TMD factorisation for diffractive jets in photon-nucleus interaction

Siggi Hauksson IPhT, Saclay

DIS 2024, Grenoble April 9th 2024

In collaboration with E. Iancu, A. Mueller, D. Triantafyllopoulos, S.Y. Wei

arXiv:2402.14748

ELE NOR

Image: A math the second se

Introduction

- Color glass condensate (CGC) describes physics of order Q_s in nuclei at high energy. [See e.g. lancu, Venugopalan (2003); Gelis, lancu, Jalilian-Marian, Venugopalan (2010)]
- Experimental processes also involve hard scales:
 - E.g. Q^2 in DIS and transverse momentum P_{\perp} (back-to-back jets).
- Do CGC predictions capture physics of hard scales as well?
 - Often captured by collinear and TMD factorization.
- TMD factorization seen in inclusive eA, pA processes, even at NLO. [Dominguez, Marquet, Xiao, Yuan (2011); Taels, Altinoluk, Beuf, Marquet (2022); Caucal, Salazar, Schenke, Stebel, Venugopalan (2023)]
- We want to consider diffractive DIS.
 - More sensitive to saturation.
 - Simpler setup to study CGC and factorization.

2/15

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三日 のの()

Introduction

• Typical approach: assume collinear factorization, fit diffractive PDF with data.

$$\frac{d\sigma_D^{\gamma A \to XA}}{d\ln \frac{1}{\beta}} = \left(\frac{4\pi^2 \alpha_{em}}{Q^2}\right) \otimes \beta q^D(\beta, x_P, Q^2)$$

• Our work: only use diagrams in CGC framework and dipole picture.



- Two observables: diffractive SIDIS and diffractive dijet production.
 - NEW Establish TMD factorization, e.g. for SIDIS,

$$\frac{d\sigma_D^{\gamma A \to XA}}{d\ln \frac{1}{\beta} d^2 \mathbf{K}} = \left(\frac{4\pi^2 \alpha_{em}}{Q^2}\right) \otimes \frac{d\beta q^D(\beta, x_P, Q^2)}{d^2 \mathbf{K}}$$

- NEW Universality of diffractive TMDs across different processes. See also [Hatta, Xiao, Yuan (2022)]
- NEW Show emergence of DGLAP at TMD level for target.

DIS 2024

Setup of calculation

• Diffractive DIS using CGC formalism.

[For recent NLO work see e.g. Boussarie, Grabovsky, Szymanowski, Wallon (2016); Beuf, Hanninen, Lappi, Mulian, Mantysaari (2022); Fucilla, Grabovsky, Li, Szymanowski, Wallon (2023); Beuf, Lappi, Mantysaari, Paatelainen, Penttala (2024)]

- Look at coherent events: target emerges unbroken.
 - Small transverse momentum exchange.
 - Colorless exchange (pomeron).
- Elastic scattering: Cross section goes like T² (sensitive to strong scattering and saturation).
 - E.g. in MV model

$$\mathcal{T}(R) = 1 - \exp\left(-\frac{R^2 Q_A^2}{4} \ln \frac{4}{R^2 \Lambda^2}\right)$$

[McLerran, Venugopalan (1994)]

• Characterize rapidity gap with $\beta = Q^2/(Q^2 + M_X^2) \sim \frac{1}{2}.$

•
$$\beta = x_{\rm Bj}/x_{\rm P}$$
 where $x_{\rm Bj} = q^-/P_N^-$ and $x_{\rm P} = p_{\rm P}^-/P_N^-$

- Fraction of longitudinal momentum carried from pomeron to photon.
- $\ln \frac{1}{\beta} = \ln \frac{1}{x_{\text{Ri}}} \ln \frac{1}{x_{\text{R}}}$ can be interpreted as the complementary rapidity gap.



April 9th 2024

Diffractive SIDIS: exclusive dijets



• Wavefunction in dipole picture is $(\theta_1 = k_1^+/q^+, \theta_2 = k_2^+/q^+)$

$$\psi^{i}_{\lambda_{1},\lambda_{2}} = \delta_{\lambda_{1}\lambda_{2}} \sqrt{\frac{q^{+}}{2}} \frac{ee_{f}}{(2\pi)^{3}} \frac{\varphi^{ij}\left(\theta_{1},\lambda_{1}\right)k_{1}^{j}}{k_{1\perp}^{2} + \overline{Q}^{2}}$$

• Typical momentum is $k_{1\perp}^2 \sim \overline{Q}^2 = heta_1 heta_2 Q^2.$

- Add interaction with target in CGC picture: $\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{R}) = \left\langle 1 \frac{1}{N_c} \operatorname{tr} \left[V(\mathbf{x}) V^{\dagger}(\mathbf{y}) \right] \right\rangle$,
- For strong scattering need R = |x − y| ~ 1/k_{1⊥} ~ 1/Q_s:
 k₁² ~ Q_s² implies θ₁θ₂ ≪ 1: very asymmetric jets (aligned jets).

