

The forward η meson cross section and transverse single spin asymmetry at PHENIX

Devon Loomis for the PHENIX Collaboration







Polarized Physics Runs at PHENIX





Year	System	\sqrt{s} (GeV)	Polarization	Recorded
			Direction	Luminosity
				(pb ⁻¹)
	p+p	62.4	transverse	0.02
2006			longitudinal	0.08
2000		200	transverse	2.7
			longitudinal	7.5
2008	p+p	200	transverse	5.2
2000	p+p	200	longitudinal	16
2009		500	longitudinai	14
2011	p+p	500	longitudinal	18
2012	p+p	200	transverse	9.7
2012		510	longitudinal	32
2013	p+p	510	longitudinal	155
	p+p			60
2015	p+Au	200	transverse	1.27
	p+AI			3.97



PHENIX Detector

Midrapidity

- $\Box \quad |\eta| < 0.35$
- Tracking: drift chamber (DC), pad chambers (PC)
- RICH
 - PID for electrons and charged pions

□ TOF

 PID for low momentum charged particles (pions,kaons,protons)

DIS2024

- EMCal
 - **D** Energy deposits of photons and electrons

Forward rapidity

- $\begin{array}{c|c} \square & \text{Muon Piston Calorimeter (MPC)} \\ \square & \pi^0 \text{ and } \eta \text{ identification through } \pi^0 \to \gamma\gamma \text{ and } \eta \to \gamma\gamma \\ \square & \sim 3.0 < |\eta| < 3.8 \end{array}$
- □ Beam-Beam Counter (BBC)
 - Collision vertex
 - Minimum bias trigger







Muon Piston Calorimeter



- **G** Forward electromagnetic calorimeter
- MPC has 196 (220) towers of lead tungstate scintillating crystal in South (North) arm
- High energy photons are detected in MPC when they shower into electrons, positrons, and photons from a combination of pair production and bremsstrahlung
 - Clusters are formed from a central tower with local energy maximum and a group of surrounding towers containing some energy of the shower

DIS2024

- □ MPC dedicated trigger (MPC4x4)
 - \Box Define groups of 4x4 towers as tiles (72 tiles in each arm)
 - MPC4x4 trigger fires when the ADC sum of towers in at least one tile exceeds threshold







Run-09 (2009) Forward η meson Cross Section





Accessing collinear η FFs with forward cross section



□ In p+p collisions, inclusive hadronic cross sections directly access quark <u>and gluon</u> fragmentation

```
d\sigma_{pp} \propto f_a(x_a)f_b(x_b)d\sigma^{ab \to cX} D_c^h(z_c)
```

 $\Box \qquad \text{Only one existing set of } \eta \text{ meson fragmentation functions (FFs)}$



UNIVERSITY C

MICHIGAN



New inp	outs for a poter	itial updated	η FF analysis	
Experiment	Observable	$\sqrt{s} (TeV)$	Pseudorapidity	
PHENIX	$d\sigma_{pp\to\eta X}$	0.2	Forward	PRD 90 072008 (2014)
PHENIX	$d\sigma_{pp\to\eta X}$	0.5	Forward	
PHENIX	$d\sigma_{pp \to \eta X}$	0.2	Midrapidity	PRD 83 032001 (2011)
PHENIX	$d\sigma_{pp\to\eta X}$	0.51	Midrapidity	In progress
ALICE	$d\sigma_{pp\to\eta X}$	2.76	Midrapidity	Eur. Phys. J.C (2017) 77:339
ALICE	$d\sigma_{pp\to\eta X}$	7	Midrapidity	Phys. Lett. B717 (2012) 162
ALICE	$d\sigma_{pp\to\eta X}$	8	Midrapidity	Eur. Phys. J.C (2018) 78:263
STAR	η/π^0	0.2	Midrapidity	PRC 81 064904 (2010)

· · · · 1 · 1 · 1 · · 1 ·



$$E\frac{d^3\sigma}{dp^3} = \frac{1}{\mathcal{L}}\frac{1}{\mathcal{BR}_{\eta\to\gamma\gamma}}\frac{1}{2\pi p_T}\frac{\Delta N^{meas}}{\epsilon_{trig}\epsilon_{reco}\Delta p_T\Delta r}$$

