Physics Beyond the Standard Model

Akın Wingerter

Laboratoire de Physique Subatomique et de Cosmologie UJF Grenoble 1, CNRS/IN2P3, INPG, Grenoble, France



May 24, 2012

Outline

- > The Standard Model of particle physics
- ➤ The Large Hadron Collider (LHC) at CERN
- > Need for physics beyond the Standard Model
- > Some popular ideas for physics beyond the Standard Model
- > How our activities at LPSC fit into the search for new physics
- Disclaimer: I will concentrate on work done at LPSC. As such, my talk is unbalanced.

A general overview is beyond the scope of my talk!

The Standard Model of Particle Physics

Gauge group

 $\mathrm{SU}(3)_c\times \mathrm{SU}(2)_L\times \mathrm{U}(1)_Y$

Particle content

Q	(3,2) _{1/3}	L	(1, 2) ₋₁	H	$(1, 2)_1$	A	$(1,1)_0$
u _R c	$(\overline{3},1)_{-4/3}$	e _R ^c	$(1,1)_{2}$			W	$(1, 3)_0$
d_R^c	$\left(\overline{3},1\right)_{2/3}$	ν_R^c	$(1,1)_0$			G	$({\bf 8},{\bf 1})_0$

Lagrangian (Lorentz + gauge + renormalizable)

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4} G^{\alpha}_{\mu\nu} G^{\alpha\mu\nu} + \dots \overline{Q}_k \not D Q_k + \dots (D_{\mu}H)^{\dagger} (D^{\mu}H) - \mu^2 H^{\dagger}H - \frac{\lambda}{4!} (H^{\dagger}H)^2 + \dots Y_{k\ell} \overline{Q}_k H(u_R)_{\ell}$$

Spontaneous symmetry breaking

$$\succ H \to H' + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ v \end{pmatrix}$$

- ➤ $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y \rightarrow U(1)_Q$
- \succ $A, W^3 \rightarrow \gamma, Z^0$ and $W^1_{\mu}, W^2_{\mu} \rightarrow W^+, W^-$

> Fermions acquire mass through Yukawa couplings to Higgs

The Standard Model of Particle Physics

Excellent agreement between theory and data

The LEP Electroweak Working Group, Plots for Winter 2012



Akın Wingerter, LPSC Grenoble Physics Beyond the Standard Model

The Standard Model of Particle Physics

> Lower bound from LEP: $m_H > 114.4 \text{ GeV}$ Preferred $m_H = 94 \text{ GeV}$, upper limit $m_H < 171 \text{ GeV} (95\% \text{ C.L.})$ The LEP Electroweak Working Group, Plots for Winter 2012



> LHC has new exclusion limits & hints of a Higgs boson \sim 125 GeV \rightarrow More on that later in this talk!

- > LEP (1989 2000) has tested the SM up to $\mathcal{O}(100)$ GeV
- Commissioning of LHC on March 30, 2010
- \succ Collected \sim 5 fb⁻¹ of data @7 TeV till end of 2011
- ➤ First 8 TeV collisions on March 30, 2012
- > Eventually $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV (after shutdown in 2013/14)









Akın Wingerter, LPSC Grenoble Physics Beyond the Standard Mode



- ➤ High momentum transfer ~→ Amenable to perturbation theory (→ Tzvetalina's & François' talk)
- > Parton showering (correspond to "jets" under ideal circumstances)
- Hadronization (free quarks & gluons not observed: "Confinement")
- Junk from the proton remnants
- Higgs boson: That's the event we hope to observe



- ➤ High momentum transfer ~> Amenable to perturbation theory (→ Tzvetalina's & François' talk)
- Parton showering (correspond to "jets" under ideal circumstances)
- Hadronization (free quarks & gluons not observed: "Confinement")
- Junk from the proton remnants
- Higgs boson: That's the event we hope to observe



- ➤ High momentum transfer ~> Amenable to perturbation theory (→ Tzvetalina's & François' talk)
- > Parton showering (correspond to "jets" under ideal circumstances)
- Hadronization (free quarks & gluons not observed: "Confinement")
- Junk from the proton remnants
- Higgs boson: That's the event we hope to observe



- ➤ High momentum transfer ~> Amenable to perturbation theory (→ Tzvetalina's & François' talk)
- > Parton showering (correspond to "jets" under ideal circumstances)
- > Hadronization (free quarks & gluons not observed: "Confinement")
- Junk from the proton remnants
- Higgs boson: That's the event we hope to observe



- ➤ High momentum transfer ~> Amenable to perturbation theory (→ Tzvetalina's & François' talk)
- > Parton showering (correspond to "jets" under ideal circumstances)
- > Hadronization (free quarks & gluons not observed: "Confinement")
- Junk from the proton remnants
- Higgs boson: That's the event we hope to observe



- ➤ High momentum transfer ~> Amenable to perturbation theory (→ Tzvetalina's & François' talk)
- > Parton showering (correspond to "jets" under ideal circumstances)
- > Hadronization (free quarks & gluons not observed: "Confinement")
- Junk from the proton remnants
- > Higgs boson: That's the event we hope to observe

Why do we expect new physics beyond the Standard Model?



A word of warning:

- There is no concensus on the list of problems that will follow
- Some of the problems are more serious/imminent than others
- Many are purely "aestetic"

1 Too many free parameters

Gauge sector: 3 couplings g', g, g_3 3Quark sector: 6 masses, 3 mixing angles, 1 CP phase10Lepton sector: 6 masses, 3 mixing angles and 1+2 phases10+2Higgs sector: Quartic coupling λ and vev v2 $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ parameter of QCD1

26 + 2

Structure of gauge symmetry

Why the product structure $SU(3)_c \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$? Why 3 different coupling constants g', g, g_3 ?

③ Structure of family multiplets

One family is

Can the particles be reorganized in a single representation?

4 Repetition of families

Why is this pattern for 1 generation replicated 3 times?



6 Mass hierarchies and texture of Yukawa couplings

up-quark mass $\sim 2 \times 10^{-3} \text{ GeV} \quad \leftrightarrow \quad \text{top-quark mass} \sim 172.3 \text{ GeV}$

Yukawa coupling of top \sim 1, but why are the other quarks so light?

Minimal mixing in quark sector

$$V_{\mathsf{CKM}} = \begin{pmatrix} V_{ud} & V_{us} & V_{ub} \\ V_{cd} & V_{cs} & V_{cb} \\ V_{td} & V_{ts} & V_{tb} \end{pmatrix} \simeq \begin{pmatrix} 0.97 & 0.22 & 0.00 \\ 0.22 & 0.97 & 0.04 \\ 0.00 & 0.04 & 0.99 \end{pmatrix}$$

6 Light neutrinos and texture of Yukawa couplings

Why are neutrinos so light?

$$\Delta m_
u^2 \sim 10^{-2} - 10^{-5}$$
 eV, $\sum m_
u \lesssim 2$ eV

Maximal mixing in lepton sector

$$U_{\mathsf{PMNS}} = \left(\begin{array}{ccc} U_{e1} & U_{e2} & U_{e3} \\ U_{\mu 1} & U_{\mu 2} & U_{\mu 3} \\ U_{\tau 1} & U_{\tau 2} & U_{\tau 3} \end{array}\right) \simeq \left(\begin{array}{ccc} 0.8 & 0.5 & 0.0 \\ -0.4 & 0.6 & 0.7 \\ 0.4 & -0.6 & 0.7 \end{array}\right)$$

Hierarchy problem



$$\Delta m_H^2 = -\frac{|\lambda_f|^2}{8\pi^2} \Lambda_{\rm UV}^2 + \dots$$

- Higgs mass is quadratically divergent
- Standard Model is renormalizable and infinities can be absorbed into a finite number of physical parameters
- Hierarchy problem arises if one goes beyond renormalizability \sim Cut-off Λ_{UV} acquires physical meaning
- Higgs mass is dragged to cut-off scale e.g. $\Lambda_{\it UV} \sim M_{\rm Planck}$
- However, we need a light Higgs $\mathcal{O}(100)$ GeV
- Analogous problems arise from presence of any heavy particle

8 Dark Matter and Dark Energy

23% of our universe is made up of dark matter and the Standard Model offers no candidate particle \dots



73% of our universe is made up of dark energy and the cosmological constant as calculated from QFT is the worst-predicted quantity in particle physics

9 Gravity

- $\bullet\,$ Scales relevant in everyday life \rightsquigarrow Newton's theory
- $\bullet\,$ Satellites, solar system, etc. \rightsquigarrow Still Newton's theory
- $\bullet~$ Cosmological scales $\rightsquigarrow~$ Einstein's theory of GR
- Very small scales \rightsquigarrow Need quantum theory of gravitation
- Don't know how to quantize gravity and how to unify with SM
 - \rightarrow String theory (A.W.) or loop quantum gravity (Aurelien Barrau)

Many other problems

Baryon asymmetry in the universe, charge quantization, ...

