# 40-Multiparticle Interactions of Zero-Range Potentials

# by

## Joseph Macek



University of Tennessee and



Oak Ridge National Laboratory

Presented at the workshop on Critical Stability, Ettore Majorama Centre for Scientific Culture 12-18 October 2008





The interaction of three He atoms is the prototype for the interaction of three bosons when there is a loosely

bound  $He_2$  dimer. In this case the impulse approximation is accurate over a large energy range and gives the expression

$$\sigma_{\rm breakup} = 2\sigma_{\rm elastic}^{(2)}$$



**FIGURE 1.** Cross section for the process  $He + He_2 \rightarrow He + He + He$  in the impulse approximation. The horizontal line corresponds to the limit cross section for the hard core of the He-He potential with  $r_0 = 4.5au$ .

Two-body zero-range (or contact) pseudopotentials are defined as

$$v(r) = 2\pi \frac{(\ell+1)[(2\ell-1)!!]}{[(2\ell)!!]} a^{2\ell+1} \frac{\delta^3(\mathbf{r})}{r^\ell} \frac{\partial^{2\ell+1}}{\partial r^{2\ell+1}} r^{\ell+1}$$



The Schrödinger equation is separable in standard independent particle coordinates  $r_{ij}$ ,  $r_{ij,k}$ , but the boundary conditions are not. Writing the solution as a contour integral over separable solutions in these coordinates and matching the boundary conditions gives the STM (Skorniakov and Ter-Martirosian) integral equation for  $\ell = 0$  bosons. The boundary conditions separate in the limit as  $a_0 \rightarrow \infty$  and an analytic solutions gives the Efimov states. For finite  $a_0$  numerical methods are employed.

#### Hyperspherical coordinates

N particle coordinates (mass scaled):  $\{\boldsymbol{r}_1, \boldsymbol{r}_2, \dots \boldsymbol{r}_{N-1}\} \rightarrow \{R, \hat{\boldsymbol{R}}\}$ 

 $\hat{R}$  = unit vector in 3(N-1) dimensions.  $R^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} r_i^2$  = hyper-radius in 3(N-1) dimensions.

$$H = T_R + \frac{\Lambda_{\hat{R}}^2}{2R^2}$$

Define basis functions  $S(
u; \hat{\boldsymbol{R}})$  according to

$$\left(\frac{\Lambda_{\hat{\boldsymbol{R}}}^2}{2R^2}\right)S(\nu;\hat{\boldsymbol{R}}) = \frac{(\lambda+3N-5)\lambda}{2R^2}S(\nu;\hat{\boldsymbol{R}})$$

For three particles one may find  $S(\nu, \hat{R})$  in closed form.

In hyperspherical coordinates there are cross derivatives between  $x=r/R=\sin\alpha$  and R

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial r} = \frac{1 - x^2}{R} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + x \frac{\partial}{\partial R}$$

even in the limit as  $x \to 0$  for general  $\ell$ . For  $\ell = 0$  and  $\ell = 1$ , however the boundary conditions become

$$\frac{(2\ell+1)!!}{(2\ell-1)!!} \frac{\partial^{2\ell+1}(x_k^{\ell+1}\Psi)}{\partial x_k^{2\ell+1}} = \left(\frac{R}{a_\ell}\right)^{2\ell+1} x_k^{\ell+1}\Psi, \quad k = 1, \ 2, \ 3.$$

In the limit that  $a \to \infty$  the equations separate and one can find the Thomas solutions  $\Psi \approx R^{\nu_j - 2}$  as  $R \to 0$  where  $\nu_j$  is a root of

$$\lim_{x \to 0} \frac{(2\ell+1)!!}{(2\ell-1)!!} \frac{d^{2\ell+1}(x_k^{\ell+1}\Psi)}{dx_k^{2\ell+1}} = 0$$

#### ZRP continued

Set  $\lim_{R\to 0}\Psi({\pmb R})\to R^{\nu-2}S(\nu,{\pmb \hat R})$  where  $S(\nu,{\pmb \hat R})$  is a solution of

$$\Lambda_{\hat{\boldsymbol{R}}}^2 S(\nu, \hat{\boldsymbol{R}}) = \nu(\nu+4)S(\nu, \hat{\boldsymbol{R}})$$

For  $\ell = 0$  bosons one has

$$S(\nu, \hat{\boldsymbol{R}}) = \sum_{k=1}^{3} \frac{\sin \nu (\pi/2 - \alpha_k)}{\sin 2\alpha_k}$$

and the boundary conditions become

$$\nu \cos \nu \frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{8}{\sqrt{3}} \sin \nu \frac{\pi}{6} = -\frac{R}{a_0} \sin \nu \frac{\pi}{2}$$

For  $a_0 \to \infty$  or  $R \to 0$  only allowed values of  $\nu = \nu_j$  are roots of the equation

$$\nu \cos \nu \frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{8}{\sqrt{3}} \sin \nu \frac{\pi}{6} = 0$$

.

