Next-to-leading Order Photon+Jet Cross Section

Yair Mulian Institute of Modern Physics

DIS 2024, 8-12/April, Grenoble



Forward jet production

The basic setup: a large-x parton from the proton scatters off the small-x gluon distribution in the target nucleus. The large-x parton is most likely a quark. We adopt the formalism of the LC outgoing state, using the CGC effective theory together with the hybrid factorization.



Quark emitting a photon in the presence of a shockwave.

How to compute the amplitudes?

The time evolution is given by the Schrödinger equation:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left| \psi(t) \right\rangle = -i \hat{H}(t) \left| \psi(t) \right\rangle$$

The solution is currently assumed to be given by:

$$|\psi(t)\rangle = \hat{U}(t,t_0) |\psi(t_0)\rangle$$
 $\hat{U}(t,t_0) = \hat{T} \exp\left[-i \int_{t_0}^t dt' \,\hat{H}(t')\right]$

Where $U(t, t_0)$ denotes the evolution operator, known as the Dyson's series,

$$\hat{U}(t, t_0) = \hat{\mathbf{1}} - i \int_{t_0}^t dt' \, \hat{H}(t') \, \hat{U}(t', t_0) = \hat{\mathbf{1}} - i \int_{t_0}^t dt' \, \hat{H}(t') \left[\hat{\mathbf{1}} - i \int_{t_0}^{t'} dt'' \, \hat{H}(t'') \, \hat{U}(t'', t_0) \right]$$

with *H* denoting the Hamiltonian of the system.

The state which encodes the information both on the *time evolution* and *interaction with the target nucleus* is:

hep-ph/0106240 by A. Kovner and U. Wiedemann

$$|\psi\rangle_{out} \equiv \hat{U}(\infty,0) \,\hat{S} \,\hat{U}(0,-\infty) \,|\psi\rangle_{in}$$

The LO outgoing state

The outgoing state at leading order is given by

$$\left|q_{\lambda}^{\alpha}(q^{+},\,\boldsymbol{w})\right\rangle_{out} \,\equiv\, U(0,\,\infty)\,\hat{S}\,U(0,\,-\infty)\left|q_{\lambda}^{\alpha}(q^{+},\,\boldsymbol{w})\right\rangle \,= \left|q_{\lambda}^{\alpha}(q^{+},\,\boldsymbol{w})\right\rangle \,+\, \left|q_{\lambda}^{\alpha}(q^{+},\,\boldsymbol{w})\right\rangle_{q\gamma}$$

The additional term reads:

$$\begin{split} & \left| q_{\lambda}^{\alpha}(q^{+}, \boldsymbol{w}) \right\rangle_{q\gamma}^{out} = -\int_{\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}} \int_{0}^{1} d\vartheta \, \frac{ig\sqrt{q^{+}}}{(2\pi)^{2}} \frac{\phi_{\lambda_{1}\lambda}^{ij}(\vartheta)}{\sqrt{2\vartheta}} \frac{\boldsymbol{R}^{j}}{\boldsymbol{R}^{2}} \delta^{(2)}(\boldsymbol{w} - (1 - \vartheta)\boldsymbol{x} - \vartheta \boldsymbol{y}) \\ & \times \left[V^{\beta\alpha}(\boldsymbol{x}) - V^{\beta\alpha}(\boldsymbol{w}) \right] \left| q_{\lambda_{1}}^{\beta}((1 - \vartheta)q^{+}, \boldsymbol{x}) \gamma_{i}(\vartheta q^{+}, \boldsymbol{y}) \right\rangle \end{split}$$

Diagrammatically:



Blue bar denotes a shockwave = interaction with the target.

The LO photon+jet cross section

From the outgoing state we can pass easily to the cross section:

$$\frac{d\sigma_{\rm LO}^{qA\to q\gamma+X}}{d^3k\,d^3p}\,(2\pi)\delta(k^++p^+-q^+) \equiv \frac{1}{2N_c}\,\operatorname{out}_{q\gamma}\langle q^{\alpha}_{\lambda}(q^+,\,\boldsymbol{q})\big|\,\hat{\mathcal{N}}_q(p)\,\hat{\mathcal{N}}_{\gamma}(k)\,\left|q^{\alpha}_{\lambda}(q^+,\,\boldsymbol{q})\right\rangle_{q\gamma}^{out}$$