 \rightarrow Will not be addressed in this talk!

Intro SM LHC Need for BSM Some Ideas Conclusions

Problems of the Standard Model

Are there any hints



at physics beyond the Standard Model?

Akın Wingerter, LPSC Grenoble Physics Beyond the Standard Mode

 \succ Running gauge couplings seem to meet at $\sim 2 imes 10^{16}$ GeV

H. Georgi and S. L. Glashow, "Unity of all elementary particle forces," Phys. Rev. Lett. 32 (1974) 438-441

S. Dimopoulos, S. A. Raby, and F. Wilczek, "Unification of couplings," Phys. Today 44N10 (1991) 25-33

> Points towards supersymmetry (\rightarrow Suchita's talk) & unification

figures courtesy of K. Dienes



Akın Wingerter, LPSC Grenoble

Remember gauge symmetry & particle content of SM

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathrm{SO}(10) & \to & \mathrm{SU}(5) \times \mathrm{U}(1)_X & \to & \mathrm{SU}(3) \times \mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{U}(1)_Y \times \mathrm{U}(1)_{\mathrm{B-L}} \\ \mathbf{16} & \to & \mathbf{10} + \mathbf{\overline{5}} + \mathbf{1} \\ & \to & (\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{2})_{1/3, 1/3} \ + \ (\mathbf{\overline{3}}, \mathbf{1})_{.4/3, -1/3} \ + \ (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{.2, -1} \ + \ (\mathbf{\overline{3}}, \mathbf{1})_{2/3, -1/3} \ + \ (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2})_{-1, 1} \ + \ (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{0, -1} \\ & Q & u_R^c & e_R^c & d_R^c & L & \nu_R^c \end{array}$$

 \succ U(1)_{B-L} can serve as R-parity \odot

- Partial solution to ① "Too many parameters":
 - g', g, g₃ reduced to g_{GUT}
 B. Dundee, S. Raby, and A. Wingerter, "Reconciling Grand Unification with Strings by Anisotropic Compactifications," *Phys. Rev.* D78 (2008) 066006, 0805.4186
 - Relates quark & lepton masses ("good prediction" only for 3rd generation)

Not-so-wrong prediction for sin² θ_w (only SU(5))
 S. Raby and A. Wingerter, "Can String Theory Predict the Weinberg Angle?," *Phys. Rev.* D76 (2007) 086006, 0706.0217

> Partial solution to ② "Structure of gauge symmetry"

 $\mathrm{SU}(3)_c imes \mathrm{SU}(2)_L imes \mathrm{U}(1)_Y \subset \mathrm{SU}(5) \subset \mathrm{SO}(10) \subset \mathrm{E}_6 \subset \mathrm{E}_8 imes \mathrm{E}_8$

O. Lebedev et al., "A mini-landscape of exact MSSM spectra in heterotic orbifolds," Phys. Lett. B645 (2007) 88-94, hep-th/0611095

$$(3,2)_{1/3}+(\overline{3},1)_{-4/3}+(1,1)_{-2}+(\overline{3},1)_{2/3}+(1,2)_{-1}+(1,1)_0 = 16 \subset 27 \subset 248$$

Elegant solution to 6 "Light neutrinos & …"

$$\mathcal{L} = \ldots + y_{
u} \overline{L} H^{c}
u_{R} + M \overline{
u}_{R}
u_{R} + \ldots$$
 (Majorana spinors)

$$M = \frac{\nu_L}{\nu_R} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & m \\ m & M \end{pmatrix} \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad m_1 \simeq M, \quad m_2 \simeq \frac{m^2}{M} \sim \frac{(100 \text{ GeV})^2}{10^{16} \text{ GeV}} \sim 10^{-3} \text{eV}$$

Technical solution to Ø Hierarchy problem



For fermions : $\Delta m_{H}^{2} = -\frac{|\lambda_{f}|^{2}}{8\pi^{2}}\Lambda_{\mathrm{UV}}^{2} + ...$

For scalars : $\Delta m_{H}^{2} = \frac{\lambda_{S}}{16\pi^{2}} \left[\Lambda_{\rm UV}^{2} - 2m_{S}^{2} \ln(\Lambda_{\rm UV}/m_{S}) + ... \right]$

➤ May have an answer for ③ Dark matter & ... R-parity ~> lightest supersymmetric particle (LSP) is stable LSP (if colorless & neutral) may constitute (part of) dark matter

Some problems with GUTs

- > Wrong prediction of m_d/m_e and m_s/m_{μ} Need more (and large) representations, i.e. more particles to fix that
- ➤ Higgs boson has color-triplet partner ~> proton decay S. Forste, H. P. Nilles, P. K. S. Vaudrevange, and A. Wingerter, "Heterotic brane world," *Phys. Rev.* D70 (2004) 106008, hep-th/0406208



> Need large representations (i.e. more particles) to break $SU(5) \rightarrow SU(3)_c \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$

O. Lebedev et al., "A mini-landscape of exact MSSM spectra in heterotic orbifolds," Phys. Lett. B645 (2007) 88-94, hep-th/0611095

Some problems with supersymmetry

SUSY doubles the particle spectrum



- > SUSY predicts equal masses for particle and superpartner, e.g. $m_e = m_{\widetilde{e}}$
- SUSY breaking introduces (in minimal model) 105 new parameters Origin of SUSY breaking not understood
 O. Lebedev et al., "Low Energy Supersymmetry from the Heterotic Landscape," Phys. Rev. Lett. 98 (2007) 181602, hep-th/0611203
- > cMSSM (SUSY+naive GUT) close to be excluded at LHC
- Proton decay in renormalizable superpotential ~> need R-parity O. Lebedev et al., "The Heterotic Road to the MSSM with R parity," Phys. Rev. D77 (2008) 046013, 0708.2691

Extended Gauge Symmetries

T. Ježo, M. Klasen, I. Schienbein, arXiv:1203.5314v1 [hep-ph]



Particle content (Un-unified model or UU)



A Fourth Generation of Chiral Fermions

- A straightforward extension of the SM
- > 4th generation is exact copy of 3rd one *except* for masses
- Gauge and Higgs sectors unchanged



A Fourth Generation of Chiral Fermions

Lower bound on Higgs mass from stability of electroweak vacuum

> Require that Higgs coupling λ not become negative anywhere

N. Cabibbo, L. Maiani, G. Parisi, and R. Petronzio, "Bounds on the Fermions and Higgs Boson Masses in Grand Unified Theories," *Nucl.Phys.* B158 (1979) 295–305

G. Altarelli and G. Isidori, "Lower limit on the Higgs mass in the standard model: An Update," *Phys.Lett.* B337 (1994) 141–144

J. A. Casas, J. R. Espinosa, and M. Quiros, "Standard Model stability bounds for new physics within LHC reach," *Phys. Lett.* **B382** (1996) 374–382, hep-ph/9603227



Akın Wingerter, LPSC Grenoble Physics Beyond the Standard Mode

A Fourth Generation of Chiral Fermions

Upper bound on Higgs mass from triviality of theory

M. Lindner, "Implications of Triviality for the Standard Model," Z.Phys. C31 (1986) 295

- > At 1-loop: Higgs coupling $\lambda \to \infty$ for renormalization scale $\mu \to \infty$
- > At 2-loop: Higgs coupling $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_{FP}$ (fixed point)
- > Criterion for perturbativity: $\lambda < \lambda_{\rm FP}/4$ (tight) or $\lambda < \lambda_{\rm FP}/2$ (loose)


