Astrophysics and Cosmology with the Sunyaev Zeldovich Effects

Boris Bolliet

DAMTP Cambridge University



ACT cluster cosmology co-Leader SO SZ working group co-Leader







2023 SUMMER PROGRAM

May 28 to September 17, 2023

Groups and Clusters of Galaxies at the Crossroad between Astrophysics and Cosmology

Aug 27 to Sept 17

Organizers: Boris Bolliet, Cambridge University Stefano Borgani, University of Trieste Stefano Ettori, European Southern Observatory *Elena Pierpaoli, University of Southern California

APPLICATION DEADLINE - JANUARY 31, 2023

0

Ø ω

0 σ

Ø 4

Ø N

0

20

18



Accidental discovery of CMB in 1964 by Penzias and Wilson (Nobel 1978)

Measurement with COBE/FIRAS data Mather et al 1990 (Nobel 2006)

- Ultimate confirmation of the expanding universe (Friedmann 1922, Lemaître 1927) and the Big Bang model
- Clearly **detectable** (a lucky fact!)
- Opens the door to **precision cosmology**

- Isotropic radiation $(\Delta T/T \sim 10^{-5})$
- $\bar{T} = 2.7 \mathrm{K}$
- "Emitted" at redshift z = 1100 when the universe was 380 000 years old

When CMB is produced universe becomes **neutral**

Hydrogen + Helium Recombination





CMB anisotropy at 90 GHz with Planck and ACT

Naess et al 2020

Revealing the large scale structure of the universe and its history

Galaxy surveys

with 100's of millions objects

High sensitivity high resolution large area CMB sky maps



ACT (also SPT, Planck from sky)



credit: Van Reeven

Rubin (also DES, unWISE, SDSS, DESI,...)

See https://www.legacysurvey.org/viewer/

• Currently relies on a few observables



- Only few years back, most of these probes weren't part of precision cosmology
- SZ (about to be) part of it.... WHAT is SZ? WHY is it important? And HOW?

WHAT?

The thermal and kinetic Sunyaev Zeldovich effects (tSZ and kSZ)



• ... some maths to understand this

Sunyaev & Zeldovich ~1970

Inverse Compton Scattering

Electron gives energy to photon

Galaxy Cluster









Hot gas of electrons

THERMAL SUNYAEV ZELDOVICH EFFECT







Doppler shift of photons due to electrons bulk motion

$$\Theta_{\rm kSZ} \sim \int \sigma_{\rm T} n_e \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{n} \mathrm{d}l$$



KINETIC SUNYAEV ZELDOVICH EFFECT

• Boltzmann equation in CMB frame with Compton scattering

$$\frac{\partial n(\omega)}{\partial t} = -2 \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} d^3 p' d^3 k' W \{n(\omega)[1 + n(\omega')]f(E) - n(\omega')[1 + n(\omega)]f(E')\},$$

$$expand in powers of \Delta x \equiv \frac{\omega' - \omega}{k_B T_e}$$

$$f_C(E_C) = \begin{bmatrix} e^{\{(E_C - m) - (\mu_C - m)\}/k_B T_e} + 1\end{bmatrix}^{-1} \\ \approx e^{-\{(E_C - m) - (\mu_C - m)\}/k_B T_e},$$
Relativistic Maxwellian electron distribution

$$f_C(E_C) = \begin{bmatrix} e^{\{(E_C - m) - (\mu_C - m)\}/k_B T_e} + 1\end{bmatrix}^{-1} \\ \approx e^{-\{(E_C - m) - (\mu_C - m)\}/k_B T_e},$$
Relativistic Maxwellian electron distribution

$$f_C(E_C) = \begin{bmatrix} e^{\{(E_C - m) - (\mu_C - m)\}/k_B T_e} + 1\end{bmatrix}^{-1} \\ \approx e^{-\{(E_C - m) - (\mu_C - m)\}/k_B T_e},$$
Relativistic Maxwellian electron distribution

$$f_C(E_C) = \begin{bmatrix} e^{\{(E_C - m) - (\mu_C - m)\}/k_B T_e} + 1\end{bmatrix}^{-1} \\ \approx e^{-\{(E_C - m) - (\mu_C - m)\}/k_B T_e},$$
Relativistic Maxwellian electron distribution

$$f_C(E_C) = \begin{bmatrix} e^{\{(E_C - m) - (\mu_C - m)\}/k_B T_e} + 1\end{bmatrix}^{-1} \\ \approx e^{-\{(E_C - m) - (\mu_C - m)\}/k_B T_e},$$
Relativistic Maxwellian electron distribution

$$f_C(E_C) = \begin{bmatrix} e^{\{(E_C - m) - (\mu_C - m)\}/k_B T_e} + 1\end{bmatrix}^{-1} \\ \approx e^{-\{(E_C - m) - (\mu_C - m)\}/k_B T_e},$$
Relativistic Maxwellian electron distribution