- **C**ross section is binned in p_T and integrated over pseudorapidity (3.0 < $|\eta|$ < 3.8)
 - $\Box \quad \text{Minimum Bias} \quad \text{p}_{\text{T}}: 1-4.5 \text{ GeV/c}$
 - $\square MPC4x4 trigger p_T: 3.5-6.5 \text{ GeV/c}$
- □ Measured independently for each MPC Arm
- **5** inputs into cross section

BR_{$$\eta \to \gamma \gamma$$} = 0.3941 ± 0.002

- Integrated Luminosity: $\mathcal{L}_{MB} = 5.52 \text{ nb}^{-1}$ $\mathcal{L}_{4x4A} = 9.26 \text{ pb}^{-1}$
- Yields
- □ Reconstruction efficiency
- Trigger Efficiency





Yields

- $\begin{tabular}{ll} $\eta \to \gamma \gamma$ reconstructed as pairs $$ of photon clusters in the MPC $$ \end{tabular} \end{tabular}$
- □ Invariant mass given by

 $M = \sqrt{4E_1E_2}\sin\frac{\theta}{2}$

□ Fit invariant mass distributions by

$$\Phi(x) = af(x; \alpha, \beta) + \frac{N}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{x-\mu}{\sigma}\right)\right]$$

Gamma distribution background Gaussian signal $f(x; \alpha, \beta) = \frac{x^{\alpha-1}e^{\beta x}\beta^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}$

- Background also estimated using Gaussian Process Regression
 - Systematic uncertainty from difference in yields between methods





Corrections

Trigger Efficiency

- Minimum Bias
 - Data driven using MPC triggered dataset $\epsilon_{trig}^{MB} = \frac{N_{MB \wedge MPC}^{\eta}}{N_{MPC}^{\eta}} = 0.92 \pm 0.04 \text{ (uniform in } p_T\text{)}$
- MPC4x4 trigger
 - Find MPC4x4 ADC sum threshold by matching the single cluster efficiencies of data and full event simulations
 - Use ADC sum threshold on single η meson simulations to find trigger efficiency vs. p_{T}

$$\epsilon_{4x4a}^{\eta}(p_T^{reco},\Theta) = \frac{\sum N^{reco}(p_T^{reco}) \times \Theta(\theta_{cl1,4x4id=(i,j,k,l)}(ADC), \theta_{cl2,4x4id=(i,j,k,l)}(ADC))}{\sum N^{reco}(p_T^{reco})}$$

action Efficiency
$$\theta_{cl,i} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } ADC_{cl,i} > ADC(\epsilon_{thresh}) \\ 0 & \text{if } ADC_{cl,i} < ADC(\epsilon_{thresh}) \end{cases}$$

DIS2024

<u>Reconstruction Efficiency</u>

- Pythia + GEANT simulations of single η mesons
- Iteratively weighted to match the flat p_T , pseudorapidity distributions to data





6.5 p (GeV/c)

(GeV/c)

single n Pythia+GEANT+Embedding

South Min Bias

orth MPC4v4.

North MPC Run-09 Cluster Pairs (0.45 < M

0.8

0.6

04

0.14

0.04



- First measurement of η meson cross section at forward rapidity at 500 GeV
- Cross checks
 - □ North and South MPC cross sections agree
 - □ Minimum bias and MPC4x4 triggered cross sections agree in overlap region $(3.5 < p_T < 4.5 \text{ GeV/c})$
- □ Systematic uncertainties
 - Uncorrelated: yield extraction, mixed event background subtraction
 - □ Correlated: MPC energy scale, trigger efficiency, reconstruction efficiency, cluster merging
- Consistent with NLO pQCD using CT18 PDFs and AESSS η meson fragmentation functions









Run-12 (2012) Forward η meson A_N





Transverse Single Spin Asymmetries (A_N)

□ In transversely polarized p+p collisions, the cross section is modified by an azimuthal modulation

 $d\sigma = (d\sigma)_0 [1 + \epsilon(\phi)], \quad \epsilon(\phi) = P_Y A_N \cos \phi$