- ▶ Before LHC Era: $m_H < 114$ GeV (LEP), $158 < m_H < 175$ GeV (Tevatron), $m_H > 186$ GeV (EWPM)
- \succ EPS 2011: 146 < m_H < 216 GeV, 226 < m_H < 288 GeV, 296 < m_H < 466 GeV (LHC)
- > December 13, 2011: $m_H < 115.5 \text{ GeV}$, $127 < m_H < 600 \text{ GeV}$ (LHC)
- > Moriond 2012: $m_H < 117.5$ GeV, 118.5 $< m_H < 122.5$ GeV, 127.5 $< m_H < 600$ GeV



- ▷ Before LHC Era: $m_H < 114$ GeV (LEP), 158 $< m_H < 175$ GeV (Tevatron), $m_H > 186$ GeV (EWPM)
- \succ EPS 2011: 146 < m_H < 216 GeV, 226 < m_H < 288 GeV, 296 < m_H < 466 GeV (LHC)
- > December 13, 2011: $m_H < 115.5 \text{ GeV}, 127 < m_H < 600 \text{ GeV} (LHC)$
- > Moriond 2012: $m_H < 117.5$ GeV, 118.5 $< m_H < 122.5$ GeV, 127.5 $< m_H < 600$ GeV



A Fourth Generation of Chiral Fermions

- ▷ Before LHC Era: $m_H < 114$ GeV (LEP), $158 < m_H < 175$ GeV (Tevatron), $m_H > 186$ GeV (EWPM)
- > EPS 2011: 146 $< m_H <$ 216 GeV, 226 $< m_H <$ 288 GeV, 296 $< m_H <$ 466 GeV (LHC)
- > December 13, 2011: $m_H < 115.5$ GeV, $127 < m_H < 600$ GeV (LHC)
- > Moriond 2012: $m_H < 117.5$ GeV, $118.5 < m_H < 122.5$ GeV, $127.5 < m_H < 600$ GeV



A Fourth Generation of Chiral Fermions

- ▶ Before LHC Era: $m_H < 114$ GeV (LEP), $158 < m_H < 175$ GeV (Tevatron), $m_H > 186$ GeV (EWPM)
- > EPS 2011: 146 $< m_H < 216$ GeV, 226 $< m_H < 288$ GeV, 296 $< m_H < 466$ GeV (LHC)
- December 13, 2011: m_H < 115.5 GeV, 127 < m_H < 600 GeV (LHC)</p>
- > Moriond 2012: $m_H < 117.5$ GeV, 118.5 $< m_H < 122.5$ GeV, 127.5 $< m_H < 600$ GeV



A Fourth Generation of Chiral Fermions

- ▷ Before LHC Era: $m_H < 114$ GeV (LEP), 158 $< m_H < 175$ GeV (Tevatron), $m_H > 186$ GeV (EWPM)
- > EPS 2011: 146 $< m_H <$ 216 GeV, 226 $< m_H <$ 288 GeV, 296 $< m_H <$ 466 GeV (LHC)
- > December 13, 2011: $m_H < 115.5$ GeV, $127 < m_H < 600$ GeV (LHC)
- > Moriond 2012: $m_H < 117.5$ GeV, $118.5 < m_H < 122.5$ GeV, $127.5 < m_H < 600$ GeV



- > Dependence on quark mass scale, $m_{b'}$, $m_{\tau'}$, $m_{\nu'}$, quark mixing
- > LHC Higgs limits exclude fourth generation (chiral, perturbative)



- > Dependence on quark mass scale, $m_{b'}$, $m_{\tau'}$, $m_{\nu'}$, quark mixing
- > LHC Higgs limits exclude fourth generation (chiral, perturbative)



- > Dependence on quark mass scale, $m_{b'}$, $m_{\tau'}$, $m_{\nu'}$, quark mixing
- > LHC Higgs limits exclude fourth generation (chiral, perturbative)



- > Dependence on quark mass scale, $m_{b'}$, $m_{\tau'}$, $m_{\nu'}$, quark mixing
- > LHC Higgs limits exclude fourth generation (chiral, perturbative)



- > Dependence on quark mass scale, $m_{b'}$, $m_{\tau'}$, $m_{\nu'}$, quark mixing
- > LHC Higgs limits exclude fourth generation (chiral, perturbative)



> 2-loop RGEs and matching corrections are relevant: $m_{t'} = m_{b'} \simeq 250 \text{ GeV}: \Lambda \simeq 300 \text{ TeV} \leftrightarrow \Lambda \simeq 40 \text{ TeV}$



$$\begin{split} \lambda(\mu) &= \left. \frac{m_{H}^{2}}{v^{2}} \left(1 + \delta_{H}(\mu) \right) \right|_{\mu=m_{H}}, \quad y_{t}(\mu) &= \frac{\sqrt{2}m_{t}}{v} \left(1 + \delta_{t}(\mu) \right) \right|_{\mu=m_{t}} \\ & -\frac{12\log\left(c^{2}\right)c^{4}}{v} + \frac{12\log\left(\frac{d^{2}}{c^{2}}\right)c^{4}}{(m_{t}^{2} - m_{t}^{2})m_{t}^{2}} - \frac{12Z\left(\frac{d^{2}}{c^{2}}\right)c^{4}}{(m_{t}^{2} - m_{t}^{2})m_{t}^{2}} - m_{t}^{2})m_{t}^{2}} - 2\log\left(\frac{d^{2}}{m_{t}^{2}}\right)c^{4} - 2\log\left(\frac{d^{2}}{m_{t}^{2}}\right)c^{4} + 2\log\left(\frac{d^{2}}{m_{t}^{2}}\right$$

- Neutrinos have mass and the different flavors can mix
- Charged lepton and neutrino mass matrices cannot be simultaneously diagonalized

$$\hat{M}_{\ell^+} = D_L M_{\ell^+} D_R^\dagger, \quad \hat{M}_{\nu} = U_L M_{\nu} U_R^\dagger$$

Pontecorvo-Maki-Nakagawa-Sakata matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \nu_e \\ \nu_\mu \\ \nu_\tau \end{pmatrix} = \underbrace{D_L U_L^{\dagger}}_{U_{\rm PMNS}} \begin{pmatrix} \nu_1 \\ \nu_2 \\ \nu_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

Parametrization just as CKM matrix (Majorana phases not indicated)

$$\begin{split} U_{\rm PMNS} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c_{23} & s_{23} \\ 0 & -s_{23} & c_{23} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{13} & 0 & e^{-i\delta}s_{13} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -e^{i\delta}s_{13} & 0 & c_{13} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{12} & s_{12} & 0 \\ -s_{12} & c_{12} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} c_{12}c_{13} & s_{12}c_{13} & s_{13}e^{-i\delta} \\ -c_{23}s_{12}-s_{13}s_{23}c_{12}e^{i\delta} & c_{23}c_{12}-s_{13}s_{23}s_{12}e^{i\delta} & s_{23}c_{13} \\ s_{23}s_{12}-s_{13}c_{23}c_{12}e^{i\delta} & -s_{23}c_{12}-s_{13}c_{23}s_{12}e^{i\delta} & c_{23}c_{13} \end{pmatrix} \end{split}$$

Attempt at explaning 6 "... neutrinos & texture of Yukawa couplings"

> Until recently, our best guess was tribimaximal mixing (TBM)

P. F. Harrison, D. H. Perkins, and W. G. Scott, "Tri-bimaximal mixing and the neutrino oscillation data," *Phys. Lett.* **B530** (2002) 167, hep-ph/0202074

$$U_{\rm PMNS} \stackrel{\textbf{?}}{=} U_{\rm HPS} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2/3} & 1/\sqrt{3} & 0 \\ -1/\sqrt{6} & 1/\sqrt{3} & -1/\sqrt{2} \\ -1/\sqrt{6} & 1/\sqrt{3} & 1/\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\hookrightarrow \ \theta_{12} = 35.26^{\circ}, \ \theta_{23} = 45^{\circ}, \ \theta_{13} = 0^{\circ}$$

> Agreement still quite good for θ_{12} , θ_{23} , but $\theta_{13} = 0^{\circ}$ excluded $@5\sigma$ Schwetz et al, 1108.1376, DAYA-BAY Collaboration, 1203.1669, RENO Collaboration, 1204.0626

Parameter	Tribimaximal	Global fit 1σ	Daya Bay	Reno	
θ_{12}	35.26°	$33.02^\circ-35.0^\circ$	-	-	 Image: A start of the start of
θ_{23}	45.00°	$42.13^\circ-49.6^\circ$	-	-	 Image: A second s
θ_{13}	0.00°	$5.13^\circ-8.13^\circ$	8.8°	9.8°	×

 \succ Regular patter $U_{
m PMNS}$ is suggestive of a family symmetry

$$\mathcal{U}_{\rm PMNS} \stackrel{\textbf{?}}{=} \mathcal{U}_{\rm HPS} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2/3} & 1/\sqrt{3} & 0\\ -1/\sqrt{6} & 1/\sqrt{3} & -1/\sqrt{2}\\ -1/\sqrt{6} & 1/\sqrt{3} & 1/\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0\\ 1 & 1 & 1\\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

- Situation is much less clear after Daya bay and Reno
 Daya bay and Reno rule out tribimaximal Mixing
- > What are our options?
 - Give up family symmetries!
 - Look for groups that give $\theta_{13} \neq 0^{\circ}$ R. d. A. Toorop, F. Feruglio, and C. Hagedorn, "Discrete Flavour Symmetries in Light of T2K," *Phys.Lett.* **B703** (2011) 447–451, 1107.3486
 - $\bullet~$ Keep TBM and calculate higher corrections $\rightarrow~$ This talk!