$$f_C(E_C) = \left[e^{\{(E_C - m) - (\mu_C - m)\}/k_B T_e} + 1\end{bmatrix}^{-1} \\ \approx e^{-\{(E_C - m) - (\mu_C - m)\}/k_B T_e},$$
Relativistic Maxwellian electron distribution

$$f_C(E_C) = \left[e^{\{(E_C - m) - (\mu_C - m)\}/k_B T_e} + 1\end{bmatrix}^{-1} \\ \approx e^{-\{(E_C - m) - (\mu_C - m)\}/k_B T_e},$$
Relativistic Maxwellian electron distribution

$$f_C(E_C) = \left[e^{\{(E_C - m) - (\mu_C - m)\}/k_B T_e} + 1\end{bmatrix}^{-1} \\ = v \cdot \hat{n} \mathcal{G} + \theta_e \mathcal{Y} + v \cdot \hat{n} \theta_e \left(\frac{2}{5} \mathcal{G} - \mathcal{Y}^{(2)} + \frac{7}{5} \mathcal{Y}^{(3)} \right) + \theta_e^2 \left(-\frac{3}{10} \mathcal{Y}^{(3)} + \frac{7}{10} \mathcal{Y}^{(4)} \right) + v \cdot \hat{n} \theta_e^2 \left(\frac{1}{5} \mathcal{G} - \frac{7}{10} \mathcal{Y}^{(3)} - \frac{33}{10} \mathcal{Y}^{(4)} + \frac{11}{10} \mathcal{Y}^{(5)} \right) + O(v^2, \theta_e^3)$$
See Conston et al 2020

Relativistic (thermal) SZ effect

Relativistic thermal-kinetic SZ effect



Hot electrons Inverse-Compton scatter photons irrespective of their nature

A certainly well-known fact.... which had not been studied in details until a year ago

Radio SZ: Holder & Chluba 2021

CIB SZ: Sabyr, Hill, Bolliet 2022

Kinetic SZ



WHY?



SZ can provide unique insight into these key questions

Analog to CMB power spectra





Matter power spectrum

$$egin{aligned} \xi(r) &= \langle \delta(\mathbf{x}) \delta(\mathbf{x}')
angle = rac{1}{V} \int d^3 \mathbf{x} \, \delta(\mathbf{x}) \delta(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{r}) \, d^3 \mathbf{x} \, \delta(\mathbf{x}) \, d^3 \mathbf{x} \, \delta(\mathbf{x}) \, \delta(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{r}) \, d^3 \mathbf{x} \, \delta(\mathbf{x}) \, \delta(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{r}) \, d^3 \mathbf{x} \, \delta(\mathbf{x}) \, d^3 \mathbf{x} \, \delta(\mathbf{x}) \, \delta(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{r}) \, d^3 \mathbf{x} \, \delta(\mathbf{x}) \,$$



$$(\sigma_8)^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int d\log k W^2(kR) k^3 P(k)$$
$$0.1 \dot{h} / \text{Mpc} \lesssim k \lesssim 1 \dot{h} / \text{Mpc}$$

$$\xi(r) = \int rac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} P(k) e^{i \mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}')}$$



- S8 tension means tension between Λ CDM (model) and data (observations)
 - Is it really a tension?
 - $2-3\sigma$ is not that much... but...

Tension is seen in many **different datasets** using **different probes**, that are **independent**

• Is the **model** wrong?

SZ Tight constraints on cosmology. Test of ΛCDM.

See Bolliet, Comis, Komatsu, Macias-Perez 2018 Bolliet et al 2019



Is the data wrong? Systematics (instrumental and modeling)



Baryonic feedback (Black holes, AGN, SN) processes convert **gravitational energy into heat**, altering the distribution of matter around galaxies, **extending to the virial radius and beyond**

Poorly understood due to current scarcity of observational data....

Altered matter distribution from baryonic effects translates into suppression of small-scale matter power spectrum compared to a "dark matter only" prediction.



• Effect on higher-oder statistics ?

See Foreman et al, Barreira et al 2019

Example: Lensed CMB power spectra

NASA Decadal Survey

Of all the observational diagnostics at our disposal, the SZ effect most directly constrains the energy content of gas in galactic halos produced by the combined effects of gravitational collapse and stellar and black hole feedback. • High-resolution data

ACT+Planck



NIKA2







Naess et al 2020

• And... compared to other probes, **SZ** reaches high redshift and low-mass halos



Sensitivity to Gas Properties Near r₂₀₀



Able to map the ionized gas at the boundaries of galaxies

HOW?