• $\frac{d\sigma}{d\theta_1 d^2 \mathbf{K}} \rightarrow \frac{d\sigma}{d \ln \frac{1}{\beta} d^2 \mathbf{K}}$: go from projectile perspective (θ_1) to target perspective (β) .

Diffractive SIDIS: exclusive dijets

• At leading twist (Q^2 large) get

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\ln\frac{1}{\beta}\,d^2\mathbf{K}} = \frac{4\pi^2\alpha_{em}\sum e_f^2}{Q^2} \left.2\frac{dxq_{\mathbb{P}}(x,x_{\mathbb{P}},K_{\perp}^2)}{d^2\mathbf{K}}\right|_{x=\beta}$$

- We see TMD factorization.
- Here

$$\frac{dxq_{\mathbb{P}}(x,x_{\mathbb{P}},K_{\perp}^2)}{d^2\mathbf{K}} \sim S_{\perp} \frac{2N_c}{(2\pi)^4} x \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } K_{\perp} \ll Q_s \\ \frac{(1-x)^2 Q_s^4}{K_{\perp}^4} & \text{for } K_{\perp} \gg Q_s \end{cases}$$

- TMD heavily suppressed at $K_{\perp} \gg Q_s$ because of small dipole (color transparency), $\sigma \sim T^2$.
- Numerics: MV model with BK evolution (coll. improved + running coupling). $(\tilde{Q}_s^2 = (1-x)Q_s^2)$



Diffractive SIDIS: exclusive dijets



- Do calculation from point of view of projectile (θ_1) but interpret from point of view of target (β) .
- Diffractive TMD measures quark taken from pomeron.
- The quark is absorbed by the photon to give the quark we measure (hard process).

ELE DOG

7/15

< □ > < 同 >

- How to produce diffractive hard dijets (P_⊥ ≫ Q_s)?
- Exclusive dijets (formally LO): suppressed as 1/P⁶_⊥.
- (2+1) jets (formally NLO): goes like $1/P_{\perp}^4$
 - $\bullet\,$ Suppressed by α_s but dominates at large P_\perp
- Need a quark with $K_{\perp} \sim Q_s$.
- Effectively a $q\overline{q}$ dipole which interacts strongly with the target.





- Have two momentum scales: $K_{\perp} \sim Q_s$ and $P_{\perp} \gg Q_s$ of measured quark.
- Equally important as a soft gluon and a gg dipole.

[lancu, Mueller, Triantafyllopoulos (2021); lancu, Mueller, Triantafyllopoulos, Wei (2022)]



DIS 2024

- $\bullet \ {\rm Calculate} \ {d\sigma\over d\theta_1 d\theta_2 d\theta_3 d^2 K d^2 P} \ {\rm for} \ P \gg Q_s, \ K \sim Q_s.$
- Energy denominators give $K^2 \sim \mathcal{M}^2 = \theta_1 \left(P^2 / \theta_2 \theta_3 + Q^2 \right)$ so $\theta_1 \sim K^2 / Q^2 \ll 1$.
- Suggests that quark can be seen as part of target.
- Need to change variables from θ_1 to x:

 $xx_{\mathbb{P}} = x_{\overline{q}g}$

• x is momentum fraction carried by quark from pomeron.



Siggi Hauksson



• With the new variable x, we see TMD factorization for (2+1) jets.

