Highlights on top-quark properties, mass and cross-section measurements with the ATLAS detector International Workshop on Deep Inelastic Scattering

#### L. Monsonis, on behalf of the ATLAS collaboration

IFIC, University of Valencia

April 10, 2024











### Top-quark properties, production and decay

### Top-quark properties (PDG-2012)

- Spin: s = 1/2
- Charge: Q = +2/3







Top-quark is the unique quark which decays before hadronization due to its short lifetime. It allows the study of bare quarks

### Top quark mass measurement

 Relations between top and Higgs masses change in new physics: mass measurements contribute to restrict the parameters space of BSM models.

• Yukawa coupling  $\sim$  1 to Higgs boson: the top and Higgs masses play a important role in the EW vacuum stability.



## Top quark in LHC

#### • LHC is a TOP QUARK FACTORY.

- Large production cross sections provided by high center-of-mass energy.
- Allowing precision studies for:
  - Inclusive and differential cross-sections for various production processes.
  - Determination of top quark properties: mass, width, charge asymmetry, spin-correlation,...
  - Improving modeling of QCD and PDF.
- In this presentation a brief summary of the ATLAS recent properties top-quark properties measurements will be shown.



### Single top t-channel total cross-section (arXiv:2403.02126)

This analysis performs the measurement of the inclusive t-channel top and anti-top cross section and their ratio  $R_t = \sigma_t / \sigma_{\overline{t}}$ .

#### Motivation:

- Precision measurement of the largest single top production channel.
- To provide a measurement using the full Run 2 dataset (previous result uses  $3.2 \ fb^{-1}$ ).
- Testing pdfs (particularly for  $R_t$ ).
- Extraction of  $|V_{tb}|$  and also constraints on other CKM values.
- Search for new physics in the EFT framework.

A neural network has been used to separate t-channel single top-quark signal events from the expected background events.



## Single top t-channel total cross-section (arXiv:2403.02126)

A binned profile maximum likelihood fit to neural network discrimant used to determine the cross-section.

#### **RESULTS**:

$\sigma_t$	$\sigma_{\bar{t}}$	$\sigma_{ m tch}$	$R_t$
$137.0^{+8.1}_{-7.6}$	$83.8^{+5.5}_{-5.2}$	$221^{13}_{-13}$	$1.636^{+0.036}_{-0.034}$

Relative uncertainties:  $\sim 6~\%$  for each cross section.

#### **PREDICTIONS:**

$\sigma_t$	$\sigma_{\overline{t}}$
$134.2\pm2.2$	80.0

 $f_{l\nu}|V_{tb}| = 1.015 \pm 0.031$ 30 % improvement respect combination ATLAS and CMS Run I measurement.

#### **EFT** interpretation overview:

• Only the four-fermion operator  $O_{Qq}^{3,1}$ , constrain  $C_{Qq}^{3,1}$ , is consider.



- 95 % CL is extracted from a likelihood scan.
- Obtained confidence interval:  $-0.272 < C_{Oa}^{3,1} < 0.206.$

# $t\overline{t}$ cross-section and $t\overline{t}/Z$ cross-section ratio using LHC Run 3 (Phys. Lett. B 848 (2024))

#### Motivation:

- Measure inclusive  $t\overline{t}$  cross-section in dilepton channel only:
  - Smaller background.
  - Low dependence on jet uncertainties.
- Measure  $t\overline{t}/Z$  cross-section ratio:
  - Lepton uncertainties are reduced somewhat.
  - Sensitive to gluon/quark PDFs.

#### $t\overline{t}$ cross section:

• A profile likelihood fit to the number of events with one b-tagged jet (*N*<sub>1</sub>) and two b-tagged jets (*N*<sub>2</sub>).



