# <span id="page-0-0"></span>Diffractive processes at the NLO in a saturation framework

# Michael Fucilla

Laboratoire de Physique des 2 Infinis Irène Joliot-Curie

in collaboration with

Renaud Boussarie, Andrey V. Grabovsky, Emilie Li, Lech Szymanowski, Samuel Wallon

based on

[JHEP 11 (2016) 149], [JHEP 03 (2023) 159], [JHEP 02 (2024)  $165$ ] + [work in preparation]

DIS2024, Grenoble, France, 08-12 April 2024





boratoire de Physique





1/21

## <span id="page-1-0"></span>[Introduction](#page-2-0)

[Saturation physics](#page-5-0) [Shockwave formalism](#page-6-0)

## [Diffractive dijet/hadron production](#page-10-0)

[Diffractive dijet/hadron production](#page-11-0) [Deeply virtual meson production](#page-21-0)

(ロ) (@) (평) (평) 원) 평(편) 900 *2/21* 

## <span id="page-2-0"></span>[Introduction](#page-2-0)

[Saturation physics](#page-5-0) [Shockwave formalism](#page-6-0)

[Diffractive dijet/hadron production](#page-10-0) [Diffractive dijet/hadron production](#page-11-0) [Deeply virtual meson production](#page-21-0)

(ロ) (御) (唐) (唐) 道→ 唐| 990

# The high-energy limit of QCD

• Semi-hard collision process  $\rightarrow$  stringent scale hierarchy

 $s \gg Q^2 \gg \Lambda_{\rm QCD}^2$ ,  $Q^2$  a hard scale, ↗

Regge kinematic region

 $\alpha_s(Q^2) \, \ln\left(\frac{s}{Q^2}\right) \sim 1 \implies \, {\rm all\text{-}order\,\, resummation\,\, needed}$ 

• Linear regime of high-energy QCD

The BFKL (Balitsky-Fadin-Kuraev-Lipatov) approach

- *i*. Leading-Logarithmic-Approximation (LLA):  $(\alpha_s \ln s)^n$
- *ii.* Next-to-Leading-Logarithmic-Approximation (NLLA):  $\alpha_s(\alpha_s \ln s)^n$
- iii. Progress on next-to-NLLA

[Falcioni, Gardi, Maher, Milloy, Vernazza (2022)] [Caola, Chakraborty, Gambuti, von Manteuffel, Tancredi (2022)]

• Non-linear (saturation) regime

B-JIMWLK (Balitsky — Jalilian-Marian, Iancu, McLerran, Weigert, Kovner, Leonidov) evolution equations

## <span id="page-4-0"></span>*Motivation*

- NLL corrections  $\rightarrow$  precision era in small-x physics
- Evolution kernels in the saturation regime are known at NLO [Balitsky, Chirilli (2007)], [Kovner, Lublinsky, Mulian (2013)]
- Non-perturbative models for the description of the target

[McLerran, Venugopalan (1994)] [Golec-Biernat, Wusthof (1998)]

• Full NLL predictions requires NLO impact factors (still challenging to  $compute) \rightarrow complex$  analytical results

> [Balitsky, Chirilli (2011)], [Beuf (2016)] [Chirilli, Xiao, Yuan (2012)]

[Boussarie, Grabovsky, Ivanov, Szymanowski, Wallon (2016-17)]

[Roy, Venugopalan (2020)]

[Caucal, Salazar, Schenke, Stebel, Venugopalan (2021-24)]

[Beuf, Lappi, Mulian, Paatelainen, Penttala (2022-24)] [Tuomas's talk] [Lappi, Mäntysaari, Pentalla (2020-22)]

[Bergabo, Jalilian-Marian (2022-24)], [Jamal's talk]

[Taels, Altinoluk, Beuf, Marquet (2020-22)] [P. Taels (2023)] [Yair's talk]

• Long series of works devoted to subeikonal corrections

[WG2's talks on thursday morning]

• Precise observables to reveal without ambiguity the saturation of gluons in nucleons and nuclei, and to study the Color Glass Condensate (CGC)

## <span id="page-5-0"></span>Saturation physics

• DIS total cross-section

$$
\begin{aligned} \sigma_{\gamma^* \, P}(x) &= \Phi_{\gamma^* \gamma^*}(\vec{k}\,) \, \otimes_{\vec{k}} \, \mathcal{F}(x,\vec{k}) \\ &\downarrow \\ \sigma_{\gamma^* \, P}(x) &\sim \left(\frac{s}{Q^2}\right)^{\omega_0} = \left(\frac{1}{x}\right)^{\omega_0} \end{aligned}
$$

• Martin-Froissart bound

$$
\sigma_{tot} \lesssim c \ln^2 s
$$



#### • Saturation effects

- i. Very dense system  $\implies$  Recombination effects
- *ii.* In large nuclei  $\implies$  Multiple re-scattering  $(\alpha_s^2 A^{1/3}$  resummation)
- Characteristic Saturation scale

$$
Q_s^2 \sim \left(\frac{A}{x}\right)^{1/3} \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}^2 \qquad \qquad \alpha_s(Q_s^2) \ll 1 \implies \text{Weakly coupled QCD}
$$

[[McL](#page-4-0)[err](#page-6-0)[a](#page-4-0)[n,](#page-5-0) [V](#page-6-0)[e](#page-4-0)[nu](#page-5-0)[g](#page-6-0)[o](#page-1-0)[p](#page-2-0)[al](#page-9-0)[a](#page-10-0)[n](#page-0-0) [\(](#page-26-0)[1](#page-27-0)[99](#page-40-0)4)] (ロ) (日) (日) (日) (日) 편리 이익만 5/21