1. Where do the SZ **cosmological constraints** come from?

tSZ cluster counts tSZ power spectrum tSZ cross-correlations

kSZ tomography

2. How do we measure **baryons** with SZ?

tSZ power spectrum tSZ cross-correlations

tSZ and kSZ stacking analyses **kSZ cross-correlations**

- Number of clusters, **abundance** of clusters of a given mass at a given time, can be **predicted in** Λ **CDM** (and extensions)
- Steep function of cosmological parameters σ_8 and Ω_m (and others)

 $N_{\rm theory} \propto \sigma_8^{9.8} \Omega_{\rm m}^{2.9}$

Total number of clusters



Cooray & Sheth 2002



Wikipedia

• Given number of clusters, infer cosmological parameters with maximum likelihood method (Poisson):

$$\ln \mathscr{L} = N_{\text{data}} \ln N_{\text{theory}} - N_{\text{theory}} - \ln(N_{\text{data}}!)$$

• Can do this in **bins of redshift** and **masses signal-to-noise** $\xi = Y(M, z)/\sigma_V$





- Simple and clean probe
- **Current constraints** from Planck and SPT are **competitive**
- co-Leading analysis with ACT data



Nick Battaglia (Cornell) Eunseong Lee (Cornell) Matt Hilton (KwaZulu-Natal) Andrina Nicola (Bonn)

Planck 2015: 439 with SNR>6, ACT DR5 = 3xPlanck SPT 2019: 343 with SNR>5, ACT DR5 = 7xSPT

• New SZ S8 constraints coming-up





 $\sigma_{
m T}$ $P \mathrm{d}s$ \mathcal{Y} $\overline{m_{\mathrm{e}}c^2}$

- tSZ power spectrum from *y*-map
- ACT *y*-map in the making

• tSZ power spectrum formula



• Expect important input from NIKA2 data.... And upcoming ACT data!

• Agreement between Planck tSZ power spectrum and cluster counts, and SPT constraints (cluster counts)

6

- Consistent with weak-lensing/clustering constraints (KIDS/DES/HSC)
- 2-3 σ lower than CMB



Reconcile CMB and SZ?

- Large feedback/Non thermal pressure...
 (i.e., change normalization of Mass-Observable relation)
- 2. $\Sigma m_{\nu} \approx 0.3 \text{ eV}$ (i.e., lower P(k) at high-k) *see Bolliet, Salvati, McCarthy, SPT*
- Could solve the tension with WL data simultaneously, but solutions are extreme
- 3. Systematics in X-ray temperature calibration (i.e., change normalization of Mass-Observable relation)



4. Systematics in pressure profile/HMF calibration see Ruppin et al 2021, Artis et al 2021

KV450 from Hildebrandt et al <u>1812.06076</u> (shear) DES Y1 from <u>1810.02499</u> (3x2pt) SPT SZ from bocquet et al <u>1812.01679</u>

SZ cosmology will be robust when astrophysics degeneracy (baryons) is solved

HOW?

1. Where do the SZ **cosmological constraints** come from?

tSZ cluster counts tSZ power spectrum tSZ cross-correlations

kSZ tomography

2. How do we measure **baryons** with SZ?

tSZ power spectrum tSZ cross-correlations

tSZ and kSZ stacking analyses **kSZ cross-correlations**

Illustris

--- C-OWLS AGN Theat8.7 TNG300 C-OWLS AGN --- C-OWLS AGN Theat8.5 --- BAHAMAS Theat8.0 C-OWLS AGN BAHAMAS Theat 7.6 Tight constraints on baryonic feedback processes $\widehat{\boldsymbol{z}}^{0.8}$ • Thermodynamical state of ICM an CGM gas from observations ط^ĭ 0.7 $A_{\rm mod}, \, z = 0.0$ 10^{-1} $k \ [h/Mpc]$ • Inform galaxy formation and evolution research

Shape of gas pressure and density is a direct probe of feedback HOW? 0 tSZ power spectrum T_{tsz}[μK arcmin²] $T_{ksz}[\mu K \cdot arcmin^2]$ tSZ cross-correlations tSZ and kSZ stacking analyses TNG S NGS + dustTNG H TNG H + dust **kSZ** cross-correlations Battaglia Battaglia + dust NFW Planck+13 + dust NFW trunc. 1 R_{vir} -20ACT f150 ACT f150 2 3 5 2 5 R [arcmin] R [arcmin]

Amodeo et al 2021

• Crucial for precision cosmology, to assert robustness of constraints from weak lensing and SZ

• Can probe gas in clusters/groups relevant to each large scale structure tracer experiment



- Possible with **photometric surveys** (other kSZ methods often require spectroscopic redshifts)
- Ingredients: foreground cleaned CMB map, projected map of LSS tracers



• Develop theoretical framework to accommodate parameterized density profiles: Halo Model

Main theory ingredients: halo mass function and radial distribution of tracers within halos

Density profile of the gas

We employ the **GNFW parameterization**, with slopes having a mass and redshift dependence.