- Introduce relations between families of quarks and leptons
- > But which discrete group do we take for the family symmetry?



K. M. Parattu and A. Wingerter, "Tribimaximal Mixing From Small Groups," *Phys.Rev.* D84 (2011) 013011, 1012.2842

> In a recent publication we had scanned 76 discrete groups

- ▶ Most papers concentrate on $A_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_n$, $n \ge 3$
- > $\Delta(96)$ gives $\theta_{13} \neq 0^{\circ}$ but is large
- ➤ We identified smallest group with TBM → Higher order corrections!



K. M. Parattu and A. Wingerter, "Tribimaximal Mixing From Small Groups," *Phys.Rev.* D84 (2011) 013011, 1012.2842

- > In a recent publication we had scanned 76 discrete groups
- ▶ Most papers concentrate on $A_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_n$, $n \ge 3$
- > $\Delta(96)$ gives $\theta_{13} \neq 0^{\circ}$ but is large

➤ We identified smallest group with TBM → Higher order corrections!



K. M. Parattu and A. Wingerter, "Tribimaximal Mixing From Small Groups," *Phys.Rev.* D84 (2011) 013011, 1012.2842

- > In a recent publication we had scanned 76 discrete groups
- ▶ Most papers concentrate on $A_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_n$, $n \ge 3$
- > $\Delta(96)$ gives $\theta_{13} \neq 0^{\circ}$ but is large

➤ We identified smallest group with TBM → Higher order corrections!



K. M. Parattu and A. Wingerter, "Tribimaximal Mixing From Small Groups," *Phys.Rev.* D84 (2011) 013011, 1012.2842

- In a recent publication we had scanned 76 discrete groups
- ▶ Most papers concentrate on $A_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_n$, $n \ge 3$
- > $\Delta(96)$ gives $\theta_{13} \neq 0^{\circ}$ but is large
- > We identified smallest group with TBM \rightarrow Higher order corrections!



C. Luhn, K. M. Parattu, A. Wingerter, work in progress

• Symmetries of the model

```
\mathrm{SU}(2)_L 	imes \mathrm{U}(1)_Y 	imes T_7 	imes \mathrm{U}(1)_R
```

Particle content and charges

Field	$\mathrm{SU}(2)_L imes \mathrm{U}(1)_Y$	<i>T</i> ₇	$U(1)_R$
L	(2,-1)	3	1
е	(1, 2)	1	1
μ	(1, 2)	1′	1
τ	(1, 2)	1″	1
hu	(2, 1)	1	0
h _d	(2,-1)	1	0
φ	(1, 0)	3	0
$\widetilde{\varphi}$	(1, 0)	3′	0

③ Breaking the family symmetry

$$\langle arphi
angle = (v_arphi, v_arphi, v_arphi), \quad \langle \widetilde{arphi}
angle = (v_{\widetilde{arphi}}, 0, 0)$$

All you need to know about T_7

The GAP Group, "GAP - Groups, Algorithms, and Programming." http://www.gap-system.org, 2008 A. W. , Neutrino model calculator in Python, to be published

Tensor Products Contractions $1 \times 1 = 1$ $x \sim 3$, $v \sim 3$, $z \sim 3$. $1 \times 1' - 1'$ $1 \times 1'' = 1''$ $z = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{3}\sqrt{3}x_1y_1 + \frac{1}{3}\sqrt{3}x_2y_3 + \frac{1}{3}\sqrt{3}x_3y_2 \\ x_1y_2\left(-\frac{1}{6}\sqrt{3} + \frac{1}{2}i\right) + x_2y_1\left(-\frac{1}{6}\sqrt{3} + \frac{1}{2}i\right) + x_3y_3\left(-\frac{1}{6}\sqrt{3} + \frac{1}{2}i\right) \\ x_1y_3\left(-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{3} - \frac{1}{3}i\right) + x_2y_2\left(-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{3} - \frac{1}{3}i\right) + x_3y_1\left(-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{3} - \frac{1}{3}i\right) \end{pmatrix}$ $1 \times 3 = 3$ $1 \times 3' - 3'$ $1' \times 1' - 1''$ $x \sim 3$, $v \sim 3$, $z \sim 3'$. $1' \times 1'' = 1$ $1' \times 3 - 3$ $z = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{3}\sqrt{6x_1y_1} - \frac{1}{6}\sqrt{6x_2y_3} - \frac{1}{6}\sqrt{6x_3y_2} \\ -\frac{1}{6}\sqrt{6x_1y_3} + \frac{1}{3}\sqrt{6x_2y_2} - \frac{1}{6}\sqrt{6x_3y_1} \\ -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{6x_1y_2} - \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{6x_2y_4} + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{6x_2y_2} \end{pmatrix}$ $1' \times 3' = 3'$ $1'' \times 1'' - 1'$ $1'' \times 3 = 3$ $1'' \times 3' - 3'$ $x \sim 3$, $v \sim 3$, $z \sim 3'$. $3 \times 3 = 3 + 3' + 3'$ $3' \times 3' = 2 \times 3 + 3'$

All you need to know about T_7

The GAP Group, "GAP - Groups, Algorithms, and Programming." http://www.gap-system.org, 2008 A. W. , Neutrino model calculator in Python, to be published

Tensor Products

Contractions

$1 \times 1 = 1$	$x \sim 3, y \sim 3, z \sim 3,$
$1 \times 1' = 1'$ $1 \times 1'' - 1''$	$\left(\frac{1}{3}\sqrt{3}x_{1}y_{1} + \frac{1}{3}\sqrt{3}x_{2}y_{3} + \frac{1}{3}\sqrt{3}x_{3}y_{2} \right)$
$1 \times 1 = 1$ $1 \times 3 = 3$	$z = \left[x_1 y_2 \left(-\frac{1}{6}\sqrt{3} + \frac{1}{2}i \right) + x_2 y_1 \left(-\frac{1}{6}\sqrt{3} + \frac{1}{2}i \right) + x_3 y_3 \left(-\frac{1}{6}\sqrt{3} + \frac{1}{2}i \right) \right]$
1 imes 3' = 3'	$\left(x_{1}y_{3}\left(-\frac{1}{6}\sqrt{3}-\frac{1}{2}i\right)+x_{2}y_{2}\left(-\frac{1}{6}\sqrt{3}-\frac{1}{2}i\right)+x_{3}y_{1}\left(-\frac{1}{6}\sqrt{3}-\frac{1}{2}i\right)\right)$
$\mathbf{1'}\times\mathbf{1'}=\mathbf{1''}$	
$\mathbf{1'} imes \mathbf{1''} = 1$	$x \sim 3, y \sim 3, z \sim \mathbf{3'},$
$1' \times 3 = 3$	$\left(\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{6}x_{1}y_{1} - \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{6}x_{2}y_{2} - \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{6}x_{2}y_{2}\right)$
$\mathbf{1'} \times \mathbf{3'} = \mathbf{3'}$	$z = \left(-\frac{1}{6}\sqrt{6}x_1y_3 + \frac{1}{3}\sqrt{6}x_2y_2 - \frac{1}{6}\sqrt{6}x_3y_1 \right)$
$\mathbf{1^{\prime\prime}} imes \mathbf{1^{\prime\prime}} = \mathbf{1^{\prime}}$	$\left(-\frac{1}{6}\sqrt{6}x_{1}y_{2}-\frac{1}{6}\sqrt{6}x_{2}y_{1}+\frac{1}{3}\sqrt{6}x_{3}y_{3}\right)$
$\mathbf{1''} imes 3 = 3$	
$\mathbf{1''} imes \mathbf{3'} = \mathbf{3'}$	$x \sim 3, y \sim 3, z \sim 3',$
$3\times3=3+\mathbf{3'}+\mathbf{3'}$	$\left(-\frac{1}{6}\sqrt{6}x_{1}y_{1}+\frac{1}{3}\sqrt{6}x_{2}y_{3}-\frac{1}{6}\sqrt{6}x_{3}y_{2}\right)$
$3\times\mathbf{3'}=1+\mathbf{1'}+\mathbf{1''}+3+\mathbf{3'}$	$z = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{6}\sqrt{6}x_1y_3 - \frac{1}{6}\sqrt{6}x_2y_2 + \frac{1}{3}\sqrt{6}x_3y_1 \\ -\frac{1}{6}\sqrt{6}x_1y_3 - \frac{1}{6}\sqrt{6}x_2y_2 + \frac{1}{3}\sqrt{6}x_3y_1 \end{pmatrix}$
$\mathbf{3'} \times \mathbf{3'} = 2 \times 3 + \mathbf{3'}$	$\left(\frac{1}{3} \sqrt{0x_1y_2} - \frac{1}{6} \sqrt{0x_2y_1} - \frac{1}{6} \sqrt{0x_3y_3} \right)$