The following number density operators were introduced:

$$\hat{\mathcal{N}}_{q}(p) \equiv \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3}} b_{\lambda}^{\alpha\dagger}(p) b_{\lambda}^{\alpha}(p) \qquad \qquad \hat{\mathcal{N}}_{g}(k) \equiv \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3}} a_{i}^{a\dagger}(k) a_{i}^{a}(k) \qquad \qquad \hat{\mathcal{N}}_{\gamma}(k) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3}} \alpha_{i}^{\dagger}(k) \alpha_{i}(k)$$

The result for the leading-order cross section is given by:

$$\frac{d\sigma_{\text{LO}}^{qA \to q\gamma + X}}{dk^{+} d^{2} \boldsymbol{k} \, dp^{+} \, d^{2} \boldsymbol{p}} = \frac{2\alpha_{e.m.}}{(2\pi)^{6} q^{+}} \underbrace{\frac{1 + (1 - \vartheta)^{2}}{\vartheta}}_{\boldsymbol{\delta}} \underbrace{\delta(q^{+} - k^{+} - p^{+})}_{\boldsymbol{\kappa} \to \boldsymbol{\delta}} \\ \times \int_{\boldsymbol{x}, \overline{\boldsymbol{x}}, \boldsymbol{y}, \overline{\boldsymbol{y}}} \underbrace{\frac{\boldsymbol{R} \cdot \overline{\boldsymbol{R}}}{\boldsymbol{R}^{2} \overline{\boldsymbol{R}}^{2}}}_{\boldsymbol{R}^{2} \overline{\boldsymbol{R}}^{2}} e^{-i\boldsymbol{p} \cdot (\boldsymbol{x} - \overline{\boldsymbol{x}}) - i\boldsymbol{k} \cdot (\boldsymbol{y} - \overline{\boldsymbol{y}})}_{\boldsymbol{M} \text{arian}} \\ \times \underbrace{\left[\mathcal{S}\left(\boldsymbol{w}, \overline{\boldsymbol{w}}\right) - \mathcal{S}\left(\boldsymbol{x}, \overline{\boldsymbol{w}}\right) - \mathcal{S}\left(\boldsymbol{w}, \overline{\boldsymbol{x}}\right) + \mathcal{S}\left(\boldsymbol{x}, \overline{\boldsymbol{x}}\right) \right]}_{\boldsymbol{\kappa} \to \boldsymbol{\delta}}$$

An example for contribution which is included in the leading order result:



The dashed line, "the cut", is the final state (the detector). The dipole is defined by:

$$\mathcal{S}(\overline{\boldsymbol{w}}, \boldsymbol{w}) \equiv \frac{1}{N_c} \operatorname{tr} \left[V^{\dagger}(\overline{\boldsymbol{w}}) V(\boldsymbol{w}) \right]$$

From the partonic cross section we can find the quark channel contribution by convolution with the PDF:

$$\frac{d\sigma_{\rm LO}^{pA \to q\gamma + X}}{d^3 p \, d^3 k} \bigg|_{q-channel} = \int dx_p \, q_f(x_p, \mu^2) \frac{d\sigma_{\rm LO}^{qA \to q\gamma + X}}{d^3 p \, d^3 k}$$

For measuring a photon+jet one has to convolute the result above with fragmentation/jet function:

$$\frac{d\sigma_{LO}^{pA \to jet+\gamma+X}}{d^3p \, d^3k} = \int \frac{dz_1}{z_1^3} \int \frac{dz_2}{z_2^3} \int dx_p \, q_f(x_p, \mu^2) \, \frac{d\sigma_{LO}^{pA \to q\gamma+X}}{d^3p \, d^3k} D_{jet/q}(z_1) \, J_{\gamma}(z_2)$$

The dijet+photon setup

Two possible configurations of 3 particles in the final state which are relevant for the cross section at order $\alpha_s \alpha_{e.m.}$

- a) Photon, quark and a gluon (quark channel),
- b) Quark, anti-quark and a photon (gluon channel).

The production of these configurations happen via two successive parton splittings (in the light-cone formalism, there are also 1->3 instantaneous vertices).



An example for a contribution with 3 particles in the final state

From photon+dijet to "real" NLO photon+jet

Direct and interference (regular and instantaneous emissions):



hep-ph/1810.11273 by T. Altinoluk, R. Boussarie, C. Marquet, P. Taels.