For  $a_0 \to \infty$  the Schrödinger equation and the boundary conditions separate thus the effective hyper-radial potential  $V_{\text{eff}}(R) = \frac{\nu_j^2 - 1/4}{2R^2}$  holds for all R and is given by

$$\Psi_j(\boldsymbol{R}) = S(\nu_j, \hat{\boldsymbol{R}}) R^{-2} Z_\nu(KR)$$

where  $Z_{\nu}(KR)$  is a Bessel function.

**Issues:** The roots  $\nu_0 = \pm it_0$ ,  $t_0 = 1.0062...$  are complex and the solutions diverge as  $R \to 0$ . Discard solutions? Efimov's answer: no. Separable solutions for large R hold for finite range potentials if  $a_0 \to \infty$ . The complex root implies an attractive effective potential

$$V_{\text{eff}}(R) = -\frac{t_0^2 + 1/4}{2R^2}.$$

There are an infinite number of three-body bound states for such potentials. Exact solutions confirm that the oscillatory solutions cannot be discarded.

What about  $\ell \neq 0$  and spin s = 1/2 fermions?

#### Fermions

To treat fermions we must include spin in the angular function  $S(\nu, \hat{R})$ 

$$S(\nu, \hat{\boldsymbol{R}}, \sigma) = \sum_{k=1}^{3} f_{l_{x}l_{y}}(\alpha_{k}) Y_{\ell_{x}\ell_{y}LM}(\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}_{k}, \hat{\boldsymbol{y}}_{k}) \chi_{M_{S}}^{S}(ijk)$$

The boundary conditions must now be satisfied for every possible spin coupling. For s- wave psuedopotentials  $\chi(ijk)$  has the coupling  $((\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2})0, \frac{1}{2})\frac{1}{2}$  and one finds that  $\nu_i$  is a root of

$$\nu \cos \nu \frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{4}{\sqrt{3}} \sin \nu \frac{\pi}{6} = 0$$

All of the roots of this equation are real so there is neither an Efimov nor Thomas effect. All three-body threshold dynamics are determined by the two-body scattering length  $a_0$ . When  $a_0 \to \infty$  then  $\Psi(\mathbf{R}) =$  $S(\nu, \hat{\mathbf{R}}, \sigma) Z_{\nu_j}(KR)$  is an exact solution. There are an infinite number of such solutions corresponding to the infinite number of separation constants (genaralized angular momenta)  $\nu_j$ . There are no three-body bound states. For  $\ell = 1^+$  the angular factor is  $x_k \times y_k$  which is unchanged under cyclic permutations of ijk and therefore factors out of the boundary conditions. Since the space part is antisymmetric under interchange of any two coordinates, the spin coupling must be ((1/2,1/2)1,1/2),1/2 or ((1/2,1/2)1, 1/2),3/2. The latter coupling corresponds to spin polarized fermions and in this case one finds that the boundary conditions for  $a_\ell \to \infty$ become

$$\cos\nu\frac{\pi}{2} + 2_2F_1\left(-\frac{\nu}{2} + 2, \frac{\nu}{2} + 2; \frac{5}{2}; \frac{1}{4}\right) = 0$$

This equation has only real roots so that there neither an Efimov nor a Thomas effect. For the first coupling scheme with total spin equal to1/2, the boundary condition gives the equation

$$\cos\nu\frac{\pi}{2} - {}_2F_1\left(-\frac{\nu}{2} + 2, \frac{\nu}{2} + 2; \frac{5}{2}; \frac{1}{4}\right) = 0$$

This equation has complex roots  $it_0$  with  $t_0 = \pm 0.6668...$  Accordingly there is both a Thomas effect and (possibly) an Efimov effect.

#### Exact solution for $a_0 > 0$

We have seen that Efimov states correspond to exact separable solutions of the three-body Schrödinger equation with ZRPs where the scattering length  $a_{\ell} \rightarrow \infty$ .

$$\Psi(\boldsymbol{R}) = S(\nu, \hat{\boldsymbol{R}}) R^{-2} Z_{\nu}(KR)$$

When the  $a_{\ell}$  is finite (not infinite) then the boundary conditions require a superposition of separable functions

$$\Psi(\boldsymbol{R}) = R^{-2} \int_{c} A(\nu) S(\nu, \hat{\boldsymbol{R}}) Z_{\nu}(KR) \nu d\nu$$