## <span id="page-6-0"></span>Shockwave approach

• High-energy approximation  $s = (p_p + p_t)^2 \gg \{Q^2\}$ 



• Separation of the gluonic field into "fast" (quantum) part and "slow" (classical) part through a rapidity parameter  $n < 0$ 

[Balitsky (2001)]

$$
\mathcal{A}^{\mu}(k^+,k^-,\vec{k}) = A^{\mu}(k^+ > e^{\eta}p_p^+,k^-,\vec{k}) + b^{\mu}(k^+ < e^{\eta}p_p^+,k^-,\vec{k})
$$
  

$$
e^{\eta} \ll 1
$$

4 ロ ▶ 4 @ ▶ 4 로 ▶ 4 로 ▶ 로 로 → 9 Q <del>0 - 6/21</del>

## Shockwave approach

- Large longitudinal Boost: 
$$
\Lambda = \sqrt{\frac{1+\beta}{1-\beta}} \sim \frac{\sqrt{s}}{m_t}
$$

$$
\begin{cases}\nb^{+}(x^{+}, x^{-}, \vec{x}) &= \Lambda^{-1}b_{0}^{+}(\Lambda x^{+}, \Lambda^{-1}x^{-}, \vec{x}) \\
b^{-}(x^{+}, x^{-}, \vec{x}) &= \Lambda b_{0}^{-}(\Lambda x^{+}, \Lambda^{-1}x^{-}, \vec{x}) \\
b^{i}(x^{+}, x^{-}, \vec{x}) &= b_{0}^{i}(\Lambda x^{+}, \Lambda^{-1}x^{-}, \vec{x})\n\end{cases}
$$



• Light-cone gauge  $A \cdot n_2 = 0$ 

 $A \cdot b = 0 \implies$  Simple effective Lagrangian

(ロ) (@) (경) (경) (경) 경(제) 200 7/21

## Shockwave approach

• Multiple interactions with the target  $\rightarrow$  path-ordered Wilson lines

U η ⃗zi <sup>=</sup> <sup>P</sup> exp ig <sup>Z</sup> <sup>+</sup><sup>∞</sup> −∞ dz<sup>+</sup> i b − η z + i , ⃗z<sup>i</sup> <sup>U</sup>⃗zi = 1 + ig <sup>Z</sup> <sup>+</sup><sup>∞</sup> −∞ dz<sup>+</sup> i b − η z + i , ⃗zi + (ig) 2 Z +∞ −∞ dz<sup>+</sup> i dz<sup>+</sup> j b − η z + i , ⃗zi b − η z + j , ⃗zi θ z + ij + · · ·

• Factorization in the Shockwave approximation

$$
\mathcal{M}^{\eta} = N_c \int d^d z_{1\perp} d^d z_{2\perp} \Phi^{\eta}(z_{1\perp}, z_{2\perp}) \left\langle P' \left| \left[ \frac{1}{N_c} \text{Tr} \left( U_{\vec{z}_1}^{\eta} U_{\vec{z}_2}^{\eta \dagger} \right) - 1 \right] (\vec{z}_1, \vec{z}_2) \right| P \right\rangle
$$

• Dipole operator

$$
\mathcal{U}_{ij}^{\eta} = \frac{1}{N_c} \operatorname{Tr} \left( U_{\vec{z}_i}^{\eta} U_{\vec{z}_j}^{\eta \dagger} \right) - 1
$$



## <span id="page-9-0"></span>Balitsky-JIMWLK evolution equations

• Balitsky-JIMWLK evolution equations for the dipole

[Balitsky — Jalilian-Marian, Iancu, McLerran, Weigert, Kovner, Leonidov]

$$
\frac{\partial \mathcal{U}_{12}^{\eta}}{\partial \eta} = \frac{\alpha_s N_c}{2\pi^2} \int d^2 \vec{z}_3 \left(\frac{\vec{z}_{12}^2}{\vec{z}_{23}^2 \vec{z}_{31}^2}\right) \left[\underbrace{\mathcal{U}_{13}^{\eta} + \mathcal{U}_{32}^{\eta} - \mathcal{U}_{12}^{\eta}}_{BFKL} - \mathcal{U}_{13}^{\eta} \mathcal{U}_{32}^{\eta}\right]
$$
\n
$$
\frac{\partial \mathcal{U}_{13}^{\eta} \mathcal{U}_{32}^{\eta}}{\partial \eta} = \cdots \qquad \qquad \longleftarrow \qquad \begin{array}{c} \text{Bality} \\ \text{bmatrix} \\ \text{hierarchy} \end{array}
$$

• Double dipole contribution and Dipole contribution

. . .



### <span id="page-10-0"></span>[Introduction](#page-2-0)

[Saturation physics](#page-5-0) [Shockwave formalism](#page-6-0)

## [Diffractive dijet/hadron production](#page-10-0)

[Diffractive dijet/hadron production](#page-11-0) [Deeply virtual meson production](#page-21-0)

(ロ) (個) (目) (目) (目) 目目 りんぴ

## <span id="page-11-0"></span>Diffractive dijet/hadron production

• Precise predictions to detect **saturation** effects at both the EIC or LHC

[Iancu, Mueller, Triantafyllopoulos (2022)]

• Possibility of studying multi-dimensional gluon tomography

[Hatta, Xiao, Yuan (2022)]

[Hauksson, Iancu, Mueller, Triantafyllopoulos (2024)] [Sigtryggur's talk]

• Diffractive dijet/hadron(s) production at NLO

$$
\gamma^{(*)}(p_{\gamma}) + P(p_0) \to j_1(p_{h1}) + j_2(p_{h2}) + P(p'_0)
$$

[Boussarie, Grabovsky, Szymanowski, Wallon (2016)]

$$
\gamma^{(*)}(p_{\gamma}) + P(p_0) \to h_1(p_{h1}) + h_2(p_{h2}) + X + P(p'_0) \qquad (X = X_1 + X_2)
$$