The leading-order contribution to the photon+dijet cross section is:

$$\frac{d\sigma_{\rm LO}^{qA \to qg\gamma + X}}{d^3 q_1 \, d^3 q_2 \, d^3 q_3} \, (2\pi) \delta(q_1 + q_2 + q_3 - q^+) \equiv \frac{1}{2N_c} \, _{qg\gamma} \left\langle q_\lambda^\alpha(q^+, \, \boldsymbol{q}) \right| \, \hat{\mathcal{N}}_q(q_1) \, \hat{\mathcal{N}}_g(q_2) \, \hat{\mathcal{N}}_\gamma(q_3) \, \left| q_\lambda^\alpha(q^+, \, \boldsymbol{q}) \right\rangle_{qg\gamma} \, d^3 q_1 \, d^3 q_2 \, d^3 q_3 \, d^3 q_2 \, d^3 q_3 \, d^3 q_1 \, d^3 q_2 \, d^3 q_1 \, d^3 q_1 \, d^3 q_2 \, d^3 q_1 \, d^3 q_1 \, d^3 q_2 \, d^3 q_1 \, d^3 q_1 \, d^3 q_2 \, d^3 q_1 \, d^3 q_1 \, d^3 q_1 \, d^3 q_2 \, d^3 q_1 \, d^3 q_1 \, d^3 q_2 \, d^3 q_1 \, d^3 q_1 \, d^3 q_1 \, d^3 q_2 \, d^3 q_1 \, d^3 q_2 \, d^3 q_1 \, d^$$

The hadronic cross section is given by:

$$\frac{d\sigma^{pA \to q\gamma g + X}}{d^3 q_\gamma \, d^3 q_q \, d^3 q_g} = \int dx_p \, q_f(x_p, \, \mu^2) \, \frac{d\sigma^{qA \to q\gamma g + X}}{d^3 q_\gamma \, d^3 q_q \, d^3 q_g}$$

The real contribution for the NLO photon+jet cross section is related to the partonic cross section by the integration over the unmeasured gluon:

$$\frac{d\sigma_{Rnlo}^{qA \to q\gamma + X}}{d^3 q_\gamma \, d^3 q_q} = \int d^3 q_g \, \frac{d\sigma^{qA \to q\gamma g + X}}{d^3 q_\gamma \, d^3 q_q \, d^3 q_g}$$

hep-ph/2009.11930 by Y.M, E. lancu

The real cross section

The final combined contribution reads:



Similar structure to hep-ph/2009.11930.

The real kernel

The kernel defined by:

$$\mathcal{K}_{1}^{imjn}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{z}, \overline{\boldsymbol{x}}, \overline{\boldsymbol{y}}, \overline{\boldsymbol{z}}, \vartheta, \xi, Q) \equiv \Phi_{\lambda_{1}\lambda}^{lirm}(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{z}, \vartheta, \xi) \Phi_{\lambda_{1}\lambda}^{ljrn*}(\overline{\boldsymbol{x}}, \overline{\boldsymbol{y}}, \overline{\boldsymbol{z}}, \vartheta, \xi) \\ \times \frac{\mathrm{K}_{1}\left(\bar{Q}D(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{z}, \vartheta, \xi)\right) \mathrm{K}_{1}\left(\bar{Q}D(\overline{\boldsymbol{x}}, \overline{\boldsymbol{y}}, \overline{\boldsymbol{z}}, \vartheta, \xi)\right)}{D(\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{z}, \vartheta, \xi) D(\overline{\boldsymbol{x}}, \overline{\boldsymbol{y}}, \overline{\boldsymbol{z}}, \vartheta, \xi)}$$

With effective vertex, combining the regular and instantaneous emissions:

$$\Phi_{\lambda_1\lambda_2}^{ijmn}(x,y,z,\vartheta,\xi) \equiv \varphi_{\lambda_1\lambda}^{ij}(\vartheta) \tau_{\lambda\lambda_2}^{mn}(\xi,1-\vartheta-\xi) - \delta^{nj}\sqrt{\xi}(1-\vartheta) \left(\chi_{\lambda_1}^{\dagger}\sigma^i\sigma^m\chi_{\lambda_2}\right) \frac{Y^2}{R\cdot Y}$$

And:

$$\vartheta = \frac{k_1^+}{q^+}, \qquad \xi = \frac{k_3^+}{q^+} \qquad \quad D(x,y,z,\vartheta,\xi) \equiv \sqrt{R^2 + \frac{\xi(1-\vartheta-\xi)}{\vartheta(1-\vartheta)^2}Y^2}$$

Recovering the JIMWLK evolution

In the limit where the gluon become soft (eikonal emission vertex = no recoil of the emitter), the general NLO result has to reduce to one step in the real part of the production JIMWLK evolution of the LO photon+jet production cross section.

$$H = \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi^2} \int_{x,y,z} \frac{(x-z) \cdot (y-z)}{(x-z)^2} \left[J_L^a(x) J_L^a(y) + J_R^a(x) J_R^a(y) - 2J_L^a(x) U^{ab}(z) J_R^b(y) \right] \overset{\text{E.lancu, L. McLerran, L. McLerran, A. Leonidov, A. Kovner/ 97'-99'$$

The four diagrams precisely reproduce the the BK evolution of the dipole $S(w, \overline{w})$ of the leading order cross-section.