# $t\bar{t}$ cross-section and $t\bar{t}/Z$ cross-section ratio using LHC Run 3 (Phys. Lett. B 848 (2024))





$$\begin{split} \sigma_{t\bar{t}}/\sigma_{Z}^{\rm SM} &= 1.245 \pm 0.076(\text{scale} + \text{PDF}, \text{PDF4LHC21}), \\ \sigma_{t\bar{t}}^{\rm SM} &= 924_{-40}^{+32}(\text{scale} + \text{PDF})\text{pb}, \\ \sigma_{Z}^{\rm SM} &= 741 \pm 15(\text{scale} + \text{PDF})\text{pb} \end{split}$$

#### Fitted values:

 $\sigma_{t\bar{t}}/\sigma_{Z} = 1.145 \pm 0.003(\text{stat}) \pm 0.021(\text{syst}) \pm 0.002(\text{lumi}),$  $\sigma_{t\bar{t}} = 850 \pm 3(\text{stat}) \pm 18(\text{syst}) \pm 20(\text{lumi})\text{pb},$  $\sigma_{Z} = 743.6 \pm 0.2(\text{stat}) \pm 10.7(\text{syst}) \pm 16.9(\text{lumi})\text{pb},$ 

Experimental uncertainties for σ<sub>tt</sub> and σ<sub>tt</sub>/σ<sub>Z</sub> are smaller than those of the theory predictions.
Relative uncertainties around 2-3 % (limited by systematic uncertainties).

This analysis performs the measurement of the substructure of top quark decays using the lepton+jets and all-hadronic final states in the boosted regime.

#### Motivation:

- Poor modelling of jet substructure in data by current MC generators.
- Effects beyond the SM can appear as modifications of the top-quark substructure.
- High sensitivity to some MC parameters.

**Unfolding** is performed using Iterative Bayesian approach.

## Substructure observables considered in the studies:

Variable	Motivation	
	Tagging, sensitive to FSR/ISR	
N-Subjettiness Ratios	variations, sensitive to generator	
	variation	
	Tagging, sensitive to FSR	
Energy-Correlation Functions	variations, sensitive to generator	
	variation	
	Tagging, sensitive to FSR	
ECF Variables	variations, sensitive to generator	
	variation	
h Splitting Expetienc	Sensitive to FSR/ISR variations,	
$\kappa_t$ spinning Functions	sensitive to generator variation	
Eccentricity	Sensitive to FSR variations	
	Sensitive to FSR/ISR variations,	
Generalised Angularities	sensitive to generator variation	
0	Sensitive to FSR/ISR variations,	
$\mathcal{Q}_W$	sensitive to generator variation	

#### **Results: Single Differential:**



•  $\tau_{32}$  is one of the variables with worst agreement with the data.

• In lepton+jets channel PWG+H7 and PWG+PY8 FSR down give the best agreement with data.

#### **Results: Double Differential:**



• The predictions of  $\tau_{32}$  versus  $m_{top}$  show poor agreement in the central  $m_{top}$ .

#### **Results: Double Differential:**



• The predictions of  $D_2$  correlations with  $m_{top}$  and  $p_T^{top}$  are generally in better agreement with the unfolded data than  $\tau_{32}$ 

## Top-quark mass combination ATLAS/CMS run I (arXiv:2402.08713)

This analysis performs the combination of fifteen top-quark mass measurements performed by the ATLAS and CMS experiment at the LHC for 7, 8 TeV analysis in different final state channels.

Metodology:

- Use Best Linear Unbiased Estimator method (BLUE).
- Must calculate/estimate the correlation between the measurements to get final covariance matrix.

Result:

 $m_t = 172.52 \pm 0.14 ({
m stat}) \pm 0.30 ({
m syst})$  GeV (  $\sim 0.2$  % precision)

The combination achieves an improvement in the total uncertainty of 31% relative to the most precise input measurement.



## Top-quark mass using dileptonic invariant mass(ATLAS-CONF-2022-058)



- Select top pairs dilepton channel
- Generate templates for *m*<sub>lb</sub> distribution as a function of *m*<sub>top</sub>.
- DNN for event reconstruction.
- Likelihood fit to find best value for *m<sub>top</sub>*.