[M. F., Grabovsky, Li, Szymanowski, Wallon (2023)]

$$
\gamma^{(*)}(p_{\gamma}) + P(p_0) \to h_1(p_{h1}) + X + P(p'_0)
$$

[M. F., Grabovsky, Li, Szymanowski, Wallon (2024)]



- *i*. General kinematics  $(t, Q^2)$  and photon polarization
- ii. Rapidity gap between  $(h_1 h_2 X)$  and  $P'$
- *iii.*  $\vec{p}_{12}^2 \gg \vec{p}_{h_1}^2, \vec{p}_{h_2}^2 \gg \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}^2$

10 → 12 → 12 → 12 → 21 = 990 10/21

## LO cross-section

• Sudakov decomposition for the momenta:  $p_i^{\mu} = x_i p_{\gamma}^{\dagger} n_1^{\mu} + \frac{\vec{p}^2}{2x_i r_i}$  $\frac{\vec{p}^2}{2x_ip_{\gamma}^+}n_2^{\mu}+p_{\perp}^{\mu}$ 



• Collinearity  $(p_q^+, \vec{p}_q) = (x_q/x_{h_1})(p_{h_1}^+, \vec{p}_{h_1})$  and  $(p_{\bar{q}}^+, \vec{p}_{\bar{q}}) = (x_{\bar{q}}/x_{h_2})(p_{h_2}^+, \vec{p}_{h_2})$ 

$$
\frac{d\sigma_{0JI}^{h_1h_2}}{dx_{h_1}dx_{h_2}d^d\vec{p}_{h_1}d^d\vec{p}_{h_2}} = \sum_{q} \int_{x_{h_1}}^1 \frac{dx_q}{x_q} \int_{x_{h_2}}^1 \frac{dx_{\bar{q}}}{x_{\bar{q}}} \left(\frac{x_q}{x_{h1}}\right)^d \left(\frac{x_{\bar{q}}}{x_{h2}}\right)^d
$$

$$
D_q^{h_1}\left(\frac{x_{h_1}}{x_q}\right) D_{\bar{q}}^{h_2}\left(\frac{x_{h_2}}{x_{\bar{q}}}\right) \frac{d\hat{\sigma}_{JI}}{dx_q dx_{\bar{q}}d^d\vec{p}_q d^d\vec{p}_{\bar{q}}} + (h_1 \leftrightarrow h_2)
$$

 $J, I \rightarrow$  photon polarization for respectively the complex conjugated amplitude and the amplitude.

## Treatment of UV and rapidity divergences



Rapidity divergences  $(x_a \rightarrow 0)$ 

- Coming from  $\Phi_{V_2}$  (double dipole part of the virtual contribution)
- Regularized by longitudinal cut-off:  $|p_g^+| = |x_g| p_\gamma^+ > \alpha p_\gamma^+ \implies \ln \alpha$  term
- B-JIMWLK evolution from the non-physical cutoff  $\alpha$  to the rapidity  $e^{\eta}$

$$
U_{\vec{x}}^{\alpha} = U_{\vec{x}}^{e^{\eta}} + \int_{e^{\eta}}^{\alpha} d\rho \left( \frac{\partial U_{\vec{x}}^{\rho}}{\partial \rho} \right) \implies \Phi_{V_2} \longrightarrow \tilde{\Phi}_{V_2} = \Phi_{V_2} - \Phi_0 \otimes \mathcal{K}_{\text{B-JIMWLK}}
$$

 $UV\text{-}divergences~(\vec{p}_g^{\,2} \rightarrow \infty)$ 

• Just dressing of the external quark lines

$$
\Phi_{\rm dress} \propto \left( \frac{1}{2\epsilon_{IR}} - \frac{1}{2\epsilon_{UV}} \right)
$$

•  $\epsilon_{IR} = \epsilon_{UV}$  turns UV into IR divergences



**K ロ ⊁ K 倒 ⊁ K ミ** 

## NLO cross-section in a nutshell

- Different *fragmentation mechanisms* 
	- i. Quark/anti-quark fragmentation
	- ii. Quark/gluon fragmentation
	- iii. Anti-quark/gluon fragmentation



## NLO cross-section in a nutshell

• Different fragmentation mechanisms

• Operator structure classification



(ロ) (日) (동) (동) 동남 990 13/21

# IR singularities: Quark/anti-quark fragmentation

• Divergent contributions



 $x_g$ 

 $\overline{x}_q$ 

• Collinear divergence



- i.  $\vec{p}_g \rightarrow \vec{p}_{\tilde{q}} = \frac{x_g}{x_q} \vec{p}_q$
- ii.  $x_g$  generic

• Soft divergence



- i.  $\vec{p}_g \equiv x_q \vec{u}$
- ii.  $x_g \rightarrow 0$  and  $\vec{u}$  generic

• Soft and collinear divergence  $(x_g \to 0 \text{ and } \vec{u} \to \frac{\vec{p}_q}{x_q})$ 

4 ロ → 4 @ ▶ 4 블 ▶ 4 블 ▶ - 블 | = 19 9 0 0 14/21

## IR singularities

• Divergences:  $q\bar{q}$ -fragmentation



• Treatment of divergences in a nutshell

$$
d\sigma_1+d\sigma_{3,\rm{soft}}+\underbrace{(d\sigma_3^{(1)}-d\sigma_{3,\rm{soft}}^{(1)})} _{d\sigma_{3,\rm{collinear}}^{(1)}}+(d\sigma_3^{(2)}-d\sigma_{3,\rm{soft}}^{(2)})+\underbrace{(d\sigma_3^{(3)}-d\sigma_{3,\rm{soft}}^{(3)})} _{d\sigma_{3,\rm{collinear}}^{(3)}}+( (d\sigma_3^{(4)}-d\sigma_{3,\rm{soft}}^{(4)})) +d\sigma_{\rm{counter}}
$$

