#### Jet substructure measurements and precision measurements of multijet production with the ATLAS experiment

Zdenek Hubacek Czech Technical University in Prague On behalf of the ATLAS Collaboration

DIS2024, Apr 8-12,2024, Grenoble



Co-funded by the European Union









ZECH TECHNICAL INIVERSITY N PRAGUE

CTU

## Outline

- Test of various QCD aspects in pp collisions at the LHC
- Improving parton shower
   modelling
- Lund jet plane in dijet events
- Event isotropies





## **Motivation**

- Jets produced in hard scattering process, production described by perturbative QCD, now at NNLO in  $\alpha_{\rm S}$
- Proton structure described by <sup>\*\*\*</sup>
   PDFs (non-perturbative effects)
- Jet substructure is also affected by soft emissions

Understanding QCD is essential for everything at the hadron colliders

mmm

Original credit: Benjamin Nachman

## Lund plane

- Lund diagrams are a theoretical representation of the phasespace within jets
- Brings many soft-drop related observables into a single framework
- Experimentally: recluster a jet with a C/A algorithm and then decluster following the hardest branch





#### **Measurement of Lund subjet multiplicities**

- N<sub>Lund</sub> and N<sup>Primary</sup><sub>Lund</sub> subjet multiplicity (number of subjets above specified p<sub>T</sub>)
- Measured in ATLAS **dijet** events at  $\sqrt{s}=13$ TeV
- 8 different emission (k<sub>t</sub>) requirements (0.5 GeV, 1 GeV, 2 GeV, 5 GeV, 10 GeV, 20 GeV, 50 GeV, 100 GeV)
- Measured differentially in jet p<sub>T</sub> and relativerapidity bins (separating more-central and more-forward)
- Compared to state-of-the-art PS Monte Carlo and to the analytical NLO+NNDL calculation





 $k_t = p_T^{\text{emission}} \times \Delta R(p^{\text{emission}}, p^{\text{core}})$ 



## Lund subjet multiplicity analysis selection

- Dijet events,  $p_T$ >120 GeV and |y|<2.1, balanced  $p_T^{Lead}<1.5xp_T^{Sublead}$
- All tracks with  $p_T$ >500MeV within  $\Delta R$ =0.4 of selected jets are reclustered using the C/A algorithm
- Emission k<sub>T</sub> rescaled by the charged/total p<sub>T</sub>

- Data unfolded using iterative Bayesian unfolding using the nominal Pythia MC
- JES (2—4%) and track reconstruction uncertainties are typically the dominant systematical uncertainties



## Lund subjet multiplicity





#### MC Models:

#### **Pythia 8.230**

 $\circ$  p<sub>T</sub> ordered PS evolution

#### Sherpa 2.2.5 (x2)

- AHADIC cluster model
- o Lund string model
- Sherpa 2.2.11 (x2)
  - o p<sub>T</sub> ordered
  - o **DIRE**

#### Herwig 7.1.3

o Angle ordered PS

#### Powheg+Pythia

- Sherpa 3 + ALARIC
- DIRE includes higher-order splittings but not at NLL accuracy ALARIC is NLL accurate

#### Perturbative



- Herwig gives best overall description of multiplicities
- Sherpa best when non-perturbative (low k<sub>t</sub>) emissions allowed

**Non-perturbative** 



#### **Comparison with analytical calculations**





#### NLO+NNDL+NP prediction provided by authors of JHEP 04 (2023) 104

DIS2024, Apr 8-12, 2024, Grenoble

#### **Event shape variables**

- Family of observables which characterize the event topology and/or energy flow in collider events
- Thrust, thrust minor, sphericity, aplanarity
  - event isotropies

Example: **Transverse thrust** – thrust axis  $n_{\perp}$  to which the projections of  $p_{T}$  are maximised,  $0 \le \tau_{\perp} < 1 - 2/\pi$ 