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\theta_2 d\theta_3 d^2 \mathbf{P} d^2 \mathbf{K} d\ln(1/x)} = \frac{4\pi^2 \alpha_{em} \sum e_f^2}{Q^2} \mathcal{H}_T(\theta_2, \theta_3, P_\perp^2, \tilde{Q}^2) \frac{dx q_\mathbb{P}(x, x_\mathbb{P}, K_\perp^2)}{d^2 \mathbf{K}}$$

where

$$\mathcal{H}_{T}(\theta_{2},\theta_{3},P_{\perp}^{2},\tilde{Q}^{2}) = \delta(\theta_{2}+\theta_{3}-1)\frac{\alpha_{s}C_{F}}{\pi^{2}}\frac{\tilde{Q}^{2}[(P_{\perp}^{2}+\tilde{Q}^{2})^{2}+\theta_{2}^{2}\tilde{Q}^{4}+\theta_{3}^{2}P_{\perp}^{4}]}{P_{\perp}^{2}(P_{\perp}^{2}+\tilde{Q}^{2})^{3}}; \quad \tilde{Q}^{2}=\theta_{2}\theta_{3}Q^{2}$$

- Same quark TMD as we saw in SIDIS: Universality
- Similar TMD factorization seen for soft gluon process: gluon TMD

DIS 2024

Siggi Hauksson



• With the new variable x, we see TMD factorization for (2+1) jets.

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\theta_2 d\theta_3 d^2 \mathbf{P} d^2 \mathbf{K} d\ln(1/x)} = \frac{4\pi^2 \alpha_{em} \sum e_f^2}{Q^2} \mathcal{H}_T(\theta_2, \theta_3, P_\perp^2, \tilde{Q}^2) \frac{dx q_{\mathbb{P}}(x, x_{\mathbb{P}}, K_\perp^2)}{d^2 \mathbf{K}}$$

where

$$\mathcal{H}_{T}(\theta_{2},\theta_{3},P_{\perp}^{2},\tilde{Q}^{2}) = \delta(\theta_{2}+\theta_{3}-1)\frac{\alpha_{s}C_{F}}{\pi^{2}}\frac{\tilde{Q}^{2}[(P_{\perp}^{2}+\tilde{Q}^{2})^{2}+\theta_{2}^{2}\tilde{Q}^{4}+\theta_{3}^{2}P_{\perp}^{4}]}{P_{\perp}^{2}(P_{\perp}^{2}+\tilde{Q}^{2})^{3}}; \quad \tilde{Q}^{2} = \theta_{2}\theta_{3}Q^{2}$$

- Same quark TMD as we saw in SIDIS: Universality
- Similar TMD factorization seen for soft gluon process: gluon TMD

DIS 2024

Siggi Hauksson

• How do (2+1) jets contribute to diffractive SIDIS?



- Will see that (2+1) jets give DGLAP evolution of target TMD!
- Change from θ_2, θ_3 to the diffractive variable β .

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\ln(1/\beta)d^2\mathbf{P}} = \int d\theta_2 d\theta_3 \int \frac{dx}{x} \beta \delta \left(\beta - x\frac{\tilde{Q}^2}{\tilde{Q}^2 + P_\perp^2}\right) \int d^2\mathbf{K} \, \frac{d\sigma}{d\theta_2 d\theta_3 d^2\mathbf{P} d^2\mathbf{K} d\ln(1/x)}$$

- Dominant contribution comes from $\theta_2 \approx 1 \gg \theta_3 \sim \frac{P_{\perp}^2}{Q^2} \gg \theta_1 \sim \frac{Q_s^2}{Q^2}$.
 - Can move both gluon and quark to the target.
- Also $\mathbf{k}_2 \approx -\mathbf{k}_3 \approx \mathbf{P}$ and $\mathbf{k}_1 \approx \mathbf{K}$ with $\mathbf{K} \ll \mathbf{P}$.
 - Kinematics of DGLAP evolution.

DIS 2024

EL OQO

• Recall exclusive dijet contribution to SIDIS:



- Will see that (2+1) jets give DGLAP evolution of target TMD!
- Change from θ_2, θ_3 to the diffractive variable β .

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\ln(1/\beta)d^2\mathbf{P}} = \int d\theta_2 d\theta_3 \int \frac{dx}{x} \beta \delta \left(\beta - x\frac{\tilde{Q}^2}{\tilde{Q}^2 + P_\perp^2}\right) \int d^2\mathbf{K} \, \frac{d\sigma}{d\theta_2 d\theta_3 d^2\mathbf{P} d^2\mathbf{K} d\ln(1/x)}$$

- Dominant contribution comes from $\theta_2 \approx 1 \gg \theta_3 \sim \frac{P_{\perp}^2}{Q^2} \gg \theta_1 \sim \frac{Q_s^2}{Q^2}$.
 - Can move both gluon and quark to the target.
- Also $\mathbf{k}_2 \approx -\mathbf{k}_3 \approx \mathbf{P}$ and $\mathbf{k}_1 \approx \mathbf{K}$ with $\mathbf{K} \ll \mathbf{P}$.
 - Kinematics of DGLAP evolution.