• Divergences: qg-fragmentation



(5): collinear  $\longrightarrow d\sigma_{3,\text{collinear}}^{\bar{q}g(5)}$ 

• Divergences:  $\bar{q}g$ -fragmentation



(6): collinear  $\longrightarrow d\sigma_{3,\text{collinear}}^{\bar{q}g(6)}$ 

1대 - 1대 - 1대 - 1대 - 1대 - 11대 - 1<br>11대 - 11대 - 1

## Renormalization of FFs and gluon fragmentation

• Renormalized quark FFs (similar for the anti-quark)

 $\tilde{D}_q^{h_1}\left(\frac{x_{h_1}}{x_q}\right)=D_q^{h_1}\left(\frac{x_{h_1}}{x_q},\mu_F\right)-\frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi}\left(\frac{1}{\hat{\epsilon}}+\ln\frac{\mu_F^2}{\mu^2}\right)\left[\left[P_{qq}\otimes D_q^{h_1}\right]\left(\frac{x_{h_1}}{x_q},\mu_F\right)+\left[P_{gq}\otimes D_g^{h_1}\right]\left(\frac{x_{h_1}}{x_q},\mu_F\right)\right]$ 

## Renormalization of FFs and gluon fragmentation

• Renormalized quark FFs (similar for the anti-quark)

$$
\label{eq:1D90} \begin{aligned} \bar{D}_q^{h_1}\left(\begin{smallmatrix} x_{h_1} \\ x_q \end{smallmatrix}\right) &= D_q^{h_1}\left(\begin{smallmatrix} x_{h_1} \\ x_q \end{smallmatrix}, \mu_F\right) - \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi}\left(\tfrac{1}{\tilde{\epsilon}} + \ln \frac{\mu_F^2}{\mu^2}\right)\left[\left[P_{qq} \otimes D_q^{h_1}\right]\left(\begin{smallmatrix} x_{h_1} \\ x_q \end{smallmatrix}, \mu_F\right) + \left[P_{gq} \otimes D_g^{h_1}\right]\left(\begin{smallmatrix} x_{h_1} \\ x_q \end{smallmatrix}, \mu_F\right)\right] \\ &\downarrow \end{aligned}
$$

$$
d\sigma_{LL}^{h_1h_2}\Big|_{\text{ct}} = \frac{4\alpha_{\text{em}}Q^2}{(2\pi)^{4(d-1)}N_c} \sum_q Q_q^2 \int_{x_{h_1}}^1 dx_q \int_{x_{h_2}}^1 dx_{\bar{q}} x_{\bar{q}} x_{\bar{q}} \left(\frac{x_q}{x_{h_1}}\right)^d \left(\frac{x_{\bar{q}}}{x_{h_2}}\right)^d \delta(1 - x_q - x_{\bar{q}})
$$

$$
\times \mathcal{F}_{LL} \left(-\frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi}\right) \left(\frac{1}{\hat{\epsilon}} + \ln\frac{\mu_F^2}{\mu^2}\right) \left\{ \underbrace{\left[P_{qq} \otimes D_q^{h_1}\right] \left(\frac{x_{h_1}}{x_q}, \mu_F\right)}_{(1)} D_{\bar{q}}^{h_2} \left(\frac{x_{h_2}}{x_{\bar{q}}}, \mu_F\right) \right.
$$

$$
+ \underbrace{\left[P_{gq} \otimes D_g^{h_1}\right] \left(\frac{x_{h_1}}{x_q}, \mu_F\right)}_{(6)} D_{\bar{q}}^{h_2} \left(\frac{x_{h_2}}{x_{\bar{q}}}, \mu_F\right) + \left[\left(q, x_q, x_{h_1}\right) \leftrightarrow \left(\bar{q}, x_{\bar{q}}, x_{h_2}\right)\right] \right\} + (h_1 \leftrightarrow h_2)
$$

1대기 16월기 1월기 1월기 월1월 1990 16/21

## Renormalization of FFs and gluon fragmentation

• Renormalized quark FFs (similar for the anti-quark)

$$
\label{eq:1D90} \begin{split} \hat{D}_q^{h_1}\left(\tfrac{x_{h_1}}{x_q}\right) &= D_q^{h_1}\left(\tfrac{x_{h_1}}{x_q}, \mu_F\right) - \tfrac{\alpha_s}{2\pi}\left(\tfrac{1}{\tilde{\epsilon}} + \ln \tfrac{\mu_F^2}{\mu^2}\right)\left[\left[P_{qq} \otimes D_q^{h_1}\right]\left(\tfrac{x_{h_1}}{x_q}, \mu_F\right) + \left[P_{gq} \otimes D_g^{h_1}\right]\left(\tfrac{x_{h_1}}{x_q}, \mu_F\right)\right] \\ & \qquad \qquad \downarrow \end{split}
$$

$$
d\sigma_{LL}^{h_1h_2}\Big|_{\text{ct}} = \frac{4\alpha_{\text{em}}Q^2}{(2\pi)^{4(d-1)}N_c} \sum_q Q_q^2 \int_{x_{h_1}}^1 dx_q \int_{x_{h_2}}^1 dx_{\bar{q}} x_{\bar{q}} x_{\bar{q}} \left(\frac{x_q}{x_{h_1}}\right)^d \left(\frac{x_{\bar{q}}}{x_{h_2}}\right)^d \delta(1 - x_q - x_{\bar{q}})
$$