Similarly, the following diagrams generate the evolutions of the dipole $S(x, \overline{x})$:



For the dipole $\mathcal{S}(\boldsymbol{w}, \overline{\boldsymbol{x}})$:



Recovering the real DGLAP evolution

In the collinear limit, when the separation between partons become arbitrarily large, we recover the DGLAP evolution of the initial quark pdf and final quark jet function.



Combining the relevant four contributions:

$$\frac{d\sigma^{qA \to q\gamma + X}}{dp^+ d^2 \boldsymbol{p} \, dk^+ \, d^2 \boldsymbol{k}} \simeq \frac{4\alpha_{e.m.}}{(2\pi)^6 (q^+)^2 (1 - \xi)} P_{q \to \gamma} \left(\frac{x_2}{x_1 + x_2}\right) \\ \times \int_{\overline{\boldsymbol{x}}, \, \overline{\boldsymbol{z}}', \, \boldsymbol{x}, \, \boldsymbol{z}'} e^{-i\boldsymbol{p}\cdot(\boldsymbol{x} - \overline{\boldsymbol{x}}) - i\boldsymbol{k}\cdot(\boldsymbol{z}' - \overline{\boldsymbol{z}}')} \frac{(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{z}') \cdot (\overline{\boldsymbol{x}} - \overline{\boldsymbol{z}}')}{(\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{z}')^2 (\overline{\boldsymbol{x}} - \overline{\boldsymbol{z}}')^2} \\ \times \left[\mathcal{S}\left(\boldsymbol{x}, \, \overline{\boldsymbol{x}}\right) - \mathcal{S}\left(\boldsymbol{x}, \, \overline{\boldsymbol{w}}\right) - \mathcal{S}\left(\boldsymbol{w}, \, \overline{\boldsymbol{x}}\right) + \mathcal{S}\left(\boldsymbol{w}, \, \overline{\boldsymbol{w}}\right) \right] \\ \times \frac{4\alpha_s C_F}{(2\pi)^2} P_{q \to g}(\xi) \int_{\boldsymbol{z}} \frac{(\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{z}) \cdot (\overline{\boldsymbol{y}} - \boldsymbol{z})}{(\boldsymbol{y} - \boldsymbol{z})^2 (\overline{\boldsymbol{y}} - \boldsymbol{z})^2}.$$

The result above is precisely the result of one "real" step in the DGLAP evolution of the quark distribution inside the proton:

$$x\Delta q_f(x,\mu^2) \equiv \frac{\alpha_s C_F}{\pi} \int_0^{1-x} d\xi \, \frac{x}{1-\xi} \, q_f\left(\frac{x}{1-\xi},\mu^2\right) P_{q\to g}(\xi) \, \ln\frac{\mu^2}{\Lambda^2}$$

The virtual contributions



Both UV and IR divergences are involved. Two IR logs appear:

$$\ln\left(\frac{\Lambda}{q^+}\right)\ln\left(\frac{\tilde{\mathbf{k}}^2}{\mu_{\overline{MS}}^2}\right) \qquad \qquad \ln^2\left(\frac{\Lambda}{\vartheta(1-\vartheta)q^+}\right) \qquad \qquad \text{hep-ph/2308.02449 by} \\ \text{P. Taels}$$

These are canceled when combining all the various contributions.