All you need to know about T_7

The GAP Group, "GAP - Groups, Algorithms, and Programming." http://www.gap-system.org, 2008 A. W. , Neutrino model calculator in Python, to be published

Tensor Products Contractions $1 \times 1 = 1$ $x \sim 3$, $v \sim 3$, $z \sim 3$. $1 \times 1' - 1'$ $1 \times 1'' = 1''$ $z = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{3}\sqrt{3}x_1y_1 + \frac{1}{3}\sqrt{3}x_2y_3 + \frac{1}{3}\sqrt{3}x_3y_2 \\ x_1y_2\left(-\frac{1}{6}\sqrt{3} + \frac{1}{2}i\right) + x_2y_1\left(-\frac{1}{6}\sqrt{3} + \frac{1}{2}i\right) + x_3y_3\left(-\frac{1}{6}\sqrt{3} + \frac{1}{2}i\right) \\ x_1y_3\left(-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{3} - \frac{1}{3}i\right) + x_2y_2\left(-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{3} - \frac{1}{3}i\right) + x_3y_1\left(-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{3} - \frac{1}{3}i\right) \end{pmatrix}$ $1 \times 3 = 3$ $1 \times 3' - 3'$ $1' \times 1' - 1''$ $1' \times 1'' = 1$ $x \sim 3$, $v \sim 3$, $z \sim 3'$. $1' \times 3 - 3$ $z = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{3}\sqrt{6x_1y_1} - \frac{1}{6}\sqrt{6x_2y_3} - \frac{1}{6}\sqrt{6x_3y_2} \\ -\frac{1}{6}\sqrt{6x_1y_3} + \frac{1}{3}\sqrt{6x_2y_2} - \frac{1}{6}\sqrt{6x_3y_1} \\ \frac{1}{1}\sqrt{6x_1x_3} - \frac{1}{1}\sqrt{6x_1x_3} + \frac{1}{1}\sqrt{6x_1x_3} \end{pmatrix}$ $1' \times 3' = 3'$ $1'' \times 1'' - 1'$ $1'' \times 3 = 3$ $1'' \times 3' - 3'$ $x \sim 3$, $v \sim 3$, $z \sim 3'$. $3 \times 3 = 3 + 3' + 3'$ $3' \times 3' = 2 \times 3 + 3'$

All you need to know about T_7

The GAP Group, "GAP - Groups, Algorithms, and Programming." http://www.gap-system.org, 2008 A. W. , Neutrino model calculator in Python, to be published

Tensor Products Contractions $1 \times 1 = 1$ $x \sim 3$, $v \sim 3$, $z \sim 3$. $1 \times 1' - 1'$ $1 \times 1'' = 1''$ $z = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{3}\sqrt{3}x_1y_1 + \frac{1}{3}\sqrt{3}x_2y_3 + \frac{1}{3}\sqrt{3}x_3y_2 \\ x_1y_2\left(-\frac{1}{6}\sqrt{3} + \frac{1}{2}i\right) + x_2y_1\left(-\frac{1}{6}\sqrt{3} + \frac{1}{2}i\right) + x_3y_3\left(-\frac{1}{6}\sqrt{3} + \frac{1}{2}i\right) \\ x_1y_3\left(-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{3} - \frac{1}{3}i\right) + x_2y_2\left(-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{3} - \frac{1}{3}i\right) + x_3y_1\left(-\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{3} - \frac{1}{3}i\right) \end{pmatrix}$ $1 \times 3 = 3$ $1 \times 3' - 3'$ $1' \times 1' - 1''$ $x \sim 3$, $v \sim 3$, $z \sim 3'$. $1' \times 1'' = 1$ $1' \times 3 - 3$ $z = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{3}\sqrt{6x_1y_1} - \frac{1}{6}\sqrt{6x_2y_3} - \frac{1}{6}\sqrt{6x_3y_2} \\ -\frac{1}{6}\sqrt{6x_1y_3} + \frac{1}{3}\sqrt{6x_2y_2} - \frac{1}{6}\sqrt{6x_3y_1} \\ \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{6x_2x_2} - \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{6x_2x_3} \end{pmatrix}$ $1' \times 3' = 3'$ $1'' \times 1'' - 1'$ $1'' \times 3 = 3$ $1'' \times 3' = 3'$ $x \sim 3$, $y \sim 3$, $z \sim 3'$. $3 \times 3 = 3 + 3' + 3'$ $\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{3} \times \mathbf{3} = \mathbf{3} + \mathbf{3}' + \mathbf{3}' \\ \mathbf{3} \times \mathbf{3}' = \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1}' + \mathbf{1}'' + \mathbf{3} + \mathbf{3}' \\ \mathbf{3} \times \mathbf{3}' = \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1}' + \mathbf{1}'' + \mathbf{3} + \mathbf{3}' \\ \mathbf{2} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{6}\sqrt{6}x_1y_1 + \frac{1}{3}\sqrt{6}x_2y_2 - \frac{1}{6}\sqrt{6}x_3y_2 \\ -\frac{1}{6}\sqrt{6}x_1y_3 - \frac{1}{6}\sqrt{6}x_2y_2 + \frac{1}{3}\sqrt{6}x_3y_1 \\ \frac{1}{6}\sqrt{6}x_1x_2 - \frac{1}{6}\sqrt{6}x_2y_2 + \frac{1}{3}\sqrt{6}x_3y_1 \\ \frac{1}{6}\sqrt{6}x_1x_2 - \frac{1}{6}\sqrt{6}x_2y_2 + \frac{1}{6}\sqrt{6}x_3y_2 \\ \frac{1}{6}\sqrt{6}x_1x_1 + \frac{1}{6}\sqrt{6}x_2y_2 + \frac{1}{6}\sqrt{6}x_3y_2 \\ \frac{1}{6}\sqrt{6}x_1x_1 - \frac{1}{6}\sqrt{6}x_2y_2 + \frac{1}{6}\sqrt{6}x_3y_2 \\ \frac{1}{6}\sqrt{6}x_1x_1 + \frac{1}{6}\sqrt{6}x_1x_1 + \frac{1}{6}\sqrt{6}x_1x_1 + \frac{1}{6}\sqrt{6}x_2y_2 + \frac{1}{6}\sqrt{6}x_1y_1 \\ \frac{1}{6}\sqrt{6}x_1x_1 + \frac{1}{6}\sqrt{6}x_1x_1 + \frac{1}{6}\sqrt{6}x_1x_1 + \frac{1}{6}\sqrt{6}x_1x_1 + \frac{1}{6}\sqrt{6}x_1x_1 \\ \frac{1}{6}\sqrt{6}x_1x_1 + \frac{1}{6}\sqrt{6}\sqrt{6}x_1x_1 + \frac{1}{6}\sqrt{6}x_1x_1 + \frac{1}{6}\sqrt{6}x_1 + \frac{1}{6}\sqrt{6}x_1 + \frac{1}{$ $3' \times 3' = 2 \times 3 + 3'$