. It follows from the boundary conditions that  $A(\nu)$  is given by the three-term recurrence relation (TTR)[PRA, **72**, 032709 (2005)]:

$$X(\nu+1)A(\nu+1) + X(\nu-1)A(\nu-1) = 2\nu\sin\nu\frac{\pi}{2}\frac{1}{Ka}A(\nu)$$

with

$$X(\nu) = \nu \cos \pi \frac{\nu}{2} - \frac{8}{\sqrt{3}} \sin \nu \frac{\pi}{2}$$

14

Exact solution for  $a_0 > 0$  and  $E \neq 0$ 

The magnitude of the  $S_{00}$  is

$$|S_{00}(E)| = \left|1 + 2iA_0 \frac{e^{i\Delta(R_0)} \sin \Delta(R_0)}{1 + e^{-2\pi t_0} e^{2i\Delta(R_0)}}\right| = \left|1 + 2iPe^{i\Delta_r(R_0)} \sin \Delta_r(R_0)\right|$$

where  $P = A_0/(2\sinh t_0)$ . The three-body recombination rate is

$$K_3 = C_3 \sin^2 \Delta_r \frac{\hbar}{m} a^4$$

with  $C_3 = 2^7 \pi^2 (4\pi - 3\sqrt{3}) / \sinh^2 t_0 = 67.1177...$  The approximate hidden crossing theory gets

$$K_3(HC) = 68.4 \sin^2(t_0 \ln(R/a) + 1.572) \frac{\hbar}{m} a^4$$

in surprisingly good agreement with the exact result where  $\Delta_r \approx t_0 \ln(R_0/a) + 1.588$ 

Approximate solution (Hyperspherical closed-coupling)

$$H = T_R + \frac{\Lambda_{\hat{R}}^2}{2R^2} + V(R)$$

Define basis functions  $\Phi(R; \hat{R})$  according to

$$\left(\frac{\Lambda_{\hat{\boldsymbol{R}}}^2}{2R^2} + V(\boldsymbol{R})\right)\Phi(R;\hat{\boldsymbol{R}}) = \frac{\nu(R)^2 - (3N-5)}{2R^2}\Phi(R;\hat{\boldsymbol{R}})$$

For ZRP  $\nu(R)$  is determined by the boundary conditions which give an equation of the form

$$f_{\ell}(\nu(R)) = R^{2\ell+1}M$$

where  $M = k^{2\ell+1} \cot \delta \approx -\frac{1}{a^{2\ell+1}}$ . The hidden crossing theory uses the effective potential  $(\nu(R)^2 - 1/4)/2R^2$  to find approximate bound states and S-matrix elements. We have seen that the approximate solutions are fairly accurate. Use the adiabatic picture to analyze various generalizations of the ZRP's.

#### Generalizations of the ZRP's

1. Use a multichannel ZRP (Oleg Kartavtsev, Few-Body Systems, **31**, 249 (2002)) M becomes a matrix. Results are promising but one quickly finds that a large number of channels are involved.

2. Include energy dependence. Why? When the first term in the effective range expansion  $-1/a^{2\ell+1}$  vanishes then the higher order terms are important. We can use the adiabatic theory to see if these terms make a significant difference to our conclusions about threshold effects.

To include energy dependence in the adiabatic picture we use the replacement  $k^2 \rightarrow \frac{\nu(R)^2 - \ell_x(\ell_x+1)/3 - \ell_y(\ell_y+1)}{R^2}$  and consider

$$f(\nu(R)) = R^{2\ell+1}M(k^2) = -\left(\frac{R}{a}\right)^{2\ell+1} + O(R^{2\ell-1})$$

For  $\ell = 0$  the effective range term in  $RM(k^2)$  is of the order of 1/R so it has little effect on threshold quantities.

For  $\ell = 1$ , the effective range term in  $R^3M(k^2)$  is of the order of Rand cannot be ignored. If this term is not zero, there are no  $\ell = 1$  Efimov states. In order to have Efimov states for  $\ell = 1$  the p-wave  $M(k^2)$  must be of the order of  $k^4$  for small k. Not possible for local short-range potentials.

3. Energy dependence allows one to model resonances

$$M = k^{2\ell+1} \cot \delta = (E_r - E)/\gamma$$



Problems with energy-dependent potentials

First problem: Solutions of the S-equation are not orthogonal.

Second problem: Multiparticle S – matrix is not defined.

Solution: Introduce energy dependence naturally. (e.g. Oleg Kartavstev's multichannel model)



### Conclusions

1. Contact interactions are usefully treated in hyperspherical coordinates.

2. Exact solutions and good approximate solutions are available.

3. p-wave states [unnatural parity] are interesting, but need a correct way to handle energy dependence.

4. Contact interactions for  $\ell > 0$  are not actually contact interactions since the limit  $r \to 0$  cannot be taken everywhere (e.g. in evaluating normalization constants).

5. Multichannel ZRP's appear to be the only way to correctly treat energy dependence with contact interactions.