Entangled states contributions

Additional class of virtual diagrams involve the shockwave on an intermediate state:



The corresponding cross section was expected to be written in the form:

$$\frac{d\sigma^{qA \to q\gamma + X}}{dk_1^+ d^2 \mathbf{k}_1 dk_2^+ d^2 \mathbf{k}_2} = \frac{\alpha_s \, \alpha_{e.m.} \, C_F \, N_f}{2(2\pi)^6 q^+} \, \delta(q^+ - k_1^+ - k_2^+) \\
\times \int_{\overline{x}, \overline{y}, \mathbf{x}', \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}} e^{-i\mathbf{k}_1 \cdot (\mathbf{x} - \overline{x}) - i\mathbf{k}_2 \cdot (\mathbf{y} - \overline{y})} \, \frac{\widetilde{R}^i \, (\mathbf{X}')^j \, \mathbf{Y}^m \, \overline{R}^n}{\widetilde{R}^2 \, (\mathbf{X}')^2 \, \mathbf{Y}^2} \\
\times \left[\mathbb{K}_0^{ijmn}(\mathbf{x}', \, \mathbf{y}, \, \mathbf{z}, \, \overline{x}, \, \overline{y}, \, \vartheta) \, \mathbb{W}_0 \left(\mathbf{x}', \, \mathbf{y}, \, \mathbf{z}, \, \overline{y}\right) - \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{y}, \, \mathbf{z} \to \mathbf{y}') \right]$$

In order to establish this result one has to revise the current formalism and make it compatible with **entangled states**.

What's the problem?



The diagrams above might look similar, but they represent *different* states.

<u>Left</u>: A quark–gluon factorizable state – $|\Psi(k,p)\rangle = |q(p)\rangle |g(k)\rangle$

<u>*Right:*</u> A quark–gluon entangled (convoluted) state – $|\Phi(k)\rangle = \int dp f(p) |q(p)\rangle |g(k-p)\rangle$

Since our Hilbert space is infinite dimensional, the QCD Hamiltonian:

Hermitian \neq self-adjoint

Self-adjoint is necessary for generating a unitary evolution (Hermiticity is not sufficient).



Hermiticity vs self-adjoint

The self-adjoint operator satisfies two conditions:

 $\langle \varphi | \hat{\mathcal{O}}^{\dagger} | \psi \rangle = \langle \varphi | \hat{\mathcal{O}} | \psi \rangle \qquad \qquad \mathcal{D}(\hat{\mathcal{O}}^{\dagger}) = \mathcal{D}(\hat{\mathcal{O}})$

for any $|\varphi\rangle$, $|\psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}$, then $\hat{\mathcal{O}}^{\dagger} = \hat{\mathcal{O}}$.

The Hermitian operator satisfies only one condition:

$$\left\langle \varphi \right| \hat{\mathcal{O}}^{\dagger} \left| \psi \right\rangle = \left\langle \varphi \right| \hat{\mathcal{O}} \left| \psi \right\rangle$$

But $\mathcal{D}(\hat{\mathcal{O}}) \subseteq \mathcal{D}(\hat{\mathcal{O}}^{\dagger})$.

Any self-adjoint operator is Hermitian, but an Hermitian operator is not necessarily self-adjoint. The definitions coincide only on finite dimensional spaces.

The typical textbook example is the momentum operator:

 $\left\langle \varphi \right| \hat{\boldsymbol{p}}^{\dagger} \left| \psi \right\rangle = \left\langle \varphi \right| \hat{\boldsymbol{p}} \left| \psi \right\rangle$

But since $\mathcal{D}(\hat{p}) \subset \mathcal{D}(\hat{p}^{\dagger})$, at the operator level $\hat{p}^{\dagger} \neq \hat{p}$.

quant-ph/9907069 by F. Gieres

How to normalize an entangled state?

As long as the state is pure or mixed, the action of **N** is equivalent to the rescaling

$$|\psi(t)\rangle \longrightarrow \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}_{\psi}}|\psi(t)\rangle$$

With $\mathcal{Z}_{\psi} \equiv \| |\psi(t)\rangle \|_{\mathcal{H}}$

Now let us take an initially normalized entangled state:

$$|\Phi(k)\rangle = \int dp \, f(p) \, |\phi(p)\rangle \, |\varphi(k-p)\rangle$$

The normalization of the evolved state is currently assumed to be fixed by:

$$\hat{U}(\infty,0) \left| \Phi(k) \right\rangle \longrightarrow \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}_{\phi} \, \mathcal{Z}_{\varphi}} \hat{U}(\infty,0) \left| \Phi(k) \right\rangle$$

The prescription above can reproduce only the self-energy contributions of each participating component but not the exchange contributions.

$$\hat{U}(\infty,0) |\Phi(k)\rangle \longrightarrow \sqrt{\hat{U}^{\dagger-1}(\infty,0)\hat{U}^{-1}(\infty,0)} \,\hat{U}(\infty,0) |\Phi(k)\rangle$$

The diagrams generated via the **Z** factors normalization:



The additional diagrams which are generated via the operatoric normalization:



The claim

The solution for the Schrödinger equation is given by a unitarized version of **U**:

$$\hat{\mathcal{P}}(t, t_0) \equiv \hat{\mathcal{N}}(t, t_0) \hat{U}(t, t_0)$$

With the self-adjoint normalization operator:

$$\hat{\mathcal{N}}(t, t_0) \equiv \sqrt{\hat{U}^{\dagger - 1}(t, t_0) \, \hat{U}^{-1}(t, t_0)}$$

See "On the exact solution for the Schrodinger equation," hep-ph/2402.18499.