> Terms that are invariant, have 2 leptons and mass dimension \leq 5 or 6:

$$W = y_e \frac{\widetilde{\varphi}}{\Lambda} Leh_d + y_\mu \frac{\widetilde{\varphi}}{\Lambda} L\mu h_d + y_\tau \frac{\widetilde{\varphi}}{\Lambda} L\tau h_d + y_1 \frac{\widetilde{\varphi}}{\Lambda^2} LLh_u h_u + y_2 \frac{\varphi}{\Lambda^2} LLh_u h_u$$

 $\mathbf{3'} \otimes \mathbf{3} \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} = (\mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1'} + \mathbf{1''} + \mathbf{3} + \mathbf{3'}) \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1'} + \mathbf{1''} + \mathbf{3} + \mathbf{3'}$

> Contract family indices (need to know Clebsch-Gordan coefficients):

$$y_{e}\frac{1}{3}\sqrt{3}L_{1}eh_{d}\widetilde{\varphi}_{1} + y_{e}\frac{1}{3}\sqrt{3}L_{2}eh_{d}\widetilde{\varphi}_{2} + y_{e}\frac{1}{3}\sqrt{3}L_{3}eh_{d}\widetilde{\varphi}_{3}$$
$$+ y_{\mu}\frac{1}{3}\sqrt{3}L_{1}\mu h_{d}\widetilde{\varphi}_{3} + y_{\mu}\frac{1}{3}\sqrt{3}L_{2}\mu h_{d}\widetilde{\varphi}_{1} + y_{\mu}\frac{1}{3}\sqrt{3}L_{3}\mu h_{d}\widetilde{\varphi}_{2}$$
$$+ y_{\tau}\frac{1}{3}\sqrt{3}L_{1}\tau h_{d}\widetilde{\varphi}_{2} + y_{\tau}\frac{1}{3}\sqrt{3}L_{2}\tau h_{d}\widetilde{\varphi}_{3} + y_{\tau}\frac{1}{3}\sqrt{3}L_{3}\tau h_{d}\widetilde{\varphi}_{1}$$

> Contract SU(2)_L indices and substitute vevs $\langle \widetilde{\varphi} \rangle = (v_{\widetilde{\varphi}}, 0, 0)$, etc:

$$y_e \frac{1}{3}\sqrt{3}v_d v_{\widetilde{\varphi}} L_1^{(2)} e + y_\mu \frac{1}{3}\sqrt{3}v_d v_{\widetilde{\varphi}} L_2^{(2)} \mu + y_\tau \frac{1}{3}\sqrt{3}v_d v_{\widetilde{\varphi}} L_3^{(2)} \tau$$

 \succ Terms that are invariant, have 2 leptons and mass dimension \leq 5 or 6:

$$W = \frac{y_e}{\Lambda} \frac{\widetilde{\varphi}}{L} Leh_d + y_\mu \frac{\widetilde{\varphi}}{\Lambda} L\mu h_d + y_\tau \frac{\widetilde{\varphi}}{\Lambda} L\tau h_d + y_1 \frac{\widetilde{\varphi}}{\Lambda^2} LLh_u h_u + y_2 \frac{\varphi}{\Lambda^2} LLh_u h_u$$

 $\mathbf{3'} \otimes \mathbf{3} \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} = (\mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1'} + \mathbf{1''} + \mathbf{3} + \mathbf{3'}) \otimes \mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1'} + \mathbf{1''} + \mathbf{3} + \mathbf{3'}$

> Contract family indices (need to know Clebsch-Gordan coefficients):

$$y_{e}\frac{1}{3}\sqrt{3}L_{1}eh_{d}\widetilde{\varphi}_{1} + y_{e}\frac{1}{3}\sqrt{3}L_{2}eh_{d}\widetilde{\varphi}_{2} + y_{e}\frac{1}{3}\sqrt{3}L_{3}eh_{d}\widetilde{\varphi}_{3}$$
$$+ y_{\mu}\frac{1}{3}\sqrt{3}L_{1}\mu h_{d}\widetilde{\varphi}_{3} + y_{\mu}\frac{1}{3}\sqrt{3}L_{2}\mu h_{d}\widetilde{\varphi}_{1} + y_{\mu}\frac{1}{3}\sqrt{3}L_{3}\mu h_{d}\widetilde{\varphi}_{2}$$
$$+ y_{\tau}\frac{1}{3}\sqrt{3}L_{1}\tau h_{d}\widetilde{\varphi}_{2} + y_{\tau}\frac{1}{3}\sqrt{3}L_{2}\tau h_{d}\widetilde{\varphi}_{3} + y_{\tau}\frac{1}{3}\sqrt{3}L_{3}\tau h_{d}\widetilde{\varphi}_{1}$$

> Contract SU(2)_L indices and substitute vevs $\langle \widetilde{\varphi} \rangle = (v_{\widetilde{\varphi}}, 0, 0)$, etc:

$$y_e \frac{1}{3}\sqrt{3}v_d v_{\widetilde{\varphi}} L_1^{(2)} e + y_\mu \frac{1}{3}\sqrt{3}v_d v_{\widetilde{\varphi}} L_2^{(2)} \mu + y_\tau \frac{1}{3}\sqrt{3}v_d v_{\widetilde{\varphi}} L_3^{(2)} \tau$$

> Terms that are invariant, have 2 leptons and mass dimension \leq 5 or 6:

$$W = y_e \frac{\widetilde{\varphi}}{\Lambda} Leh_d + y_\mu \frac{\widetilde{\varphi}}{\Lambda} L\mu h_d + y_\tau \frac{\widetilde{\varphi}}{\Lambda} L\tau h_d + y_1 \frac{\widetilde{\varphi}}{\Lambda^2} LLh_u h_u + y_2 \frac{\varphi}{\Lambda^2} LLh_u h_u$$

$$3' \!\otimes\! 3 \!\otimes\! 1 \!\otimes\! 1 \!=\! (1 \!+\! 1' \!+\! 1'' \!+\! 3 \!+\! 3') \!\otimes\! 1 \!\otimes\! 1 \!=\! 1 \!+\! 1' \!+\! 1'' \!+\! 3 \!+\! 3'$$

➤ Contract family indices (need to know Clebsch-Gordan coefficients):

$$y_{e}\frac{1}{3}\sqrt{3}L_{1}eh_{d}\widetilde{\varphi}_{1} + y_{e}\frac{1}{3}\sqrt{3}L_{2}eh_{d}\widetilde{\varphi}_{2} + y_{e}\frac{1}{3}\sqrt{3}L_{3}eh_{d}\widetilde{\varphi}_{3}$$
$$+ y_{\mu}\frac{1}{3}\sqrt{3}L_{1}\mu h_{d}\widetilde{\varphi}_{3} + y_{\mu}\frac{1}{3}\sqrt{3}L_{2}\mu h_{d}\widetilde{\varphi}_{1} + y_{\mu}\frac{1}{3}\sqrt{3}L_{3}\mu h_{d}\widetilde{\varphi}_{2}$$
$$+ y_{\tau}\frac{1}{3}\sqrt{3}L_{1}\tau h_{d}\widetilde{\varphi}_{2} + y_{\tau}\frac{1}{3}\sqrt{3}L_{2}\tau h_{d}\widetilde{\varphi}_{3} + y_{\tau}\frac{1}{3}\sqrt{3}L_{3}\tau h_{d}\widetilde{\varphi}_{1}$$

> Contract SU(2)_L indices and substitute vevs $\langle \widetilde{\varphi} \rangle = (v_{\widetilde{\varphi}}, 0, 0)$, etc:

$$y_e \frac{1}{3}\sqrt{3}v_d v_{\widetilde{\varphi}} L_1^{(2)} e + y_\mu \frac{1}{3}\sqrt{3}v_d v_{\widetilde{\varphi}} L_2^{(2)} \mu + y_\tau \frac{1}{3}\sqrt{3}v_d v_{\widetilde{\varphi}} L_3^{(2)} \tau$$