$$
\times \mathcal{F}_{LL} \left(-\frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi}\right) \left(\frac{1}{\hat{\epsilon}} + \ln\frac{\mu_F^2}{\mu^2}\right) \left\{ \underbrace{\left[P_{qq} \otimes D_q^{h_1}\right] \left(\frac{x_{h_1}}{x_q}, \mu_F\right)}_{(1)} D_{\bar{q}}^{h_2} \left(\frac{x_{h_2}}{x_{\bar{q}}}, \mu_F\right) \right.
$$

$$
+ \underbrace{\left[P_{gq} \otimes D_g^{h_1}\right] \left(\frac{x_{h_1}}{x_q}, \mu_F\right)}_{(6)} D_{\bar{q}}^{h_2} \left(\frac{x_{h_2}}{x_{\bar{q}}}, \mu_F\right) + \left[\left(q, x_q, x_{h_1}\right) \leftrightarrow \left(\bar{q}, x_{\bar{q}}, x_{h_2}\right)\right] \right\} + (h_1 \leftrightarrow h_2)
$$

• Finite part of the cross sections

$$
d\sigma_{h_1,h_2} = \sum_{(a,b)} D_a^{h_1} \otimes D_b^{h_2} \otimes d\hat{\sigma}_{ab} \qquad (a,b) = \{(q,\bar{q}), (q,g), (g,\bar{q})\}
$$

• Extension to the semi-inclusive diffractive DIS (SIDDIS) at the NLO [M.F., Grabovsky, Li, Szymanowski, Wallon (2024)]

# <span id="page-21-0"></span>Deeply virtual meson production (DVMP)

• Exclusive  $\rho$ -meson leptoproduction

$$
\gamma^{(*)}(p_{\gamma}) + P(p_0) \rightarrow \rho(p_{\rho}) + P(p'_0)
$$

• Extensively studied at HERA



• NLO corrections to the production of a longitudinally polarized  $\rho$ -meson in the saturation regime

> [Boussarie, Grabovsky, Ivanov, Szymanowski, Wallon (2017)] [Mäntysaari, Pentalla (2022)]

- Transversally polarized  $\rho$ -meson start at the **twist-3**
- Collinear factorization at the next-to-leading power leads to end point singularities

```
[Anikin, Teryaev (2002)]
```
17/21

• Exclusive light-meson production at the twist-3 within the BFKL approach (forward case)

[Anikin, Ivanov, Pire, Szymanowski, Wallon (2009)]

## Transversely polarized light-meson production

• Exclusive light-meson production at the twist-3 within the Shockwave approach

#### [Boussarie, M.F. , Szymanowski, Wallon (to appear)]

- i. Saturation corrections to DVMP in the transversely polarized case
- ii. Both forward and non-forward result
- iii. Coordinates and momentum space representation
- *iiii.* Linearization [Caron-Hout (2013)]  $\implies$  BFKL results
- Effective background field operators

$$
[\psi_{\text{eff}} (z_0)]_{z_0^+ < 0} = \psi(z_0) - \int d^D z_2 G_0 (z_{02}) (\overline{V}_{z_2}^+ - 1) \gamma^+ \psi(z_2) \delta(z_2^+)
$$
  

$$
[\bar{\psi}_{\text{eff}} (z_0)]_{z_0^+ < 0} = \bar{\psi}(z_0) + \int d^D z_1 \bar{\psi}(z_1) \gamma^+ (\overline{V}_{z_1} - 1) G_0 (z_{10}) \delta(z_1^+)
$$
  

$$
[A_{\text{eff}}^{\mu a} (z_0)]_{z_0^+ < 0} = A^{\mu a} (z_0) + 2i \int d^D z_3 \delta(z_3^+) F_{-\sigma}^b (z_3) G^{\mu \sigma}{}^{\perp} (z_{30}) (\overline{U}_{z_3}^{ab} - \delta^{ab})
$$

• Higher-twist formalisms

Covariant collinear factorization [Ball, Braun, Koike, Tanaka (1998)] Light-cone collinear factorization [Anikin, Teryaev (2002)]

## Higher-twist formalism

• 2-body contribution (kinematic twist)



• 3-body contribution (genuine twist)



(ロ) (伊) (평) (평) (평) 평)= ⊙٩0 19/21

## Summary and outlook

## Summary

• Full NLO computation of diffractive di-hadron production and SIDDIS

[M. F., Grabovsky, Li, Szymanowski, Wallon (2023)]

[M. F., Grabovsky, Li, Szymanowski, Wallon (2024)]

4 ロ ▶ 4 레 ▶ 4 레 ▶ 4 페 ▶ 4 페 ★ 페 ★ 페 게 메 메 메 메 카 아이 20/21

- Full cancellation of divergences has been observed between real, virtual corrections and counterterms from renormalized FFs.
- General kinematics  $(Q^2, t)$  and arbitrary photon polarization means either photo or electro-production.
- Results are also applicable to ultra-peripheral collisions at the LHC.
- Deeply virtual light-meson production at the twist-3 [Boussarie, M.F., Szymanowski, Wallon (to appear)]

# Summary and outlook

## Summary

• Full NLO computation of diffractive di-hadron production and SIDDIS

[M. F., Grabovsky, Li, Szymanowski, Wallon (2023)]

- [M. F., Grabovsky, Li, Szymanowski, Wallon (2024)]
- Full cancellation of divergences has been observed between real, virtual corrections and counterterms from renormalized FFs.
- General kinematics  $(Q^2, t)$  and arbitrary photon polarization means either photo or electro-production.
- Results are also applicable to ultra-peripheral collisions at the LHC.
- Deeply virtual light-meson production at the twist-3

[Boussarie, M.F., Szymanowski, Wallon (to appear)]

## Outlook

- Looking for special kinematic configuration  $\rightarrow$  diffractive dijet production in the back-to-back limit, TMD factorization in SIDDIS
- Resummation of large logarithms in the impact factors
- Phenomenological analyisis!