Note that unitarity is **manifest** (unbreakable):

$$\hat{\mathcal{P}}^{\dagger}(t, t_0) \,\hat{\mathcal{P}}(t, t_0) \,=\, \hat{U}^{\dagger}(t, t_0) \,\hat{U}^{\dagger - 1}(t, t_0) \,\hat{U}^{-1}(t, t_0) \,\hat{U}(t, t_0) \,=\, \hat{\mathbf{1}}$$

With the new expansion that replaces the Dyson series:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathcal{P}}(t,t_0) &= \hat{\mathbf{1}} - \frac{i}{2} \int_{t_0}^t dt' \, \hat{H}(t') - \frac{i}{2} \left(\int_{t_0}^t dt' \, \hat{H}(t') \right)^\dagger + \frac{1}{8} \left(\int_{t_0}^t dt' \, \hat{H}(t') \right)^2 - \frac{3}{8} \left(\int_{t_0}^t dt' \, \hat{H}(t') \right)^{\dagger 2} \\ &- \frac{1}{4} \left| \int_{t_0}^t dt' \, \hat{H}(t') \right|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_0}^t dt' \hat{H}(t') \int_{t_0}^{t'} dt'' \hat{H}(t'') + \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_{t_0}^t dt' \hat{H}(t') \int_{t_0}^{t'} dt'' \hat{H}(t'') \right)^\dagger + \dots \end{aligned}$$



Summary

- 1) The results (real and virtual) for the NLO cross section for photon+jet production of an incoming quark are on the way.
- Short-distance poles has been shown to cancel between pairs of diagrams. The IR logs are canceled when combining together the virtual diagrams. Match has been established with JIMWLK (DGLAP) in the eikonal (collinear) limit.
- Probing the strong force via entanglement is a promising direction.
 A new formalism is offered affecting the results for the scattering amplitudes.

Come visit us: Light-Cone 2024 – Hadron Physics in the EIC era, Nov 25 – 29, 2024.

LIGHT CONE 2024 on Physics in the

O The Institute of Modern Physics, **Chinese Academy of Sciences**, Huizhou Campus, China.

Movember 25-29, 2024

Physics Topics and Tools

Physics of EIC and EicC Hadron spectroscopy and reactions Hadron/nuclear structure Spin physics Relativistic many-body physics QCD phase structure from zero to high temperature Light-front field theory AdS/CFT and holography Nonperturbative QFT methods Effective field theories Lattice field theories Quantum computing Present and future facilities

International Advisory Committee

Stanley J. Brodsky (SLAC) Ho-Meoyng Choi (Kyungpook National U.) > Tobias Frederico (ITA) Stanislaw D. Glazek (Warsaw U.) Chueng-Ryong Ji (NCSU) Dayashankar Kulshreshtha (Delhi U.) Gerald A. Miller (INT & U. Washington) Wally Melnitchouk (TJNAF) Barbara Pasquini (Pavia U.) Wayne Nicholas Polyzou (U. of Iowa) Nico G. Stefanis (Ruhr U.)

SCNT

...........

» Wojciech Broniowski (JKU & Cracow, INP) » John R. Hiller (Idaho U.) » Vladimir Karmanov (Lebedev Inst.) » Cédric Lorcé (Ecole Polytechnique) » Anuradha Misra (Mumbai U.) » Teresa Peña (IST & Lisboa U.) » Giovanni Salmè (INFN Roma) » James P. Vary (lowa State U.)

Local Organizing Committee

Xingbo Zhao (IMP,chair) Jiangshan Lan (IMP.co-chair) Yang Li (USTC) Chandan Mondal (IMP) » Satvir Kaur (IMP) Sigi Xu (IMP) » Yair Mulian (IMP) Vuxiang Zhao (IMP)

Registration and abstract submission opens : 1st April, 2024 Abstract submission deadline : 31st August, 2024 Registration closes : 31st October, 2024

lightcone2024@impcas.ac.cn

ttps://indico.impcas.ac.cn/event/55