 \succ Terms that are invariant, have 2 leptons and mass dimension \leq 5 or 6:

$$W = y_e \frac{\widetilde{\varphi}}{\Lambda} Leh_d + y_\mu \frac{\widetilde{\varphi}}{\Lambda} L\mu h_d + y_\tau \frac{\widetilde{\varphi}}{\Lambda} L\tau h_d + y_1 \frac{\widetilde{\varphi}}{\Lambda^2} LLh_u h_u + y_2 \frac{\varphi}{\Lambda^2} LLh_u h_u$$

$$3' \!\otimes\! 3 \!\otimes\! 1 \!\otimes\! 1 \!=\! (1 \!+\! 1' \!+\! 1'' \!+\! 3 \!+\! 3') \!\otimes\! 1 \!\otimes\! 1 \!=\! 1 \!+\! 1' \!+\! 1'' \!+\! 3 \!+\! 3'$$

> Contract family indices (need to know Clebsch-Gordan coefficients):

$$y_{e}\frac{1}{3}\sqrt{3}L_{1}eh_{d}\widetilde{\varphi}_{1} + y_{e}\frac{1}{3}\sqrt{3}L_{2}eh_{d}\widetilde{\varphi}_{2} + y_{e}\frac{1}{3}\sqrt{3}L_{3}eh_{d}\widetilde{\varphi}_{3}$$
$$+ y_{\mu}\frac{1}{3}\sqrt{3}L_{1}\mu h_{d}\widetilde{\varphi}_{3} + y_{\mu}\frac{1}{3}\sqrt{3}L_{2}\mu h_{d}\widetilde{\varphi}_{1} + y_{\mu}\frac{1}{3}\sqrt{3}L_{3}\mu h_{d}\widetilde{\varphi}_{2}$$
$$+ y_{\tau}\frac{1}{3}\sqrt{3}L_{1}\tau h_{d}\widetilde{\varphi}_{2} + y_{\tau}\frac{1}{3}\sqrt{3}L_{2}\tau h_{d}\widetilde{\varphi}_{3} + y_{\tau}\frac{1}{3}\sqrt{3}L_{3}\tau h_{d}\widetilde{\varphi}_{1}$$

 \succ Contract $SU(2)_L$ indices and substitute vevs $\langle \widetilde{\varphi} \rangle = (v_{\widetilde{\varphi}}, 0, 0)$, etc:

$$y_{e}\frac{1}{3}\sqrt{3}v_{d}v_{\widetilde{\varphi}} L_{1}^{(2)}e + y_{\mu}\frac{1}{3}\sqrt{3}v_{d}v_{\widetilde{\varphi}} L_{2}^{(2)}\mu + y_{\tau}\frac{1}{3}\sqrt{3}v_{d}v_{\widetilde{\varphi}} L_{3}^{(2)}\tau$$

➤ Mass matrices

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{M}_{\ell^+} &= -\frac{v_d v_2}{\sqrt{6} \Lambda} \times \begin{array}{c} \ell_1^{(2)} \\ \mathcal{L}_1^{(2)} \\ \mathcal{L}_2^{(2)} \\ \mathcal{L}_3^{(2)} \end{array} \begin{pmatrix} y_e & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y_\mu & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & y_\tau \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \mathcal{M}_{\nu} = \frac{v_e^2}{12 \Lambda^2} \times \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{L}_1^{(1)} \\ \mathcal{L}_2^{(1)} \\ \mathcal{L}_3^{(1)} \\ \mathcal{L}_3^{(1)} \\ \mathcal{L}_3^{(1)} \\ \mathcal{L}_3^{(1)} \\ \mathcal{L}_2^{(1)} \\ \mathcal{L}_2^{(1)} \\ \mathcal{L}_2^{(1)} \mathcal{L}_2^{(1)} \\ \mathcal{L}_2^{(1)} \\ \mathcal{L}_2^{(1)} \\ \mathcal{L}_2^{(1)} \\ \mathcal{L}_2^{(1)} \\ \mathcal{L}_2^{(1)} \mathcal{L}_2^{(1)} \\ \mathcal{L}_2^{(1)}$$

 \succ Singular value decomposition

$$\hat{M}_{\ell^+} = D_L M_{\ell^+} D_R^\dagger, \quad \hat{M}_
u = U_L M_
u U_R^\dagger$$

Neutrino mixing matrix

$$U_{\rm PMNS} = D_{\rm L} U_{\rm L}^{\dagger} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -2/\sqrt{6} & -1/\sqrt{3} & 0 \\ 1/\sqrt{6} & -1/\sqrt{3} & -1/\sqrt{2} \\ 1/\sqrt{6} & -1/\sqrt{3} & 1/\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix} \stackrel{e,\mu,\tau \to \tau,\mu,e}{=} \begin{pmatrix} -2/\sqrt{6} & -1/\sqrt{3} & 0 \\ 1/\sqrt{6} & -1/\sqrt{3} & -1/\sqrt{2} \\ 1/\sqrt{6} & -1/\sqrt{3} & 1/\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

Formdiagonalizable! Mixing matrix does not depend on A, B, masses do!

➤ Mass matrices

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{M}_{\ell^+} &= -\frac{v_{d}v_{\varphi}}{\sqrt{6}\Lambda} \times \frac{L_1^{(2)}}{L_1^{(2)}} \begin{pmatrix} y_e & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y_\mu & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & y_\tau \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \mathcal{M}_{\nu} = \frac{v_e^2}{12\Lambda^2} \times \frac{L_1^{(1)}}{L_1^{(1)}} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2}y_2v_\varphi + 2y_1v_{\overline{\varphi}} & -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}y_2v_\varphi & -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}y_2v_\varphi \\ -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}y_2v_\varphi & \sqrt{2}y_2v_\varphi & -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}y_2v_\varphi + 2y_1v_{\overline{\varphi}} \\ -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}y_2v_\varphi & -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}y_2v_\varphi + 2y_1v_{\overline{\varphi}} \end{pmatrix} \end{split}$$

➤ Singular value decomposition

$$\hat{M}_{\ell^+} = D_L M_{\ell^+} D_R^\dagger, \quad \hat{M}_
u = U_L M_
u U_R^\dagger$$

Neutrino mixing matrix

$$U_{\rm PMNS} = D_{\rm L} U_{\rm L}^{\dagger} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -2/\sqrt{6} & -1/\sqrt{3} & 0 \\ 1/\sqrt{6} & -1/\sqrt{3} & -1/\sqrt{2} \\ 1/\sqrt{6} & -1/\sqrt{3} & 1/\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix} \stackrel{e,\mu,\tau \to \tau,\mu,e}{=} \begin{pmatrix} -2/\sqrt{6} & -1/\sqrt{3} & 0 \\ 1/\sqrt{6} & -1/\sqrt{3} & -1/\sqrt{2} \\ 1/\sqrt{6} & -1/\sqrt{3} & 1/\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

Formdiagonalizable! Mixing matrix does not depend on A, B, masses do!

➤ Mass matrices

$$\begin{split} & \begin{pmatrix} e & \mu & \tau & & L_1^{(1)} & L_2^{(1)} & L_3^{(1)} \\ M_{\ell^+} &= -\frac{v_d v_2}{\sqrt{6A}} \times \begin{array}{c} L_2^{(2)} \\ L_3^{(2)} \\ L_3^{(2)} \\ L_3^{(2)} \\ \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_e & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y_\mu & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & y_\tau \\ \end{pmatrix}, \qquad & M_\nu = \frac{v_e^2}{12\lambda^2} \times \begin{array}{c} L_2^{(1)} \\ L_3^{(1)} \\ L_3^{(1)} \\ L_3^{(1)} \\ \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2A+2B} & -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2A} & -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2A} \\ -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2A} & \sqrt{2A} & -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2A+2B} \\ -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2A} & -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2A+2B} \\ -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2A} & -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2A+2B} \\ \end{pmatrix} \end{split}$$

➤ Singular value decomposition

$$\hat{M}_{\ell^+} = D_L M_{\ell^+} D_R^\dagger, \quad \hat{M}_
u = U_L M_
u U_R^\dagger$$

➤ Neutrino mixing matrix

$$U_{\rm PMNS} = D_{\rm L} U_{\rm L}^{\dagger} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -2/\sqrt{6} & -1/\sqrt{3} & 0 \\ 1/\sqrt{6} & -1/\sqrt{3} & -1/\sqrt{2} \\ 1/\sqrt{6} & -1/\sqrt{3} & 1/\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix} \stackrel{e,\mu,\tau \to \tau,\mu,e}{=} \begin{pmatrix} -2/\sqrt{6} & -1/\sqrt{3} & 0 \\ 1/\sqrt{6} & -1/\sqrt{3} & -1/\sqrt{2} \\ 1/\sqrt{6} & -1/\sqrt{3} & 1/\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

Formdiagonalizable! Mixing matrix does not depend on A, B, masses do!