 $m_t = 172.21 \pm 0.20 (stat) \pm 0.67 (syst) \pm 0.39 (recoil) GeV$ 

Leading systematic uncertainties: JES, recoil scheme, ME matching, color reconnection

### Top-quark mass using a leptonic invariant mass(arXiv:2209.00583)

- Uses the semileptonic channel, data with 36.1<sup>-1</sup> of luminosity.
- *m*<sub>lμ</sub> is reconstructed with a lepton (*e* or μ) from W boson and a soft muon originating from a b-quark decays.
- Likelihood fit to find best value for  $m_{top}$ .

#### Motivation:

- Compared to the standard direct reconstruction methods:
  - Smaller sensitivity to the JES/JER.
  - Less sensitivity to top-quark production modelling.



#### Result:

 $m_t = 174.41 \pm 0.39({
m stat}) \pm 0.66({
m syst}) \pm 0.25({
m recoil})$  GeV

## Quantum entanglement in events with top-quark pairs (arXiv:2311.07288)

**Quantum Entanglement** is the phenomena that the quantum state of each particle cannot be described independently of the state of other.

#### Motivation:

- Top quark properties allows us to test Quantum Entanglement.
- Not explicitly measured before between a pair of quarks.
- Use dilepton channel: leptons carry 100 % of the spin information of their parent top quark.

**Condition for entanglement**: tr[C] + 1 < 0, where *C* is the spin correlations matrix.

if 
$$D = tr[C]/3$$
 where  $D = -3 < cos\phi >$   
 $\rightarrow D < -1/3$ 

#### $cos(\phi)$ detector distribution and D values:



## Quantum entanglement in events with top-quark pairs (arXiv:2311.07288)

- Select the most sensitive kinematical region: m<sub>tt̄</sub> < 380 GeV (Eur. Phys. J. Plus (2021)).
- Obtain the measured D from calibration curve.
- Measurement at particle level to reduce parton shower uncertainty.



Particle-level Invariant Mass Range [GeV]

Results:

$$D = -0.537 \pm 0.002[stat.] \pm 0.019[syst.]$$
 for  $m_{t\bar{t}} < 380$ ,

First observation of quantum entanglement in top quark events

- LHC provided the largest top quark data sets ever.
- Many measurements with Run-2 data confirm good agreements with SM expectations.
- First Run-3 measurements are being published.
- Many precision measurements are limited by modelling uncertainties. Differential measurements improve them.
- Highlight selection of the latest ATLAS publications have presented in this talk (top public result webpage).
- Other interesting results:
  - t-channel production at 5 TeV (arXiv:2310.01518).
  - $t\bar{t}$  production at 8.16 TeV (ATLAS-CONF-2023-063).

## BACK UP

#### Unfolding:

- Unfolding performed using Iterative Bayesian approach.
- Studying the average correlation factor, we have selected 6 as number of iterations for all observables.
- Unfolding Tests: Stress Test
  - Reweight the nominal prediction at both reco- and particle-level using the same stressing function f(x).
  - Calculate the ratio of the unfolded reweighted-reco to the reweighted-particle.
  - This ratio should be 1 if the unfolding is unbiased when subjected to the stress



## $t\overline{t}$ cross-section and $t\overline{t}/Z$ cross-section ratio using LHC Run 3 (arXiv:2308.09529)

Observed impact of the different sources of uncertainty on the  $t\bar{t}$  and Z-boson cross sections and their ratio R

	Category	Uncertainty [%]		
		$\sigma_{t\bar{t}}$	$\sigma^{\text{fid.}}_{Z \to \ell \ell}$	$R_{t\bar{t}/Z}$
tī	tī parton shower/hadronisation	0.9	< 0.2	0.9
	$t\bar{t}$ scale variations	0.4	< 0.2	0.4
	tt normalisation	-	< 0.2	-
	Top quark $p_T$ reweighting	0.6	< 0.2	0.6
Ζ	Z scale variations	< 0.2	0.4	0.3
Bkg.	Single top modelling	0.6	< 0.2	0.6
-	Diboson modelling	< 0.2	< 0.2	0.2
	ttV modelling	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2
	Fake and non-prompt leptons	0.6	< 0.2	0.6
Lept.	Electron reconstruction	1.2	1.0	0.4
-	Muon reconstruction	1.4	1.4	0.3
	Lepton trigger	0.4	0.4	0.4
Jets/tagging	Jet reconstruction	0.4	-	0.4
	Flavour tagging	0.4	-	0.3
	PDFs	0.5	< 0.2	0.5
	Pileup	0.7	0.8	< 0.2
	Luminosity	2.3	2.2	0.3
	Systematic uncertainty	3.2	2.8	1.8
	Statistical uncertainty	0.3	0.02	0.3
	Total uncertainty	3.2	2.8	1.9