(Dark matter profile is always assumed to follow NFW)

$$\rho_{\rm gas}(r) = f_{\rm b}\rho_{\rm crit}(z)u_{\rm gas}^{\rm gNFW}(r) \quad \text{with} \quad u_{\rm gas}^{\rm gNFW}(r) = C\left(\frac{r}{x_{\rm c}r_{200\rm c}}\right)^{\gamma} \left[1 + \left(\frac{r}{x_{\rm c}r_{200\rm c}}\right)^{\alpha}\right]^{-\frac{\beta+\gamma}{\alpha}}$$
(26)

where we adopt a mass definition at $\Delta = 200$, keep the inner slope parameter fixed to $\gamma = -0.2$ and use $x_c = 0.5$ as in Battaglia (2016). The functions $\{C, \alpha, \beta, \gamma\}$ are fitted to hydrodynamical simulations and written as

$$p = A_0 \left(\frac{m_{200c}}{10^{14} M_{\odot}} \right)^{A_m} (1+z)^{A_z} \quad \text{for} \quad p \in \{C, \alpha, \beta, \gamma\}.$$
(27)

• The projected-field kSZ power spectrum is an integral/convolution of the $kSZ^2 \times LSS$ bispectrum $\underbrace{C_{\ell}^{\mathrm{kSZ}^{2}X}}_{\ell} = \int \mathrm{d}\mathbf{v} W^{\mathrm{kSZ}}(\chi)^{2} W^{X}(\chi) T(\ell,\chi) \quad \text{with} \quad T(\ell,\chi) = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{2}\boldsymbol{\ell}'}{(2\pi)^{2}} f(\ell') f(|\boldsymbol{\ell} + \boldsymbol{\ell}'|) B_{v_{g}^{2}X}(\mathbf{k}_{1},\mathbf{k}_{2},\mathbf{k}_{3})$ Wiener filter Redshift kernel of kSZ and X (data analysis trick to maximize SNR) Halo model bispectrum expression $B^{1h} = \int \mathrm{d}n_1 \hat{u}_{k_1}^X(m_1) \hat{u}_{k_2}^Y(m_1) \hat{u}_{k_3}^Z(m_1)$ $B^{2h} = \int \mathrm{d}n_1 \hat{u}_{k_1}^X(m_1) \hat{u}_{k_2}^Y(m_1) \int \mathrm{d}n_2 \hat{u}_{k_3}^Z(m_2) P_L(k_3) + 2\mathrm{cyc}$ $B^{3h} = 2 \int dn_1 b^{(1)}(m_1) \hat{u}_{k_1}^X(m_1) P_L(k_1) \int dn_2 b^{(1)}(m_2) \hat{u}_{k_2}^Y(m_2) P_L(k_2) \int dn_3 b^{(1)}(m_3) \hat{u}_{k_3}^Z(m_3) F_2(k_1, k_2, k_3)$ $+ \int \mathrm{d}n_1 b^{(1)}(m_1) \hat{u}_{k_1}^X(m_1) P_L(k_1) \int \mathrm{d}n_2 b^{(1)}(m_2) \hat{u}_{k_2}^Y(m_2) P_L(k_2) \int \mathrm{d}n_3 b^{(2)}(m_3) \hat{u}_{k_3}^Z(m_3) + 2\mathrm{cyc}$

Changing the **slopes** of the gas **density profile**

- Goal is to measure these parameters
- Fast and accurate **numerical code** (used as a reference by DESC/CCL for CIB and SZ)

• Fisher matrix calculations

Hill, Ferraro, Battaglia, Liu, Spergel 2016 Planck WISE Kusiak, Bolliet, Ferraro, Hill, Krolewski 2021 Planck unWISE

- First detections very recent, only able to detect the signal... not sensitive to radial shape of the gas
- Data already on hand (ACT) should allow first detections of kSZ-galaxy lensing cross-correlations
- Shape of the gas will be measured with next generation CMB maps: SO and S4, providing cutting-edge baryonic feedback constraints, enabling calibration of baryonic effects in precision cosmology

WHAT?

Inverse Compton-scattering (thermal) and Doppler shift (kinetic) probes pressure and momentum of the gas around galaxies.

SZ is a simple and powerful probe of cosmology.

We need measurements of ICM/CGM gas thermodynamical state to reduce uncertainty in precision cosmology, also to learn about galaxy formation and evolution.

With ACT, SO, S4 SZ is the way to go.

For cosmology: Cluster counts, tSZ power spectrum, kSZ tomography.

For baryons: Cross-correlations. Stacking. Projected-field kSZ power spectrum.

The "how" is fully dependent on synergies with LSS surveys, like Rubin and Euclid.

Thanksz !