# <span id="page-26-0"></span>Thanks for your attention

21/21

# <span id="page-27-0"></span>Backup

 $A \Box B \rightarrow A \Box B \rightarrow A \Box B \rightarrow A \Box B \rightarrow A \Box C \rightarrow A/14$ 

# Saturation physics

• DIS total cross-section

$$
\sigma_{\gamma^* P}(x) = \Phi_{\gamma^* \gamma^*}(\vec{k}) \otimes_{\vec{k}} \mathcal{F}(x, \vec{k})
$$
  

$$
\downarrow
$$
  

$$
\sigma_{\gamma^* P}(x) \sim \left(\frac{s}{Q^2}\right)^{\omega_0} = \left(\frac{1}{x}\right)^{\omega_0}
$$

• Martin-Froissart bound

$$
\sigma_{tot} \lesssim c \ln^2 s
$$



- The violation of Martin-Froissart bound means a breakdown of the unitarity
- The violation is physically interpretable as an infinite growth of the unintegrated gluon density at small value of the Bjorken- $x$

$$
\Delta x_{\perp} = \frac{1}{Q}
$$

## Saturation physics

- Saturation effects
	- i. Very dense system  $\implies$  Recombination effects

[Gribov, Levin, Ryskin — Mueller and Qui (1980-1983)]



*ii.* In large nuclei  $\implies$  *Multiple re-scattering*  $(\alpha_s^2 A^{1/3} \text{ resummation})$ [Glauber (1959)—Gribov (1969)], [Kovchegov (1999)]



4 미 > 4 레 > 4 로 > 4 로 > 로 로 - 9 이익이 3/14

## Color glass condensate

• Characteristic Saturation scale

$$
Q_s^2 \sim \left(\frac{A}{x}\right)^{1/3} \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}^2 \qquad \alpha_s(Q_s^2) \ll 1 \implies \text{Weakly coupled QCD}
$$

Saturation window:  $Q^2 < Q_s^2$ 

• The small-x gluon field can be obtained by solving the classical Yang-Mills equation (MV-model)

#### [McLerran, Venugopalan (1994)]

- The solution that is obtained has three main properties:
	- i. **Color**  $\rightarrow$  dominated by colored particle (gluons)
	- ii. Condensate  $\rightarrow$  very high-density of gluons
	- iii. Glass  $\rightarrow$  well-separated time scales between small-x and large-x, with this latter appearing as "frozen"
- Quantum corrections to MV model  $\rightarrow$  non-linear small-x evolution

# Saturation at the Electron-Ion collider (EIC)







• At the EIC, the saturation scale  $Q_s$  will be in the perturbative range

$$
Q_s^2 \sim \left(\frac{A}{x}\right)^{1/3} \Lambda_{\rm QCD}^2
$$

• Perturbative control on gluonic saturation

$$
\Lambda_{QCD}^2 \ll Q^2 \ll Q_s^2
$$

4 미 시 4 대 시 코 시 코 시 코 보 - 12 - 12 4 0 4 0 4 0 4 14

## Ultra-Peripheral collisions at the LHC

• Ultra-peripheral collisions (UPCs)  $\rightarrow$  two projectiles with radii  $r_A$  and  $r_B$  interact with an impact parameter  $b > R_A + R_B$ 



- UPCs are mediated by electromagnetic interactions
- Quasi-real photons cloud  $\rightarrow$  Equivalent photon approximation (EPA)

4 미 시 4 레 시 코 시 (로 시 로 코 리 코 시 이 이 이 *6/11* 

## Shockwave approach: kinematics



• Light-cone Sudakov vectors

$$
n_1 = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} (1, 0_+, 1), \quad n_2 = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} (1, 0_+, -1), \quad (n_1 \cdot n_2) = 1
$$

• Light-cone coordinates

$$
x = (x^{0}, x^{1}, x^{2}, x^{3}) \rightarrow (x^{+}, x^{-}, \vec{x})
$$
  

$$
x^{+} = x_{-} = (x \cdot n_{2}) \quad x^{-} = x_{+} = (x \cdot n_{1})
$$

## Balitsky-Kovchegov evolution equation

## • Large- $N_c$  limit ['t Hooft (1974)]



• Double dipole  $\rightarrow$  Dipole  $\times$  dipole



• Hierarchy of equations broken  $\rightarrow$  closed non-linear BK equation [Balitsky (1995)], [Kovchegov (1999)]

$$
\frac{\partial \langle \mathcal{U}_{12}^{\eta} \rangle}{\partial \eta} = \frac{\alpha_s N_c}{2\pi^2} \int d^2 \vec{z}_3 \left( \frac{\vec{z}_{12}^2}{\vec{z}_{23}^2 \vec{z}_{31}^2} \right) \left[ \langle \mathcal{U}_{13}^{\eta} \rangle + \langle \mathcal{U}_{32}^{\eta} \rangle - \langle \mathcal{U}_{12}^{\eta} \rangle - \langle \mathcal{U}_{13}^{\eta} \rangle \langle \mathcal{U}_{32}^{\eta} \rangle \right]
$$
\nwith  $\langle \mathcal{U}_{12}^{\eta} \rangle \equiv \langle P' | \mathcal{U}_{12}^{\eta} | P \rangle$ 

## Dilute Regime

• Reggeon definition

$$
R^{a}(z) \equiv \frac{f^{abc}}{gC_{A}} \ln\left(U_{z}^{bc}\right)
$$

• Expansion in Reggeon

$$
V_{\bm{z}_1} = 1 + ig\bm{t}^a R^a (\bm{z}_1) - \frac{1}{2} g^2 \bm{t}^a \bm{t}^b R^a (\bm{z}_1) R^b (\bm{z}_1) + O(g^3)
$$