• How do (2+1) jets contribute to diffractive SIDIS?



- Will see that (2+1) jets give DGLAP evolution of target TMD!
- Change from θ_2, θ_3 to the diffractive variable β .

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\ln(1/\beta)d^2\mathbf{P}} = \int d\theta_2 d\theta_3 \int \frac{dx}{x} \beta \delta \left(\beta - x\frac{\tilde{Q}^2}{\tilde{Q}^2 + P_\perp^2}\right) \int d^2\mathbf{K} \, \frac{d\sigma}{d\theta_2 d\theta_3 d^2\mathbf{P} d^2\mathbf{K} d\ln(1/x)}$$

- Dominant contribution comes from $\theta_2 \approx 1 \gg \theta_3 \sim \frac{P_{\perp}^2}{Q^2} \gg \theta_1 \sim \frac{Q_s^2}{Q^2}$.
 - Can move both gluon and quark to the target.
- Also $\mathbf{k}_2 \approx -\mathbf{k}_3 \approx \mathbf{P}$ and $\mathbf{k}_1 \approx \mathbf{K}$ with $\mathbf{K} \ll \mathbf{P}$.
 - Kinematics of DGLAP evolution.

DIS 2024

EL OQO

• Very different diagrams



• In the end get a strikingly simple answer.

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\ln(1/\beta)d^2\mathbf{P}} = \frac{4\pi^2 \alpha_{em} \sum e_f^2}{Q^2} \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi^2} \\ \times \frac{1}{P_\perp^2} \int_{x_{\min}}^1 \frac{dx}{x} \frac{\beta}{x} P_{qq}\left(\frac{\beta}{x}\right) x q_{\mathbb{P}}(x, x_{\mathbb{P}}, (1-x)P_\perp^2)$$

- DGLAP evolution for TMD of target.
- From target point of view: Gluon emission before photon absorption.
- $P_{qq}(z) = C_F(1+z^2)/(1-z)$ is the usual splitting function.
- Also less important contribution from final-state gluon emission.



A B A B A B A

SIDIS cross section is of the form



Exclusive dijet

(2+1) jet, soft gluon

• • • • • • • • • • •

- TMD from exclusive dijet goes like $1/P_{\perp}^4$
- TMD from (2+1) jet goes like $1/P_{\perp}^2$.
 - Vanishes faster as $\beta \to 1$.
 - Soft gluon contribution also described by DGLAP evolution of target.

ELE DOG

• SIDIS cross section is of the form



- $\bullet~{\rm TMD}$ from exclusive dijet goes like $1/P_{\!\perp}^4$
- TMD from (2+1) jet goes like $1/P_{\perp}^2$.
 - Vanishes faster as $\beta \to 1$.
 - Soft gluon contribution also described by DGLAP evolution of target.

13/15

• • • • • • • • • • • •

Diffractive structure function

- $\frac{d\sigma}{d\ln(1/\beta)d^2\mathbf{P}} \rightarrow \frac{d\sigma}{d\ln(1/\beta)}$: Integrate out \mathbf{P} to get diffractive structure function F_2^D .
- Evaluate for two cases:
 - Large nucleus: $Q_s^2 = 2 \,\mathrm{GeV}^2$
 - "proton": $Q_s^2 = 0.8 \,\mathrm{GeV^2}$
- DGLAP evolution starts at $\mu_0^2 = 2Q_s^2$, see back-up.
- See clear differences (different starting point for DGLAP).



< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Conclusions

- Single framework for calculations (CGC and dipole picture).
- Show TMD factorization for diffractive hard dijet production.
 - (2+1) jet process.
- Show TMD factorization for diffractive SIDIS.
 - Exclusive dijets: quark TMD.
 - (2+1) jets: DGLAP evolution of target TMD.
- Get explicit expression for diffractive PDFs, TMDs valid for large nuclei at high energy.
 - Universality of TMDs, PDFs.
- Further developments:
 - Diffractive SIDIS: TMD factorization at NLO?
 - Inclusive SIDIS: DGLAP evolution?
 - Sudakov effect, CSS evolution of TMDs. [See also Marquet, Xiao, Yuan (2009)]
 - Phenomenology.





315

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

Final-state emission

- Our (2+1) jet diagrams give two contributions:
 - Asymmetric jets: $\theta_2 \rightarrow 1$, $(x \beta)/\beta \sim 1$, DGLAP evolution of target.
 - Symmetric jets: $\theta_2, \theta_3 \sim 1/2, x \to \beta$.
- Interpret as final-state emission of a gluon from measured antiquark.
- Only comes from diagrams where antiquark emits gluon.
- Symmetric jet contribution is smaller.