□ Transverse single spin asymmetries quantify this modulation as a left-right asymmetry in particle production

$$A_N = \frac{d\sigma^{\uparrow} - d\sigma^{\downarrow}}{d\sigma^{\uparrow} + d\sigma^{\downarrow}} \sim \frac{d\sigma_L - d\sigma_R}{d\sigma_L + d\sigma_R}$$

- Many measurements have shown strikingly large A_N (up to 40%) that can't be described by leading twist collinear factorization
- □ To describe large A_N, one must go to sub-leading twist or introduce the transverse momentum dependent (TMD) formalism



0.4 0.6 0.8

 $x_F = 2p_z/\sqrt{s}$



0.4

0.2

0.2 0.4

arXiv:1602.03922 [nucl-ex]

XF

Beyond leading twist collinear factorization







Transverse momentum dependent (TMD) distributions

- Nonperturbative transverse momentum dependence in the leading twist PDFs or FFs
- TMD PDFs describe the spin-momentum correlations of the initial state proton and a constituent parton
- TMD FFs describe the spin-momentum correlations of the final state hadron and the fragmenting parton
- Twist-3 collinear factorization
 - Interference between the leading twist process and a process with an additional gluon connecting the hard scattering to a nonperturbative region

$$\begin{split} A_N \propto \sum_{a,b,c} & \phi_{a/A}^{(3)}(x_1, x_2, \vec{s_{\perp}}) \otimes \phi_{b/B}(x') \otimes \hat{\sigma} \otimes D_{c \to C}(z) \\ & + \sum_{a,b,c} \delta q_{a/A}(x, \vec{s_{\perp}}) \otimes \phi_{b/B}^{(3)}(x'_1, x'_2) \otimes \hat{\sigma'} \otimes D_{c \to C}(z) \\ & + \sum_{a,b,c} \delta q_{a/A}(x, \vec{s_{\perp}}) \otimes \phi_{b/B}(x') \otimes \hat{\sigma''} \otimes D_{c \to C}(z_1, z_2) \\ & & \text{Collins like} \end{split}$$



DIS2024

Forward η meson $\boldsymbol{A}_{_N}$

MICHIGAI



- $\square \quad p^{\uparrow} + p \rightarrow h + X \text{ at forward rapidity accesses high } x_F \text{ region where large nonzero asymmetries have been measured}$
 - Single scale process dominated by valence quark interactions -> probe of twist-3 ETQS qgq correlator
 - Recent phenomenological work suggests that A_N for inclusive pions is dominated by Collins-like twist-3 fragmentation term [PRD 89, 111501(R) (2014)]
- \Box Comparing to forward $\pi^0 A_N^0$ can highlight potential contribution from strange quarks
 - \Box Previous measurements hint that ηA_N could be larger than $\pi^0 A_N$



Raw asymmetries

$$A_N \cos \phi = \frac{1}{P_Y} \epsilon(\phi)$$



Square root method (geometric mean between left and right side of detector)

$$\epsilon_{sqrt}(\phi) = \frac{\sqrt{N_L^{\uparrow} N_R^{\downarrow}} - \sqrt{N_L^{\downarrow} N_R^{\uparrow}}}{\sqrt{N_L^{\uparrow} N_R^{\downarrow}} + \sqrt{N_L^{\downarrow} N_R^{\uparrow}}}$$

□ Relative luminosity method

$$\epsilon_{pol}(\phi) = \frac{N_L^{\uparrow} - \mathcal{R} N_L^{\downarrow}}{N_L^{\uparrow} + \mathcal{R} N_L^{\downarrow}}$$



UNIVERSITY OF







Background correction

- Backgrounds underneath the eta meson peak are corrected by
 - $A_N = \frac{A_N^{peak} rA_N^{bkg}}{1 r}$
- Background fraction r is determined by fits to the invariant mass distributions and the background asymmetries are the weighted mean of the asymmetries in the low mass and high mass sidebands





16

PH^{*}ENIX

- \Box Large asymmetries up to 40% at high x_F
- $\hfill\square$ Asymmetries consistent with zero at negative $x_{_{\rm F}}$
- \Box Increase in A_N from low to intermediate p_T and flattening out at high p_T







- Agreement between 2008 and 2012 PHENIX data within statistical and systematic uncertainties
- This additional statistically independent measurement should improve the existing statistical uncertainties of the overall PHENIX ηA_{N} by $\sim \sqrt{2}$