• BFKL factorization

$$
\mathcal{A} = -\frac{g^2}{4N_c} (2\pi)^d \delta^d (\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{\Delta}) \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^d \ell}{(2\pi)^d} \mathcal{U}(\ell) \int_0^1 \mathrm{d}x
$$

$$
\times \left[ \Phi \left( x, \ell - \frac{x - \bar{x}}{2} \mathbf{\Delta} \right) + \Phi \left( x, -\ell - \frac{x - \bar{x}}{2} \mathbf{\Delta} \right) - \Phi(x, \bar{x} \mathbf{\Delta}) - \Phi(x, -x \mathbf{\Delta}) \right]
$$

$$
\Phi_{BFKL}(x, \ell, \mathbf{\Delta})
$$

•  $U(l) \rightarrow$  unintegrated gluon density in the BFKL sense

$$
\mathcal{U}(\boldsymbol{\ell}) \equiv \int d^d \boldsymbol{v} e^{-i(\boldsymbol{\ell} \cdot \boldsymbol{v})} \left\langle P\left(p'\right) \left| R^a\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{v}}{2}\right) R^a\left(-\frac{\boldsymbol{v}}{2}\right) \right| P(p) \right\rangle ,
$$

4 미 H 4 레 H 4 리 H 4 로 H 코 트 - 이익만 - 9/14

## Light-cone collinear factorization



• 2-body amplitude

$$
\mathcal{A}_2 = \int \frac{d^4k}{(2\pi)^4} \int d^4z e^{-ik \cdot z} \langle M(p)|\overline{\psi}^i_{\alpha}(z) \psi^j_{\beta}(0)|0\rangle H_{2,\alpha\beta}^{ij}
$$

• 2-body amplitude after Fierz decomposition

$$
\mathcal{A}_2 = \frac{1}{4N_c} p^+ \int \frac{dx}{2\pi} \int \frac{dq^-}{2\pi} \int \frac{d^d \mathbf{q}}{(2\pi)^d} \int d^D z \ e^{-ixp^+ z^- - iq^- z^+ + i(\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{z})}
$$

$$
\times \left\langle M(p) \left| \overline{\psi}(z) \Gamma_\lambda \psi(0) \right| 0 \right\rangle \text{tr} \left[ H_2(xp+q) \Gamma^\lambda \right]
$$

• Taylor expansion of the hard part

$$
H_2\left(xp+q\right) = H_2\left(xp\right) + q_{\perp \mu} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial q_{\perp \mu}} H_2\left(xp+q\right)\right]_{k=xp} + \text{h.t.}
$$

4 ロ → 4 @ ▶ 4 블 ▶ 4 블 ▶ - 블 | = 19 9 9 0 10/14

## Light-cone collinear factorization

• 2-body factorized form up to twist-3

$$
\mathcal{A}_{2} = \frac{1}{4N_{c}} \int \mathrm{d}x \ p^{+} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}z^{-}}{2\pi} e^{-ixp^{+}z^{-}}
$$

$$
\times \left\{ \left\langle M\left(p\right) \left| \overline{\psi}\left(z^{-}\right) \Gamma_{\lambda}\psi\left(0\right) \right| 0 \right\rangle \mathrm{tr}\left[H_{2}\left(xp\right) \Gamma^{\lambda}\right] \right.
$$

$$
+ i \left\langle M\left(p\right) \left| \overline{\psi}\left(z^{-}\right) \overleftrightarrow{\partial}_{\perp\mu} \Gamma_{\lambda}\psi\left(0\right) \right| 0 \right\rangle \mathrm{tr}\left[\partial_{\perp}^{\mu} H_{2}\left(xp\right) \Gamma^{\lambda}\right] \right\}
$$

• 3-body contribution  $\rightarrow$  gauge invariant result

$$
\mathcal{A}_{3} = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{D} k_{q}}{(2\pi)^{D}} \frac{\mathrm{d}^{D} k_{g}}{(2\pi)^{D}} \int \mathrm{d}^{D} z_{q} \mathrm{d}^{D} z_{g} \mathrm{e}^{-i(k_{q} \cdot z_{q}) - i(k_{g} \cdot z_{g})}
$$

$$
\times \left\langle M\left(p\right) \left| \overline{\psi}_{\alpha}^{i}\left(z_{q}\right) \Gamma_{\lambda} g A_{\mu}^{a}\left(z_{g}\right) \psi_{\beta}^{j}\left(0\right) \right| 0 \right\rangle \mathrm{tr}\left[H_{3,\alpha\beta}^{ij a,\mu}\left(k_{q},k_{g}\right) \Gamma^{\lambda}\right]
$$

• 3-body contribution factorized

$$
\mathcal{A}_{3} = \frac{1}{2(N_c^2 - 1)} \int \mathrm{d}x_q \mathrm{d}x_g \left( p^+ \right)^2 \int \frac{\mathrm{d}z_q^-}{2\pi} \frac{\mathrm{d}z_q^-}{2\pi} e^{-ix_q p^+ z_q^- - ix_g p^+ z_g^-} \times \left\langle M\left( p \right) \left| \overline{\psi} \left( z_q^- \right) \Gamma_\lambda g A_\mu \left( z_g^- \right) \psi \left( 0 \right) \right| 0 \right\rangle \mathrm{tr} \left[ t^b H_3^{\mu, b} \left( x_q p, x_g p \right) \Gamma^\lambda \right] .
$$