EL OQO

• • • • • • • • • • • •

One step in DGLAP evolution for SIDIS

• We derive exactly that

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d^2\mathbf{P}d\ln(1/\beta)} = \frac{4\pi^2\alpha_{em}\sum e_f^2}{Q^2} \left.2\frac{dxq_{\mathbb{P}}(x,x_{\mathbb{P}},P_{\perp}^2)}{d^2\mathbf{P}}\right|_{x=\beta}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dxq_{\mathbb{P}}(x,x_{\mathbb{P}},P_{\perp}^{2})}{d^{2}\mathbf{P}} &= \frac{dxq_{\mathbb{P}}^{LO}(x,x_{\mathbb{P}},P_{\perp}^{2})}{d^{2}\mathbf{P}} \\ &+ \frac{\alpha_{s}}{2\pi^{2}}\frac{1}{P_{\perp}^{2}}\int_{x_{\min}}^{1} dz \; \left[P_{qq}(z)\frac{x}{z}q_{\mathbb{P}}^{LO}\left(\frac{x}{z},x_{\mathbb{P}},P_{\perp}^{2}\right) + P_{qg}(z)\frac{x}{z}G_{\mathbb{P}}^{LO}\left(\frac{x}{z},x_{\mathbb{P}},P_{\perp}^{2}\right)\right] \end{aligned}$$

• Contribution from virtual diagrams suppressed (goes like $1/P_{\perp}^4$).

• Lower limit
$$x_{\min} = \beta + 4\beta \frac{P_{\perp}^2}{Q^2}$$
 from kinematics.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Full DGLAP evolution

• Our derivation suggests that

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d^2\mathbf{P}d\ln(1/\beta)} = \frac{4\pi^2\alpha_{em}\sum e_f^2}{Q^2} \left.2\frac{dxq_{\mathbf{P}}(x,x_{\mathbf{P}},P_{\perp}^2)}{d^2\mathbf{P}}\right|_{x=\beta}$$

where

DIS 2024

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dxq_{\mathrm{P}}(x,x_{\mathrm{P}},P_{\perp}^{2})}{d^{2}\mathbf{P}} &= \frac{dxq_{\mathrm{P}}^{LO}(x,x_{\mathrm{P}},P_{\perp}^{2})}{d^{2}\mathbf{P}} \\ &+ \frac{\alpha_{s}}{2\pi^{2}}\frac{1}{P_{\perp}^{2}}\int_{x}^{1}dz \,\left[P_{qq}(z)\frac{x}{z}q_{\mathrm{P}}\left(\frac{x}{z},x_{\mathrm{P}},P_{\perp}^{2}\right) + P_{qg}(z)\frac{x}{z}G_{\mathrm{P}}\left(\frac{x}{z},x_{\mathrm{P}},P_{\perp}^{2}\right)\right]\end{aligned}$$

- Unlike usual DGLAP have a source term.
- To derive more rigourously would need virtual diagrams as well.



Full DGLAP evolution

- Two schemes for DGLAP evolution:
 - Similar results.



<ロ> <四> <回> <三> <三> <三> <三> <三</p>

Longitudinal sector

- Contribution to SIDIS:
 - Goes like $d\sigma/d\ln(1/\beta)/d^2K\sim 1/Q^4,$ not leading twist.
 - Because photon vertex is $\sim \theta(1-\theta)$ so asymmetric jets suppressed.
 - However, dominates over transverse sector when $\beta \to 1$ because transverse sector vanishes.



- Contribution to hard dijets:
 - Comparable in size to transverse sector.
 - Factorizes like transverse sector.

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\theta_2 d\theta_3 d^2 \mathbf{P} d^2 \mathbf{K} d\ln(1/x)} = \frac{4\pi^2 \alpha_{em} \sum e_f^2}{Q^2} \mathcal{H}_L(\theta_2, \theta_3, P_\perp^2, \tilde{Q}^2) \frac{dxq_{\mathbb{P}}(x, x_{\mathbb{P}}, K_\perp^2)}{d^2 \mathbf{K}}$$

$$(\mathbf{I} \leq 2024 \qquad \mathbf{Siggi Hauksson} \qquad \mathbf{April 9th } 2024 \qquad \mathbf{5/6}$$

Results for diffractive PDFs