 $p^{\uparrow} + p \rightarrow \eta + X (\sqrt{s} = 200 \text{ GeV})$

PHENIX 2012 ($<\eta > = 3.49$)

0.58

4.5

0.54

0.48 0.51 0.62

p_T (GeV/c)

φ PHENIX 2008 (<η> = 3.50)

0.45 0.50

3.4% polarization scale uncertainty not shown

3.5 4

 $x_{\rm F} > 0.2$

- \Box Twist-3 calculations predict decrease in A_N of inclusive light hadrons at high x_F + high p_T
 - PRD 83, 114024 (2011)
 - PRD 89, 111501(R) (2014)
- A potential hint of this decrease can be seen when separating the $\eta A_N vs. p_T$ into intermediate $x_F (0.2 < x_F < 0.6)$ and high $x_F (0.6 < x_F < 0.8)$ regions













- \Box The forward η meson cross section and transverse single spin asymmetry measurements probe the unpolarized and polarized structure of the proton
- The recent measurement of the PHENIX Run 9 forward η meson cross section can be used in a future improved global fit to the η meson fragmentation functions
- □ The PHENIX Run 12 forward η meson A_N should reduce the existing PHENIX η meson A_N statistical uncertainty by a factor of $\sim \sqrt{2}$
 - \Box Explore the differences between the η and $\pi^0 A_N$
 - □ Access to twist-3 correlators





Backup



21

Systematics for forward η meson cross section



- □ MPC energy scale
- Yield fits to invariant mass distributions
- □ Mixed event background subtraction
- □ Reconstruction efficiency GEANT
- Trigger efficiencies
- $\Box \quad \text{Cluster merging at high } p_{T}$





Systematics for forward η meson $\boldsymbol{A}_{_{N}}$



- □ Square root vs. relative luminosity method
- Background fraction fits to invariant mass distributions
- Bunch shuffling





Polarimetry at RHIC

pC polarimeters

- Proton-carbon elastic scattering in the Coulomb-nuclear interference region
- Sizable A_{N} in process with large cross section and weak \sqrt{s} dependence
- Beam polarization measurements several times per fill

$$P_B = \frac{1}{A_N} \frac{\sigma^{\uparrow} - \sigma^{\downarrow}}{\sigma^{\uparrow} + \sigma^{\downarrow}}$$

- Absolute H-jet polarimeter
 - Polarized hydrogen gas jet target
 - Requires data taking across multiple fills due to limited statistics from small cross section
 - Accuracy to a few percent for CNI elastic A_{N}
 - Used for calibration of the fast pC CNI polarimeters recoil detectors

jet target

B

blue beam

recoil detectors









Dominant partonic processes at PHENIX

Reaction	Dom. partonic process	probes	LO Feynman diagram
$\vec{p}\vec{p} \rightarrow \pi + X$	$ec{g}ec{g} ightarrow gg$	Δg	gooo of
	ec q ec g ightarrow qg		2 Contraction of the second se
$\vec{p}\vec{p} \rightarrow \text{jet}(s) + X$	$ec{g}ec{g} ightarrow gg \ ec{q}ec{g} ightarrow qg$	Δg	(as above)
$ \vec{p}\vec{p} \rightarrow \gamma + X \vec{p}\vec{p} \rightarrow \gamma + \text{jet} + X $	$ec{q}ec{g} ightarrow\gamma q \ ec{q}ec{g} ightarrow\gamma q$	$egin{array}{c} \Delta g \ \Delta g \end{array}$	<u>م</u> ر
$\vec{p}\vec{p} \rightarrow \gamma\gamma + X$	$ar{q}ar{q} o \gamma\gamma$	$\Delta q, \Delta \bar{q}$	
$\vec{p}\vec{p} \rightarrow DX, BX$	$\vec{g}\vec{g} ightarrow car{c}, bar{b}$	Δg	Jassed





η meson cross sections

 200 GeV forward η cross section from PHENIX consistent with NLO pQCD
 NLO pQCD agrees with 900 GeV ALICE midrapidity η cross section but overestimates cross section at 7 TeV and 8 TeV



