds?
- Intermediate option: CCAT-prime?



# Conclusions

- With the precision and sensitivity of current and forthcoming instruments, the non-relativistic SZ spectrum is no longer an adequate approximation
- The relativistic M<sub>500</sub>-Y<sub>500</sub> scaling relation differs by up to 15% at the high-mass end and should be used to calibrate SZ masses from instruments other than *Planck*
- Relativistic SZ temperature measurements are an exciting future prospect!



The "Cheshire Cat" galaxy group. Credit: X-ray - <u>NASA / CXC</u> / <u>J. Irwin et al.</u>; Optical - <u>NASA/STScI</u>. https://apod.nasa.gov/apod/ap220511.html