4 ロ → 4 @ ▶ 4 블 ▶ 4 블 ▶ - 블 | = 19 9 9 0 11/14

• 2- and 3-body operators in gauge invariant form

$$
\langle M(p_M) | \overline{\psi}(z) \Gamma_{\lambda} [z, 0] \psi(0) | 0 \rangle
$$
  

$$
\langle M(p_M) | \overline{\psi}(z) \gamma_{\lambda} [z, tz] F^{\mu\nu}(tz) [tz, 0] \psi(0) | 0 \rangle
$$
  

$$
\langle M(p_M) | \overline{\psi}(z) \gamma_{\lambda} [z, tz] \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}(tz) [tz, 0] \psi(0) | 0 \rangle
$$

where

$$
[z,0] = \mathcal{P}_{\exp}\left[ig \int_0^1 dt A^{\mu}(tz) z_{\mu}\right]
$$

• Expansion of the amplitude in powers of  $x^2 = 0$  (deviation from light-cone) [Balitsky, Braun (1989)]

12/14 12/14 12/14 12/14 12/14

• Correlators without gauge links can be easily related to the fully gauge invariant one at the twist-3

## 2-body contribution

• Dipole amplitude

$$
A_2 = \int_0^1 dx \int d^2 \mathbf{r} \Psi(x, \mathbf{r}) \int d^d \mathbf{b} e^{i \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{b}} \left\langle P\left(p'\right) \left|1 - \frac{1}{N_c} \text{tr}\left(V_{\mathbf{b} + \overline{x} \mathbf{r}} V_{\mathbf{b} - x\mathbf{r}}^{\dagger}\right)\right| P\left(p\right) \right\rangle
$$

• Wavefunction overlap

$$
\Psi_2(x, r) = e_f \delta \left( 1 - \frac{p_M^+}{q^+} \right) \left( \varepsilon_{q\mu} - \frac{\varepsilon_q^+}{q^+} q_\mu \right)
$$

$$
\times \left[ \phi_{\gamma^+}(x, r) \left( 2x \bar{x} q^\mu - i(x - \bar{x}) \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{\perp \mu}} \right) + \epsilon^{\mu \nu^+ -} \phi_{\gamma^+ \gamma^5}(x, r) \frac{\partial}{\partial r_\perp^{\nu}} \right] K_0 \left( \sqrt{x \bar{x} Q^2 r^2} \right)
$$

 $\bullet~$  2-body correlators

$$
\phi_{\Gamma^{\lambda}}(x,\boldsymbol{r})=\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}dr^{-}e^{ixp^{+}_{M}r^{-}}\left\langle M\left(p_{M}\right)\left|\operatorname{tr}_{c}\overline{\psi}\left(r\right)\Gamma^{\lambda}\psi\left(0\right)\right|0\right\rangle _{r^{+}=0}
$$

#### (ロ) (包) (ミ) (ミ) (ミ) 2 → ク۹.0 13/14

.

## <span id="page-40-0"></span>3-body contribution

 $\bullet\,$  3-body amplitude

$$
\mathcal{A}_3 = \left( \prod_{i=1}^3 \int dx_i \theta(x_i) \right) \delta(1 - x_1 - x_2 - x_3) \int d^2 z_1 d^2 z_2 d^2 z_3 e^{i q(x_1 z_1 + x_2 z_2 + x_3 z_3)}
$$
  
 
$$
\times \Psi_3(x_1, x_2, x_3, z_1, z_2, z_3) \left\langle P\left(p'\right) \left| \mathcal{U}_{z_1 z_3} \mathcal{U}_{z_3 z_2} - \mathcal{U}_{z_1 z_3} - \mathcal{U}_{z_3 z_2} + \frac{1}{N_c^2} \mathcal{U}_{z_1 z_2} \right| P\left(p\right) \right\rangle
$$

• Wavefunction overlap

$$
\Psi_{3}(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, \mathbf{z}_{1}, \mathbf{z}_{2}, \mathbf{z}_{3}) = \frac{e_{q}q^{+}}{2(2\pi)} \frac{N_{c}^{2}}{N_{c}^{2} - 1} \left(\varepsilon_{q\rho} - \frac{\varepsilon_{q}^{+}}{q^{+}} q_{\rho}\right)
$$
\n
$$
\times \left\{ \chi_{\gamma+\sigma} \left[ \left( 4ig_{\perp\perp}^{\rho\sigma} \frac{x_{1}x_{2}}{1-x_{2}} \frac{Q}{Z} K_{1}(QZ) + T_{1}^{\sigma\rho\nu}(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}) \frac{z_{23\perp\nu}}{\mathbf{z}_{23}^{2}} K_{0}(QZ) \right) - (1 \leftrightarrow 2) \right]
$$
\n
$$
+ \chi_{\gamma+\gamma} \sigma_{\sigma} \left[ \left( 4\epsilon^{\sigma\rho+-} \frac{x_{1}x_{2}}{1-x_{2}} \frac{Q}{Z} K_{1}(QZ) + T_{2}^{\sigma\rho\nu}(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}) \frac{z_{23\perp\nu}}{\mathbf{z}_{23}^{2}} K_{0}(QZ) \right) - (1 \leftrightarrow 2) \right] \right\}
$$

• 3-body correlators

$$
\chi_{\Gamma^{\lambda},\sigma} \equiv \chi_{\Gamma^{\lambda},\sigma}(x_1,x_2,x_3,\mathbf{z}_1,\mathbf{z}_2,\mathbf{z}_3)
$$
\n
$$
= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dz_1^{-}}{2\pi} \frac{dz_2^{-}}{2\pi} \frac{dz_3^{-}}{2\pi} e^{-ix_1q^{+}z_1^{-} - ix_2q^{+}z_2^{-} - ix_3q^{+}z_3^{-}} \left\langle M(p_M) \left| \overline{\psi}(z_1) \Gamma^{\lambda} F_{-\sigma}(z_3) \psi(z_2) \right| 0 \right\rangle_{z_{1,2,3}^{+}}}
$$
\n
$$
\Leftrightarrow \text{where } \mathcal{L} \text{ is a constant}
$$