➤ Mass matrices

$$\begin{split} & \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{e} & \mu & \tau & & L_1^{(1)} & L_2^{(1)} & L_3^{(1)} \\ M_{\ell^+} &= -\frac{v_d v_2}{\sqrt{6}\Lambda} & L_2^{(2)} \\ & L_3^{(2)} \\ & L_3^{(2)} \\ \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{y}_e & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{y}_\mu & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{y}_\tau \end{pmatrix}, \qquad & M_\nu = \frac{v_e^2}{12\Lambda^2} \times L_2^{(1)} \\ & L_3^{(1)} \\ & L_3^{(1)} \\ \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2}A + 2B & -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}A & -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}A \\ -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}A & \sqrt{2}A & -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}A + 2B \\ -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}A & -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}A + 2B & \sqrt{2}A \end{pmatrix} \end{split}$$

➤ Singular value decomposition

$$\hat{M}_{\ell^+} = D_L M_{\ell^+} D_R^\dagger, \quad \hat{M}_
u = U_L M_
u U_R^\dagger$$

➤ Neutrino mixing matrix

$$\mathcal{U}_{\rm PMNS} = \mathcal{D}_L \, \mathcal{U}_L^\dagger = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \, \begin{pmatrix} -2/\sqrt{6} & -1/\sqrt{3} & 0 \\ 1/\sqrt{6} & -1/\sqrt{3} & -1/\sqrt{2} \\ 1/\sqrt{6} & -1/\sqrt{3} & 1/\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix} \stackrel{e,\mu,\tau \to \tau,\mu,e}{=} \begin{pmatrix} -2/\sqrt{6} & -1/\sqrt{3} & 0 \\ 1/\sqrt{6} & -1/\sqrt{3} & -1/\sqrt{2} \\ 1/\sqrt{6} & -1/\sqrt{3} & 1/\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

Formdiagonalizable! Mixing matrix does not depend on A, B, masses do!

Remember leading-order superpotential:

Terms that are invariant, have 2 leptons and mass dimension ≤ 5 or 6: $W = y_e \frac{\widetilde{\varphi}}{\Lambda} Leh_d + y_\mu \frac{\widetilde{\varphi}}{\Lambda} L\mu h_d + y_\tau \frac{\widetilde{\varphi}}{\Lambda} L\tau h_d + y_1 \frac{\widetilde{\varphi}}{\Lambda^2} LLh_u h_u + y_2 \frac{\varphi}{\Lambda^2} LLh_u h_u$ $3' \otimes 3 \otimes 1 \otimes 1 = (1+1'+1''+3+3') \otimes 1 \otimes 1 = 1+1'+1''+3+3'$

> Superpotential with two flavon fields (massdim ≤ 6 or 7)

$$\begin{split} y_e \ Le \ h_d \ \tilde{\varphi} + C_7 \ Le \ h_d \ \varphi \ \varphi + C_8 \ Le \ h_d \ \varphi \ \tilde{\varphi} + C_9 \ Le \ h_d \ \tilde{\varphi} \ \tilde{\varphi} + C_{10} \ Le \ h_u \ h_d \ h_d \ \tilde{\varphi} \\ y_\mu \ L \ \mu \ h_d \ \tilde{\varphi} + C_{12} \ L \ \mu \ h_d \ \varphi \ \varphi + C_{13} \ L \ \mu \ h_d \ \varphi \ \tilde{\varphi} + C_{14} \ L \ \mu \ h_d \ \tilde{\varphi} \ \tilde{\varphi} + C_{15} \ L \ \mu \ h_u \ h_d \ h_d \ \tilde{\varphi} \\ y_\tau \ L \ \tau \ h_d \ \tilde{\varphi} + C_{17} \ L \ \tau \ h_d \ \varphi \ \varphi + C_{18} \ L \ \tau \ h_d \ \varphi \ \tilde{\varphi} + C_{19} \ L \ \mu \ h_d \ \tilde{\varphi} \ \tilde{\varphi} + C_{10} \ L \ \mu \ h_d \ h_d \ \tilde{\varphi} \\ y_\tau \ L \ \tau \ h_d \ \tilde{\varphi} \ \tilde{\varphi} + C_{19} \ L \ \mu \ h_d \ \tilde{\varphi} \ \tilde{\varphi} + C_{20} \ L \ \tau \ h_d \ h_d \ \tilde{\varphi} \\ y_2 \ L \ L \ h_u \ h_u \ \varphi \ \varphi + C_{18} \ L \ h_u \ h_u \ h_d \ \tilde{\varphi} \ \tilde{\varphi} + C_{20} \ L \ \tau \ h_d \ \tilde{\varphi} \ \tilde{\varphi} + C_{20} \ L \ \tau \ h_d \ \tilde{\varphi} \ \tilde{\varphi} + C_{20} \ L \ \tau \ h_d \ \tilde{\varphi} \ \tilde{\varphi} + C_{20} \ L \ \tau \ h_d \ \tilde{\varphi} \ \tilde{\varphi} + C_{20} \ L \ \tau \ h_d \ \tilde{\varphi} \ \tilde{\varphi} + C_{20} \ L \ \tau \ h_d \ \tilde{\varphi} \ \tilde{\varphi} + C_{20} \ L \ \tau \ h_d \ \tilde{\varphi} \ \tilde{\varphi} + C_{20} \ L \ \tau \ h_d \ \tilde{\varphi} \ \tilde{\varphi} + C_{20} \ L \ \tau \ h_d \ \tilde{\varphi} \ \tilde{\varphi} + C_{20} \ L \ \tau \ h_d \ \tilde{\varphi} \ \tilde{\varphi} + C_{20} \ L \ \tau \ h_d \ \tilde{\varphi} \ \tilde{\varphi} + C_{20} \ L \ \tau \ h_d \ \tilde{\varphi} \ \tilde{\varphi} + C_{20} \ L \ \tau \ h_d \ \tilde{\varphi} \ \tilde{\varphi} + C_{20} \ L \ \tau \ h_d \ \tilde{\varphi} \ \tilde{\varphi} + C_{20} \ L \ \tilde{\varphi} \ \tilde{\varphi} + C_{20} \ \tilde{\varphi} +$$

- Achieved $\theta_{13} \simeq 3.5^{\circ}$, pushing for higher values
- Pick only contributions that change θ_{13} \rightarrow Introduce N_R and/or Δ for renormalizable UV completion

Conclusions

- > We are living in exciting times!
- > LHC is showing an excellence performance
- > The Higgs boson will soon be discovered or excluded
- New physics is probably just around the corner (Unfortunately so far no sign of it yet!)
- > Presented here some of the "crazy" ideas for new physics
- Beware Michael Turner's words:

"Not every crazy idea is a solution to a profound problem. Some of them are just crazy ideas."

Future Research

BACKUP

Akın Wingerter, LPSC Grenoble Physics Beyond the Standard Mode
A Fourth Generation of Chiral Fermions

> Limit on t' mass does not consider $t' \rightarrow Wb'$ CDF Collaboration, T. Aaltonen *et al.*, "Search for a Heavy Top-Like Quark in $p\bar{p}$ Collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV," *Phys.Rev.Lett.* (2011) 1107.3875

> Limit on b' mass assumes $Br(b' \rightarrow Wt) = 100\%$

CDF Collaboration, T. Aaltonen *et al.*, "Search for heavy bottom-like quarks decaying to an electron or muon and jets in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **106** (2011) 141803, 1101.5728

M. Baak, M. Goebel, J. Haller, A. Hoecker, D. Ludwig, *et al.*, "Updated Status of the Global Electroweak Fit and Constraints on New Physics," 1107.0975