DIS2024, Apr 8-12, 2024, Grenoble

#### **Event shapes as a geometrical problem**

- Event shapes together with other concepts unified through a geometric language <u>JHEP07 (2020) 006</u>
- Energy (Earth) mover's distance EMD

   a measure of distance between two
   probability distributions = minimal
   amount of work to rearrange one
   event *E* into another *E*'

$$\operatorname{EMD}_{\beta}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}') = \min_{\{f_{ij} \ge 0\}} \sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{j=1}^{M'} f_{ij} \theta_{ij}^{\beta},$$

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^{M} f_{ij} = E'_{j}, \quad \sum_{j=1}^{M'} f_{ij} = E_{i}, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{j=1}^{M} f_{ij} = \sum_{i=1}^{M} E_{i} = \sum_{j=1}^{M'} E_{j} = E_{tot}$$

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^{M} P_{2}^{BB}, E$$

$$\prod_{i=1}^{M} P_{2}^{BB}$$

$$\prod_{i=1}^{M} C_{i} = E_{i}, \quad D_{i} = E_$$



## **Event isotropies**

- EMD problem can be solved using Optimal Transport methods
- Event isotropies how far is a collider event  $\mathcal{E}$ from a symmetric radiation pattern  $\mathcal{U}$ ,  $\mathcal{I}=\text{EMD}(\mathcal{E},\mathcal{U})$   $\mathcal{I}\in[0,1]$
- Completely isotropic events  $\mathcal{I}=0$

























































Run 300687, Event 1358542809 – The most isotropic  $(1-I_{Ring}^{N=128}=0.922)$  has 12 jets with  $p_T>60$  GeV







## **Event isotropies**

- $N_{jet} \ge 2, H_{T2} \ge 500 \text{ GeV}$
- 3 isotropies binned in  $N_{iet}$  ( $\geq$  2,3,4,5) and H<sub>T2</sub> (≥ 500,1000,1500 GeV)



 $\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}(l_{\mathrm{Ring}}^2)}$ 

Pvthia

♦ Powheg+Herwig

∇ Sherpa (Lund)

Herwig (Dipole)

**/**2 Rina

Data

Sherpa (AHADIC)

+ Herwig (Ang. ord.)

 $\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}, 140 \text{ fb}^{-1} \square \text{Powheg+Pythia}$ 

ATLAS

 $= N_{\text{iets}} \ge 2$ 

 $H_{T_2} \ge 500 \text{ GeV}$ 

 $10^{2}$ 

10

## **Event isotropies –** $I_{\rm Ring}^2$

- N<sub>jet</sub> ≥ 2, H<sub>T2</sub> ≥ 500 GeV
- 3 isotropies binned in N<sub>jet</sub> (≥ 2,3,4,5) and H<sub>T2</sub> (≥ 500,1000,1500 GeV)
- Overall, the isotropic region is best described by NLO MC
- No significant differences are observed between the cluster and Lund string hadronisation models for the Sherpa samples





## **Event isotropies –** $I_{\rm Ring}^{128}$

- Dynamic range 6 orders of magnitude
- Quality of modelling very different from I<sup>2</sup><sub>Ring</sub>
   (Powheg+Pythia/Herwig very different from other MC)
- Herwig dipole predicts relatively more dijet-like events than angular ordered









- ATLAS QCD program probes both its soft and hard aspects
- Lund plane multiplicities and Event isotropies measurements presented
- Further details can be found in the retrospective publications



# Thank you

Name of the project: Fundamental constituents of matter through frontier technologies (FORTE) Registration number: CZ.02.01.01/00/22\_008/0004591











#### **Backup**



#### ATLAS jets and jet energy scale

- Anti-k<sub>T</sub> R=0.4 used by default
- (Large R=1.0 in certain analyses, R=0.2 subjet analyses)
- PFlow objects (combination of calorimeter+tracker information)







- Jet response varies by 1–2% depending on hadronization model in the simulation
- Fraction of energy carried by baryons (and kaons to lesser extent) varies significantly between generators