## Top-quark mass combination ATLAS/CMS run I (arXiv:2402.08713)

Correlation strengths  $\rho$  of the systematic uncertainty categories between ATLAS and CMS, as used in the combination

Uncertainty category	ρ	Scan range	$\Delta m_t/2$ [MeV]	$\Delta \sigma_{m_t}/2$ [MeV]
IES 1	0	_		
IES 2	0	[-0.25, +0.25]	8	7
JES 3	0.5	[+0.25, +0.75]	1	<1
b-JES	0.85	[+0.5, +1]	26	5
g-JES	0.85	[+0.5, +1]	2	$<\!\!1$
1-JES	0	[-0.25, +0.25]	1	< 1
CMS JES 1	_		_	_
JER	0	[-0.25, +0.25]	5	1
Leptons	0	[-0.25, +0.25]	2	2
b tagging	0.5	[+0.25, +0.75]	1	1
$p_{T}^{miss}$	0	[-0.25, +0.25]	< 1	$<\!\!1$
Pileup	0.85	[+0.5, +1]	2	$<\!1$
Trigger	0	[-0.25, +0.25]	<1	<1
ME generator	0.5	[+0.25, +0.75]	<1	4
QCD radiation	0.5	[+0.25, +0.75]	7	1
Hadronization	0.5	[+0.25, +0.75]	1	< 1
CMS b hadron $B$	_		_	_
Color reconnection	0.5	[+0.25, +0.75]	3	1
Underlying event	0.5	[+0.25, +0.75]	1	$<\!\!1$
PDF	0.85	[+0.5, +1]	1	< 1
CMS top quark $p_T$	—	_	_	_
Background (data)	0	[-0.25, +0.25]	8	2
Background (MC)	0.85	[+0.5, +1]	2	<1
Method	0	_	_	_
Other	0	_	_	_

## Top-quark mass combination ATLAS/CMS run I (arXiv:2402.08713)

## The measured $m_{top}$ is given together with the statistical top and systematic uncertainties in GeV for the $m_{lb}^{High}$ observable

	mtop [GeV]
Result	172.21
Statistics	0.20
Method	$0.05\pm0.04$
Matrix-element matching	$0.40 \pm 0.06$
Parton shower and hadronisation	$0.05 \pm 0.05$
Initial- and final-state QCD radiation	$0.17 \pm 0.02$
Underlying event	$0.02 \pm 0.10$
Colour reconnection	$0.27 \pm 0.07$
Parton distribution function	$0.03 \pm 0.00$
Single top modelling	$0.01 \pm 0.01$
Background normalisation	$0.03 \pm 0.02$
Jet energy scale	$0.37 \pm 0.02$
b-jet energy scale	$0.12 \pm 0.02$
Jet energy resolution	$0.13 \pm 0.02$
Jet vertex tagging	$0.01 \pm 0.01$
<i>b</i> -tagging	$0.04 \pm 0.01$
Leptons	$0.11 \pm 0.02$
Pile-up	$0.06 \pm 0.01$
Recoil effect	$0.39 \pm 0.09$
Total systematic uncertainty (without recoil)	$0.67 \pm 0.05$
Total systematic uncertainty (with recoil)	$0.77 \pm 0.06$
Total uncertainty (without recoil)	$0.70 \pm 0.05$
Total uncertainty (with recoil)	$0.80 \pm 0.06$